

119TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 9497

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 29, 2026

Mr. GRAVES (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. COLLINS, and Ms. WILSON of Florida) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
5 “Water Resources Development Act of 2026”.

6 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for
7 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 101. Program Offices within the Directorate of Civil Works.
- Sec. 102. Contracting efficiency.
- Sec. 103. Removal or remediation of contaminated sediment.
- Sec. 104. Levee owners board.
- Sec. 105. Categorical permissions.
- Sec. 106. Contributions by non-Federal interests.
- Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.
- Sec. 108. Project study schedule and cost estimate.
- Sec. 109. Continuing authority programs.
- Sec. 110. Dredged material management plans.
- Sec. 111. Dredging coordination.
- Sec. 112. Federal standard for dredged material disposal or placement.
- Sec. 113. Levee certifications.
- Sec. 114. Allocations from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.
- Sec. 115. Soo Lock operator wage rates.
- Sec. 116. Beneficial use of dredged material from harbors in the State of Ohio.
- Sec. 117. Minimum real estate interest.
- Sec. 118. Real estate appraisal validity.
- Sec. 119. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 120. Prohibition on diversion of water from Missouri River.
- Sec. 121. Law enforcement at water resources development projects.
- Sec. 122. Disaster debris removal.
- Sec. 123. Wildfire contingency strategies.
- Sec. 124. Reservoir sediment pilot program.
- Sec. 125. Environmental dredging.
- Sec. 126. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
- Sec. 127. Feasibility studies; review of shoreline and streambank protection.
- Sec. 128. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
- Sec. 129. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.
- Sec. 130. Fish and oyster habitat restoration.
- Sec. 131. Benefits and costs attributable to certain measures.
- Sec. 132. Policy on utilization of all Corps authorities and missions.
- Sec. 133. Comprehensive feasibility studies and approaches for flood risk management and coastal storm risk management.
- Sec. 134. Realignment of certain Corps of Engineers Districts.
- Sec. 135. Update of Corps policy.
- Sec. 136. Availability of project information.
- Sec. 137. Fish and wildlife mitigation.
- Sec. 138. Sense of Congress regarding evaluation of flood risk management projects.
- Sec. 139. Recreational access.
- Sec. 140. Sense of Congress on munitions disposal.
- Sec. 141. Corps of Engineers workforce.
- Sec. 142. Reporting and oversight.
- Sec. 143. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 144. Pilot program prioritization.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.

- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
- Sec. 205. Corps of Engineers reports.
- Sec. 206. Report on the inland waterways system.
- Sec. 207. GAO studies.
- Sec. 208. Inspector General reports.
- Sec. 209. Acceleration of emergency inland navigation projects.
- Sec. 210. Assessment of commercial fish landings data.
- Sec. 211. Assessments of dryland stream technologies and shoreline stabilization technologies.
- Sec. 212. Assessment of nonstructural approaches to flood risk management and hurricane and storm risk reduction.
- Sec. 213. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.
- Sec. 214. Updated plan on Federal hopper dredge recapitalization.
- Sec. 215. Choctawhatchee and Pea River basins, Alabama and Florida.
- Sec. 216. Mobile Harbor land use assessment.
- Sec. 217. Honolulu Harbor, Hawaii.
- Sec. 218. Chicago Area Waterway System.
- Sec. 219. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.
- Sec. 220. Columbia Lock and Dam, Louisiana.
- Sec. 221. Lower Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study.
- Sec. 222. Disposition study for Cape Cod Canal, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 223. New England regional confined aquatic disposal facilities.
- Sec. 224. Assateague Island, Maryland and Virginia.
- Sec. 225. Big Sandy Lake, Minnesota.
- Sec. 226. Upper Missouri River Basin sedimentation.
- Sec. 227. Table Rock Lake disposition study, Missouri and Arkansas.
- Sec. 228. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.
- Sec. 229. Evaluation of atomic contamination at Cochiti Lake, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 230. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.
- Sec. 231. Arbuckle-Timbered Hills, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 232. Disposition and cost allocation study of Willamette Valley, Oregon.
- Sec. 233. Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 234. Humphreys County, Tennessee.
- Sec. 235. Sam Rayburn Reservoir, Texas.
- Sec. 236. Columbia River, Washington.
- Sec. 237. Tri-Cities Area, Washington.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.
- Sec. 304. Land exchange, Walton and Bay Counties, Florida.
- Sec. 305. Port Canaveral, Florida.
- Sec. 306. Specific deauthorizations.
- Sec. 307. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 308. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.
- Sec. 309. Floodplain management services.
- Sec. 310. Planning assistance to States.
- Sec. 311. Mendenhall Glacier outburst flooding, Alaska.
- Sec. 312. Hansen Dam, Los Angeles–San Gabriel River Basin, California.
- Sec. 313. Morro Bay, California.
- Sec. 314. Oceanside, California.

- Sec. 315. Pajaro River, California.
- Sec. 316. Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.
- Sec. 317. Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- Sec. 318. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana.
- Sec. 319. Pilottown anchorage area, Louisiana.
- Sec. 320. Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater, Burt Township, Michigan.
- Sec. 321. Sardis Lake, Panola County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 322. Hydraulic evaluation of Upper Mississippi River.
- Sec. 323. Lower Missouri River comprehensive flood protection.
- Sec. 324. Missouri River Recovery Implementation Committee.
- Sec. 325. Great Lakes Commission.
- Sec. 326. Great Lakes Fishery and ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 327. Truckee River and tributaries, Nevada.
- Sec. 328. Cooperative agreements, New Mexico.
- Sec. 329. Kinzua Dam safety modification study, Allegheny River, New York and Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 330. Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Carolina.
- Sec. 331. Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River, Ohio.
- Sec. 332. Toussaint River Federal navigation project, Carroll Township, Ohio.
- Sec. 333. Río Puerto Nuevo flood risk management project, San Juan, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 334. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency Study, Texas.
- Sec. 335. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas, expedited real estate transfers.
- Sec. 336. Coastal Virginia.
- Sec. 337. Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia.
- Sec. 338. Puget Sound and adjacent waters restoration, Washington.
- Sec. 339. Lower Columbia River.
- Sec. 340. Lower Columbia River Basin ecosystem restoration assessment.
- Sec. 341. Puget Sound nearshore ecosystem restoration, Washington.
- Sec. 342. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 343. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.

TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 402. Expedited completion of projects and activities.

TITLE V—DAM SAFETY

- Sec. 501. National Dam Safety Program amendments.

1 **SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.**

- 2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-
- 3 retary of the Army.

1 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

2 **SEC. 101. PROGRAM OFFICES WITHIN THE DIRECTORATE**
3 **OF CIVIL WORKS.**

4 (a) OFFICE OF INLAND NAVIGATION CONSTRUCTION
5 MANAGEMENT.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
7 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
8 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil
9 Works an office to be known as the “Office of In-
10 land Navigation Construction Management”.

11 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-
12 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

13 (A) designate an Inland Program Man-
14 ager, who shall head the office and report di-
15 rectly to the Deputy Commanding General for
16 Civil Works and Emergency Operations; and

17 (B) provide the office with sufficient ad-
18 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support
19 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following
20 tasks related to the inland waterways naviga-
21 tion mission of the Corps of Engineers:

22 (i) Develop and maintain an inland
23 waterway program management plan.

24 (ii) Oversee inland waterways con-
25 struction projects and major rehabilitation

1 projects along the inland and intracoastal
2 waterways of the United States, as de-
3 scribed in section 206 of the Inland Water-
4 ways Revenue Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C.
5 1804).

6 (iii) Coordinate, validate, and oversee
7 funding for the projects described in clause
8 (ii), including the transactions of the In-
9 land Waterway Trust Fund.

10 (iv) Conduct in-progress reviews for
11 individual project designs and construction.

12 (v) Provide updates at every meeting
13 of the Inland Waterways Users Board es-
14 tablished under section 302 of the Water
15 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
16 U.S.C. 2251).

17 (vi) Develop and annually update the
18 projects described in clause (ii) in accord-
19 ance with the capital investment strategy
20 authorized by section 302(d) of the Water
21 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
22 U.S.C. 2251).

23 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the
24 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
25 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-

1 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
2 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
3 Senate, and make publicly available (including on a
4 publicly available website), a report detailing the re-
5 sults of the status of the implementation of this sub-
6 section, including—

7 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-
8 lishing the Office of Inland Navigation Con-
9 struction Management;

10 (B) the status of every inland waterway
11 construction project and major rehabilitation
12 project in the most recent capital investment
13 strategy, including any issues related to delays
14 or cost overruns; and

15 (C) any recommendations related to the
16 operational improvement of the Office of Inland
17 Navigation Construction Management.

18 (b) OFFICE OF WATER SUPPLY, WATER CONSERVA-
19 TION, AND DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
21 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
22 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil
23 Works an office to be known as the “Office of Water
24 Supply, Water Conservation, and Drought Resil-
25 iency”.

1 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-
2 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

3 (A) designate a Water Supply, Water Con-
4 servation, and Drought Resiliency Program
5 Manager, who shall head the office and report
6 directly to the Deputy Commanding General for
7 Civil Works and Emergency Operations;

8 (B) staff the office with personnel who
9 may reside in any district or division of the
10 Corps of Engineers; and

11 (C) provide the office with sufficient ad-
12 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support
13 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following
14 tasks related to the water supply mission of the
15 Corps of Engineers:

16 (i) Identify and evaluate opportunities
17 using authorities of the Corps of Engineers
18 to promote water supply, water conserva-
19 tion, and drought resiliency at water re-
20 source development projects.

21 (ii) Provide to the Corps of Engineers,
22 States, and non-Federal interests informa-
23 tion on existing policies and guidance doc-
24 uments of the Corps of Engineers related
25 to, and make recommendations on new

1 policies and guidance documents to im-
2 prove, water supply, water conservation,
3 and drought resiliency, including—

4 (I) implementation of subtitle B
5 of title I of the Water Resources De-
6 velopment Act of 2024;

7 (II) the method for the account-
8 ing of storage use under water supply
9 storage agreements at Corps of Engi-
10 neers reservoirs;

11 (III) the reallocation of storage
12 space at Corps of Engineers reservoirs
13 to water supply;

14 (IV) the interpretation and im-
15 plementation of the Water Supply Act
16 of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b); and

17 (V) the interpretation and imple-
18 mentation of section 6 of the Act of
19 December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708).

20 (iii) Assist and provide guidance to
21 States and non-Federal interests on ac-
22 cessing programs, services, and other tech-
23 nical and financial assistance made avail-
24 able by the Corps of Engineers related to
25 water supply, water conservation, and

1 drought resiliency efforts related to water
2 resources development projects.

3 (iv) Coordinate the review and com-
4 pletion of water supply reallocation studies
5 and reports to clear backlogs and ensure
6 new studies and reports are completed in a
7 timely manner.

8 (v) Act as a liaison between the Corps
9 of Engineers and non-Federal interests for
10 studies, projects, and agreements for water
11 supply, water conservation, and drought
12 resiliency efforts related to water resources
13 development projects, including efforts
14 to—

15 (I) reallocate storage space in
16 projects to store water for municipal
17 and industrial water supply purposes
18 pursuant to the Water Supply Act of
19 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

20 (II) enter into surplus water con-
21 tracts pursuant to section 6 of the Act
22 of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C.
23 708); and

24 (III) modify the operation of
25 projects under other authorities to

1 produce water supply benefits inci-
2 dental to and consistent with author-
3 ized purposes, including by—

4 (aa) adjusting the timing of
5 releases for other authorized pur-
6 poses to create opportunities for
7 water supply conservation, use,
8 and storage;

9 (bb) capturing stormwater;

10 (cc) releasing water from
11 storage to replenish aquifer stor-
12 age and recovery;

13 (dd) releasing water from
14 storage to augment storage at
15 another Federal or non-Federal
16 storage facility; and

17 (ee) other conservation
18 measures that enhance the use of
19 a Corps of Engineers project for
20 water supply.

21 (vi) Conduct outreach and workshops
22 for potential non-Federal interests to pro-
23 vide information on the authorities de-
24 scribed in clause (i).

1 (vii) Evaluate budget requests to en-
2 sure sufficient resources are requested and
3 allocated by the Directorate to fulfill the
4 tasks described in this subparagraph.

5 (viii) Cooperate with State, regional,
6 and local government and planning au-
7 thorities to identify strategies to augment
8 water supplies, enhance drought resiliency,
9 promote contingency planning, and assist
10 in the planning and development of alter-
11 native water sources.

12 (ix) Other tasks in furtherance of the
13 water supply mission as determined by the
14 Secretary.

15 (3) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 2
16 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the
17 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Trans-
18 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
19 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and
20 Public Works of the Senate a report that de-
21 scribes—

22 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-
23 lishing the Office of Water Supply, Water Con-
24 servation, and Drought Resiliency, including a

1 description of the status of implementing para-
2 graph (2)(C);

3 (B) the number of staff assigned to such
4 Office; and

5 (C) for each water supply study or project
6 being conducted or implemented by the Corps
7 of Engineers as of the date on which such re-
8 port is submitted—

9 (i) the status of each such study or
10 project;

11 (ii) the date upon which each such
12 study or project commenced;

13 (iii) the estimated date of completion
14 of each such study or project; and

15 (iv) any significant impediments or
16 obstacles that may reasonably affect the
17 timeline to complete each such study or
18 project.

19 (c) OFFICE ON TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND COM-
20 MUNITY OUTREACH.—

21 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
22 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
23 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil
24 Works an office to be known as the “Office on Tech-
25 nical Assistance and Community Outreach”.

1 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-
2 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

3 (A) designate a Technical Assistance and
4 Community Outreach Manager who shall head
5 the office and report directly to the Deputy
6 Commanding General for Civil Works and
7 Emergency Operations;

8 (B) staff the office with personnel who
9 may reside in any district or division of the
10 Corps of Engineers; and

11 (C) provide the office with sufficient ad-
12 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support
13 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following
14 tasks:

15 (i) Assist and provide guidance to
16 non-Federal interests on accessing pro-
17 grams, services, and other technical and fi-
18 nancial assistance made available by the
19 Corps of Engineers relating to water re-
20 sources development projects, including
21 under—

22 (I) a continuing authority pro-
23 gram (as such term is defined in sec-
24 tion 7001(c)(1)(D) of the Water Re-

1 sources Reform and Development Act
2 of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));

3 (II) section 206 of the Flood
4 Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.
5 709a), including the Silver Jackets
6 program established pursuant to such
7 section;

8 (III) section 22 of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 1974 (42
10 U.S.C. 1962d–16);

11 (IV) section 203 of the Water
12 Resources Development Act of 2000
13 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

14 (V) section 5014 of the Water
15 Resources Reform and Development
16 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

17 (VI) section 118 of the Water
18 Resources Development Act of 2020
19 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

20 (VII) section 165 of the Water
21 Resources Development Act of 2020
22 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

23 (VIII) the Water Infrastructure
24 Finance and Innovation Act (33
25 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

1 (IX) sections 1148 and 1305 of
2 the Water Resources Development Act
3 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039; 33 U.S.C.
4 2201 note);

5 (X) section 103(m) of the Water
6 Resources Development Act of 1986
7 (33 U.S.C. 2213m); and

8 (XI) section 1148 of the Water
9 Resources Development Act of 2024.

10 (ii) Coordinate the implementation of
11 public awareness, education, outreach, and
12 engagement requirements under section
13 8117 of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b).

15 (iii) Identify programs, services, and
16 other assistance made available by other
17 Federal and State agencies relating to
18 water resources development projects for
19 purposes of advising potential non-Federal
20 interests on the best available applicable
21 assistance.

22 (3) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out para-
23 graph (2)(C), to the maximum extent practicable,
24 the Technical Assistance and Community Outreach
25 Manager shall prioritize providing technical assist-

1 ance and guidance to a non-Federal interest seeking
2 to carry out a water resources development project
3 that will benefit a rural community, a Tribal com-
4 munity, or a community described in the guidance
5 issued by the Secretary pursuant to section 160 of
6 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33
7 U.S.C. 2201 note).

8 (4) ELECTRONIC PORTAL.—

9 (A) DEVELOPMENT.—In carrying out this
10 subsection, the Secretary shall develop an on-
11 line, interactive portal that—

12 (i) contains information relating to
13 the programs described in paragraph
14 (2)(C)(i); and

15 (ii) can be used by a potential non-
16 Federal interest as a succinct guide to ac-
17 cessing such programs for an applicable
18 potential water resources development
19 project.

20 (B) AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall
21 ensure that the portal developed under subpara-
22 graph (A) is made available in a prominent lo-
23 cation on the public-facing website of the head-
24 quarters of the Corps of Engineers and of each
25 district and division of the Corps of Engineers.

1 (d) OFFICE ON ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METH-
2 ODS.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
4 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
5 retary shall establish within the Directorate of Civil
6 Works an office to be known as the “Office on Alter-
7 native Delivery Methods”.

8 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the of-
9 fice under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

10 (A) designate an Alternative Delivery Man-
11 ager who shall head the office and report di-
12 rectly to the Deputy Commanding General for
13 Civil Works and Emergency Operations;

14 (B) staff the office with personnel who
15 may reside in any district or division of the
16 Corps of Engineers; and

17 (C) provide the office with sufficient ad-
18 ministrative, technical, and budgetary support
19 to direct, perform, and coordinate the following
20 tasks:

21 (i) Assist and provide guidance to
22 non-Federal interests on accessing alter-
23 native delivery programs, services, and
24 other technical and financial assistance
25 made available by the Corps of Engineers

1 relating to water resources development
2 projects, including under—

3 (I) sections 203 and 204 of the
4 Water Resources Development Act of
5 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231; 2232);

6 (II) section 1043 of the Water
7 Resources Reform and Development
8 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);

9 (III) section 1113 of the Water
10 Resources Development Act of 2016
11 (33 U.S.C. 2326e);

12 (IV) section 159 of the Water
13 Resources Development Act of 2020;
14 and

15 (V) section 1107(a) of the Water
16 Resources Development Act of 2024
17 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).

18 (ii) Coordinate with non-Federal in-
19 terests to establish clear review standards,
20 procedures, and timelines for the review
21 and approval of studies, plans, specifica-
22 tions, and other materials submitted pur-
23 suant to the programs described in clause
24 (i).

1 (iii) Identify opportunities to accel-
2 erate project delivery and reduce adminis-
3 trative burdens associated with the use of
4 alternative delivery methods and contrib-
5 uted funds authorities.

6 (iv) Coordinate across headquarters,
7 divisions, and districts of the Corps of En-
8 gineers to ensure the consistent implemen-
9 tation of alternative delivery authorities.

10 (v) Develop and maintain guidance
11 and best practices for the acceptance and
12 use of contributed funds provided by non-
13 Federal interests to carry out activities re-
14 lated to water resources development
15 projects.

16 (vi) Provide technical assistance to
17 non-Federal interests regarding the eligi-
18 bility of expenditures for credit or reim-
19 bursement, including expenditures incurred
20 pursuant to written agreements entered
21 into under section 221 of the Flood Con-
22 trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).

23 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the
24 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
25 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-

1 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
2 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
3 Senate a report that describes—

4 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-
5 lishing the Office on Alternative Delivery Meth-
6 ods;

7 (B) the number of staff assigned to such
8 Office;

9 (C) the activities carried out by the Office;
10 and

11 (D) recommendations for legislative or ad-
12 ministrative actions to improve the efficiency
13 and effectiveness of alternative delivery meth-
14 ods.

15 (e) COORDINATION; FUNDING.—

16 (1) COORDINATION.—Each office established
17 under subsections (a) through (d) shall coordinate
18 with other offices established under such subsections
19 and with any other office of the Corps of Engineers
20 to ensure consistency across the Corps of Engineers.

21 (2) FUNDING.—The offices established under
22 subsections (a) through (d) shall be funded using
23 amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated for
24 the general expenses of the Directorate of Civil
25 Works.

1 **SEC. 102. CONTRACTING EFFICIENCY.**

2 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
3 gress that—

4 (1) the Corps of Engineers should maximize the
5 efficiency of contract actions through the use of
6 multiyear or continuing contracts; and

7 (2) multiyear or continuing contracts—

8 (A) provide cost savings by combining
9 work across multiple projects across different
10 accounts of the Corps of Engineers; and

11 (B) increase reliability of projects con-
12 structed or maintained by the Corps of Engi-
13 neers and ensure realization of the benefits de-
14 rived from such projects.

15 (b) REVIEW.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
17 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
18 General of the United States shall carry out a review
19 of any considerations relating to and benefits of
20 awarding multiyear or continuing contracts for the
21 construction of authorized water resources develop-
22 ment projects carried out by the Secretary.

23 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the review
24 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
25 shall—

1 (A) describe existing statutory authorities
2 and limitations under which the Corps of Engi-
3 neers may carry out water resources develop-
4 ment projects utilizing a multiyear or con-
5 tinuing contract, including such authorities and
6 limitations under section 10 of the Act of Sep-
7 tember 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621) and section
8 206 of the Water Resources Development Act
9 of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2331);

10 (B) review—

11 (i) prior instances in which the Sec-
12 retary has carried out an authorized water
13 resources development project utilizing a
14 multiyear or continuing contract for the
15 construction;

16 (ii) prior instances in which the heads
17 of other Federal agencies, including the
18 Secretary of Defense, have carried out
19 large-scale infrastructure or asset con-
20 struction projects utilizing a multiyear or
21 continuing contract for the construction;
22 and

23 (iii) the response of the Corps of En-
24 gineers to prior recommendations of the
25 Comptroller General related to manage-

1 ment of water resources development
2 projects that utilize multiyear or con-
3 tinuing contracts; and

4 (C) evaluate—

5 (i) potential cost savings, consider-
6 ations, and benefits (including accelerated
7 completion of construction) from awarding
8 multiyear or continuing contracts for the
9 construction of authorized water resources
10 development projects; and

11 (ii) safeguards to minimize the ineffi-
12 cient reprogramming of appropriated funds
13 related to multiyear or continuing con-
14 tracts.

15 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review
16 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
17 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
18 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
19 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
20 Senate a report on the findings of such review, in-
21 cluding any legislative recommendations that result
22 from such review.

1 **SEC. 103. REMOVAL OR REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED**
2 **SEDIMENT.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall not be liable
4 under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Com-
5 pensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et
6 seq.) for the release of a hazardous substance or pollutant
7 or contaminant resulting from any covered activity carried
8 out in accordance with a joint plan developed under this
9 section by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-
10 Federal interest for the covered activity, and approved by
11 the Administrator.

12 (b) JOINT PLAN.—A joint plan developed under sub-
13 section (a) shall—

14 (1) ensure that the covered activity protects
15 human health and the environment; and

16 (2) include—

17 (A) relevant and appropriate requirements
18 of the National Contingency Plan;

19 (B) a description of the work to be under-
20 taken;

21 (C) identification of—

22 (i) the method to be used for dredged
23 material disposal;

24 (ii) the roles and responsibilities of
25 the Secretary and non-Federal interest;
26 and

1 (iii) sources of funding; and

2 (D) such other terms and conditions as the
3 Administrator determines necessary.

4 (c) CONSULTATION; PUBLIC COMMENT.—In devel-
5 oping a joint plan under subsection (a), the Secretary
6 shall—

7 (1) consult with interested Federal, State, and
8 local government officials; and

9 (2) provide an opportunity for public comment.

10 (d) OBLIGATIONS OF THE SECRETARY.—Prior to car-
11 rying out any covered activity pursuant to a joint plan
12 developed under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

13 (1) document any hazardous substance or pol-
14 lutant or contaminant present in the contaminated
15 sediment to be removed or remediated; and

16 (2) seek to identify any person potentially re-
17 sponsible for the release of such hazardous sub-
18 stance or pollutant or contaminant.

19 (e) COST RECOVERY.—Nothing in this section affects
20 the responsibility of the Federal Government to seek re-
21 covery under the Comprehensive Environmental Response,
22 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601
23 et seq.) from responsible parties of response costs incurred
24 by the Secretary in carrying out a covered activity.

25 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Adminis-
2 trator” means the Administrator of the Environ-
3 mental Protection Agency.

4 (2) CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT.—The term
5 “contaminated sediment” means sediment in which
6 a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant
7 is present.

8 (3) COVERED ACTIVITY.—The term “covered
9 activity” means the removal or remediation of con-
10 taminated sediment pursuant to—

11 (A) a water resources development project
12 specifically authorized by Congress for such
13 purpose; or

14 (B) section 312(f) of the Water Resources
15 Development Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 1272(f)).

16 (4) HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE.—The term “haz-
17 ardous substance” has the meaning given that term
18 in section 101 of the Comprehensive Environmental
19 Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
20 (42 U.S.C. 9601).

21 (5) NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN.—The term
22 “National Contingency Plan” means the national
23 contingency plan published under section 105 of the
24 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensa-
25 tion, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9605).

1 (6) POLLUTANT OR CONTAMINANT.—The term
2 “pollutant or contaminant” has the meaning given
3 that term in section 101 of the Comprehensive Envi-
4 ronmental Response, Compensation, and Liability
5 Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601).

6 **SEC. 104. LEVEE OWNERS BOARD.**

7 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a Levee
8 Owners Board.

9 (b) MEMBERSHIP.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall be
11 composed of eleven members, each of which is a
12 Federal levee system owner-operator, appointed by
13 the Secretary so as to represent various regions of
14 the country, including at least one member from
15 each of the eight divisions of the Corps of Engi-
16 neers.

17 (2) TERMS.—

18 (A) IN GENERAL.—A member of the Own-
19 ers Board shall be appointed for a period of 3
20 years.

21 (B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the
22 Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-
23 ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be
24 appropriate.

1 (C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-
2 ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as
3 the original appointment was made.

4 (3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Own-
5 ers Board shall appoint a chairperson from among
6 the members of the Owners Board.

7 (4) OBSERVERS.—The Secretary shall des-
8 ignate, and the Administrator may designate, a rep-
9 resentative to act as an observer of the Owners
10 Board.

11 (c) DUTIES.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall
13 meet not less frequently than semiannually to de-
14 velop and make recommendations to the Secretary
15 and Congress regarding levee system reliability
16 throughout the United States.

17 (2) ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—The
18 Owners Board shall provide—

19 (A) prior to the development of the budget
20 proposal of the President for a given fiscal year,
21 advice and recommendations to the Secretary
22 regarding overall levee system reliability;

23 (B) advice and recommendations to Con-
24 gress regarding any feasibility report for a flood

1 risk management project that has been sub-
2 mitted to Congress;

3 (C) not later than 60 days after the date
4 of the submission of the budget proposal of the
5 President to Congress for a given fiscal year,
6 advice and recommendations to Congress re-
7 garding flood risk management project con-
8 struction and rehabilitation priorities and cor-
9 responding spending levels;

10 (D) advice and recommendations to the
11 Secretary and Congress regarding the effective-
12 ness of the levee safety program of the Corps
13 of Engineers, including comments and rec-
14 ommendations on relevant information con-
15 tained in the reports of Corps of Engineers ac-
16 tivities and expenditures provided by the Sec-
17 retary under subsection (d)(2); and

18 (E) advice and recommendations to the
19 Secretary, Congress, and the Administrator re-
20 garding the effectiveness of the levee safety ini-
21 tiative, including comments and recommenda-
22 tions on relevant information contained in the
23 reports of Corps of Engineers activities and ex-
24 penditures provided by the Secretary under sub-
25 section (d)(2).

1 (3) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—The Owners
2 Board shall ensure that any advice or recommenda-
3 tions made under paragraph (2) reflect the inde-
4 pendent judgment of the Owners Board.

5 (d) DUTIES OF THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary
6 shall—

7 (1) designate an Executive Secretary who shall
8 assist the chairperson in administering the Owners
9 Board and ensuring that the Owners Board operates
10 in accordance with chapter 10 of title 5, United
11 States Code;

12 (2) provide to the Owners Board detailed re-
13 ports of Corps of Engineers activities and expendi-
14 tures related to flood risk management and levees,
15 including for the levee safety program of the Corps
16 of Engineers and the levee safety initiative, not less
17 frequently than semiannually; and

18 (3) submit to the Owners Board a copy of any
19 completed feasibility report for a flood risk manage-
20 ment project submitted to Congress.

21 (e) ADMINISTRATION.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board shall be
23 subject to chapter 10 of title 5, other than section
24 1013, and with the consent of the appropriate agen-

1 cy head, the Owners Board may use the facilities
2 and services of any Federal agency.

3 (2) MEMBERS NOT CONSIDERED SPECIAL GOV-
4 ERNMENT EMPLOYEES.—For the purposes of com-
5 plying with chapter 10 of title 5, United States
6 Code, the members of the Owners Board shall not
7 be considered special Government employees (as de-
8 fined in section 202 of title 18, United States Code).

9 (3) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Non-Federal members
10 of the Owners Board, while engaged in the perform-
11 ance of their duties away from their homes or reg-
12 ular places of business, may be allowed travel ex-
13 penses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as
14 authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States
15 Code.

16 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

17 (1) LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.—The term
18 “levee safety initiative” means the levee safety ini-
19 tiative established under section 9005 of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
21 3303a).

22 (2) NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY ACT OF 2007
23 TERMS.—The terms “Administrator”, “levee”,
24 “levee system”, and “rehabilitation” have the mean-
25 ings given those terms in section 9002 of the Water

1 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
2 3301).

3 (3) OWNERS BOARD.—The term “Owners
4 Board” means the Levee Owners Board established
5 by this section.

6 **SEC. 105. CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 14(a) of the Act of March
8 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 408(a)) is amended—

9 (1) by striking “It shall not be lawful” and in-
10 serting the following:

11 “(1) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be lawful”; and

12 (2) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(2) CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.—

14 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall,
15 by rule, establish categorical permissions for
16 any category of activities for which an applica-
17 tion for permission under paragraph (1) may be
18 submitted.

19 “(B) SCOPE.—In establishing categorical
20 permissions under subparagraph (A), the Sec-
21 retary shall consider the following:

22 “(i) The type and similarity of activi-
23 ties to be included in any specific category.

24 “(ii) The geographic area to which the
25 categorical permission will apply.

1 “(iii) The type and similarity of the
2 public works with respect to which the cat-
3 egorical permission will apply.

4 “(iv) The effects of the activities to be
5 included in a category on the applicable
6 public works and on environmental and
7 cultural resources.

8 “(v) Any additional Federal regu-
9 latory requirements that apply to the ac-
10 tivities to be included in a category.

11 “(vi) An appropriate period of validity
12 for the categorical permission.

13 “(C) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may
14 only establish a categorical permission under
15 this subsection that the Secretary determines
16 will apply to a category of activities that—

17 “(i) are similar in nature to activities
18 for which applications for permission are
19 regularly submitted under this section;

20 “(ii) will cause only minimal adverse
21 environmental effects when carried out
22 separately; and

23 “(iii) will cause only minimal cumu-
24 lative adverse environmental effects.

25 “(D) PUBLIC INPUT.—

1 “(i) SCOPING PROCESS.—In estab-
2 lishing categorical permissions under this
3 paragraph, the Secretary shall solicit input
4 from non-Federal interests, including land-
5 owners, hydropower operators, and re-
6 source agencies.

7 “(ii) COMMENT PERIOD.—The Sec-
8 retary shall make all draft categorical per-
9 missions, including any associated analyses
10 and other documentation, available for
11 public review and comment for not less
12 than 30 days.

13 “(E) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary
14 shall publish all categorical permissions estab-
15 lished under this paragraph on an appropriate
16 website of the Corps of Engineers, including,
17 for each categorical permission, identification
18 of—

19 “(i) the types of activities to which
20 the categorical permission applies;

21 “(ii) any specific circumstances that,
22 if present, would cause the categorical per-
23 mission to not apply to an activity of a
24 type identified under clause (i);

1 “(iii) the geographic area to which the
2 categorical permission applies;

3 “(iv) the types of public works with
4 respect to which the categorical permission
5 applies; and

6 “(v) the period for which the categor-
7 ical permission is valid.

8 “(F) INCORPORATION INTO APPLICATION
9 PROCESS.—

10 “(i) IDENTIFICATION IN REQUEST.—A
11 non-Federal entity may identify in an ap-
12 plication for permission under this sub-
13 section any categorical permission that
14 may apply to the activity for which the
15 permission is requested.

16 “(ii) INCLUSION IN COMPLETENESS
17 DETERMINATION.—In informing a non-
18 Federal entity whether an application for
19 permission under this subsection is com-
20 plete under subsection (d)(1), the Sec-
21 retary shall include an assessment of
22 whether a categorical permission will apply
23 to the activity for which permission is re-
24 quested, including an evaluation of the ap-
25 plicability of—

1 “(I) any categorical permission
2 identified by the non-Federal entity in
3 the application; and

4 “(II) any other categorical per-
5 mission the Secretary determines ap-
6 propriate.

7 “(G) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this para-
8 graph affects—

9 “(i) the authority of the Secretary to
10 grant or deny permission under this sec-
11 tion; or

12 “(ii) any obligation to comply with the
13 provisions of any Federal or State law, in-
14 cluding—

15 “(I) the National Environmental
16 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321
17 et seq.);

18 “(II) the Federal Water Pollution
19 Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.);
20 and

21 “(III) the Endangered Species
22 Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

23 “(H) DEADLINE FOR PROPOSED RULE.—
24 Not later than 180 days after the date of enact-
25 ment of the Water Resources Development Act

1 of 2026, the Secretary shall publish a proposed
2 rule establishing categorical permissions under
3 this paragraph.”.

4 **SEC. 106. CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

5 Section 902(b) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280(b)) is amended to read as
7 follows:

8 “(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-
9 ESTS.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), in accordance
10 with section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C.
11 701h), if the Chief of Engineers makes a determination
12 under section 8155(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
13 ment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2280 note) that an author-
14 ized water resources development project has exceeded, or
15 is expected to exceed, its maximum cost under subsection
16 (a), the Secretary may—

17 “(1) accept funds from the non-Federal interest
18 for such project pursuant to a written agreement
19 under section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
20 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

21 “(2) use such funds to carry out such project,
22 if the use of such funds does not increase the Fed-
23 eral share of the cost of such project.”.

1 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**
2 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

3 (a) **ELECTRONIC SYSTEM.**—Section 2040(a) of the
4 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
5 2345(a)) is amended—

6 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
7 VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-
8 TRONIC”;

9 (2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-
10 lows:

11 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall imple-
12 ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—

13 “(A) preparation and submission of appli-
14 cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-
15 tional determinations under the jurisdiction of
16 the Secretary; and

17 “(B) tracking of documents related to Fed-
18 eral environmental reviews for projects under
19 the jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which
20 the Corps of Engineers is designated as the
21 lead Federal agency.”;

22 (3) in paragraph (2)—

23 (A) in subparagraph (E), by striking “;
24 and” and inserting a semicolon;

25 (B) in subparagraph (F), by striking the
26 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

1 (C) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(G) documents related to Federal envi-
3 ronmental reviews for projects under the juris-
4 diction of the Secretary or for which the Corps
5 of Engineers is designated as the lead Federal
6 agency.”; and

7 (4) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(5) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—
9 To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary
10 shall use the electronic system required under para-
11 graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the
12 preparation of documents related to Federal environ-
13 mental reviews.”.

14 (b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2040(b) of
15 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
16 2345(b)) is amended—

17 (1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and
18 inserting a semicolon;

19 (2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period
20 at the end and inserting “; and”; and

21 (3) by adding at the end the following:

22 “(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project
23 to—

1 “(A) submit information related to the
2 preparation of any Federal environmental re-
3 view document associated with the project; and

4 “(B) track the status of a Federal environ-
5 mental review associated with the project.”.

6 (c) RECORD RETENTION.—Section 2040(d) of the
7 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
8 2345(d)) is amended—

9 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking
10 “RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting
11 “RECORD RETENTION”;

12 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
13 Federal environmental review documents included in
14 the electronic system” before the period at the end;
15 and

16 (3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-
17 eral environmental review documents included in the
18 electronic system” before “after the 5-year”.

19 (d) AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.—Section 2040(e) of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
21 2345(e)) is amended—

22 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
23 TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and

24 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
25 final Federal environmental review documents in-

1 cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to
2 the public”.

3 (e) DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLE-
4 MENTATION.—Section 2040(f)(1) of the Water Resources
5 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is
6 amended by striking “2 years after the date of enactment
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022” and
8 inserting “1 year after the date of enactment of the Water
9 Resources Development Act of 2026”.

10 (f) APPLICABILITY.—Section 2040(g) of the Water
11 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g))
12 is amended by inserting “, and the requirements described
13 in subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environ-
14 mental documents shall apply with respect to Federal envi-
15 ronmental review documents that are prepared after the
16 date of enactment of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2026” before the period at the end.

18 (g) E-NEPA.—Section 2040 of the Water Resources
19 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345) is amended
20 by adding at the end the following:

21 “(i) CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA.—In carrying out
22 this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the
23 results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant
24 to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal
25 Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.

1 (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2040 of the
 2 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
 3 2345) is amended in the section heading by striking
 4 “**PERMIT APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT AP-
 5 PPLICATIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.

6 **SEC. 108. PROJECT STUDY SCHEDULE AND COST ESTI-
 7 MATE.**

8 (a) VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF
 9 STUDIES.—Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform
 10 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c) is
 11 amended—

12 (1) by amending subsection (a) to read as fol-
 13 lows:

14 “(a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility
 15 study carried out by the Secretary pursuant to section
 16 905(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
 17 (33 U.S.C. 2282), the Secretary shall—

18 “(1) complete the feasibility study as efficiently
 19 and expeditiously as practicable;

20 “(2) align the scope of the feasibility study with
 21 the goals of the non-Federal interest, to the extent
 22 practicable in accordance with the authorities of the
 23 Secretary and statutory requirements relating to
 24 water resources development projects;

1 “(3) carry out the feasibility study in a manner
 2 that ensures that personnel from the district, divi-
 3 sion, and headquarters levels of the Corps of Engi-
 4 neers concurrently conduct the review required
 5 under such section 905; and

6 “(4) include in the report submitted with re-
 7 spect to the study under section 2033(f)(2) of the
 8 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33
 9 U.S.C. 2282a(f)(2)) sufficient information for Con-
 10 gress to fully review the study, which may be in the
 11 form of details relating to any remaining project
 12 cost uncertainties as appropriate for the maturity of
 13 the project design.”;

14 (2) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “the envi-
 15 ronmental review process under section 1005” and
 16 inserting “any required environmental review”;

17 (3) by striking subsections (b), (c), (e), and (f);
 18 and

19 (4) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-
 20 section (b).

21 (b) DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Section
 22 905(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
 23 (33 U.S.C. 2282(g)) is amended—

24 (1) in paragraph (2), by striking “, to the max-
 25 imum extent practicable,”;

(2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out paragraph (2), for each feasibility study, the relevant District Engineer shall establish deadlines for milestones, and a total cost estimate for the study, taking into consideration, to the maximum extent practicable—

“(i) the goals of the non-Federal interest;

“(ii) the statutory requirements and authorities of the Corps of Engineers;

“(iii) the complexity of the study; and

“(iv) any other factors identified by the District Engineer and the non-Federal interest.

“(B) COLLABORATION WITH NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—The District Engineer shall collaborate with the relevant non-Federal interest in establishing deadlines for milestones under subparagraph (A).

“(C) COMPLEXITY.—In determining the complexity of a feasibility study for purposes of subparagraph (A), the District Engineer shall

1 consider, with respect to the project that is the
2 subject of the feasibility study—

3 “(i) the type, size, location, scope, and
4 overall projected cost of the project;

5 “(ii) whether the project will use any
6 innovative design or construction tech-
7 niques;

8 “(iii) whether the project will require
9 significant action by other Federal, State,
10 or local agencies;

11 “(iv) whether there is significant pub-
12 lic dispute as to the nature or effects of
13 the project; and

14 “(v) whether there is significant pub-
15 lic dispute as to the economic or environ-
16 mental costs or benefits of the project.

17 “(D) EXTENSIONS.—At the request of the
18 relevant non-Federal interest, the District Engi-
19 neer shall extend any deadline established under
20 subparagraph (A).”; and

21 (3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
22 following:

23 “(4) CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC NOTIFICA-
24 TION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

1 “(A) submit to the Committee on Trans-
2 portation and Infrastructure of the House of
3 Representatives and the Committee on Environ-
4 ment and Public Works of the Senate an an-
5 nual report that includes—

6 “(i) a list of all detailed project sched-
7 ules established under paragraph (2) in the
8 fiscal year;

9 “(ii) a description of any such project
10 schedule that is expected to result in the
11 completion of a final feasibility report more
12 than 4 years after the date on which the
13 Secretary determines the Federal interest
14 for purposes of the report pursuant to sec-
15 tion 2033(f) of the Water Resources Devel-
16 opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(f));

17 “(iii) a description of any feasibility
18 study for which the maximum Federal cost
19 is expected to exceed \$5,000,000, based on
20 the total cost estimate established under
21 paragraph (2), including an explanation of
22 such exceedance; and

23 “(iv) an explanation of any missed
24 deadlines or extensions; and

1 “(B) make publicly available (including on
2 a publicly available website) a copy of the an-
3 nual report described in subparagraph (A) not
4 later than 14 days after the date on which a re-
5 port is submitted to Congress.”.

6 (c) APPLICABILITY.—

7 (1) NEW STUDIES.—The amendments made by
8 this section shall apply to feasibility studies initiated
9 on or after the date of enactment of this section.

10 (2) ONGOING STUDIES.—For any feasibility
11 study initiated before the date of enactment of this
12 section, but for which a final report of the Chief of
13 Engineers has not been submitted to Congress pur-
14 suant to section 2033 of the Water Resources Devel-
15 opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a) on or before
16 such date of enactment, the Secretary shall, upon re-
17 quest from the relevant non-Federal interest, apply
18 the requirements of section 1001 of the Water Re-
19 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (as
20 amended by this section) and section 905(g) of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (as
22 amended by this section) to the feasibility study.

23 (d) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS ON TERMINATED
24 STUDIES.—The Secretary shall provide written notice to
25 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of

1 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
2 ronment and Public Works of the Senate on any feasibility
3 study terminated or proposed to be terminated, including
4 a summary of the reasons for such termination, and any
5 proposed alternatives the non-Federal interest may pursue
6 in lieu of a feasibility study.

7 **SEC. 109. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

8 (a) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS
9 TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish
11 a program to carry out studies and projects for the
12 improvement of covered projects.

13 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—

14 (A) STUDIES.—

15 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may
16 carry out a study of a covered project
17 under this subsection to determine whether
18 there is a need to modify the structure or
19 operations of the covered project in order
20 to—

21 (I) improve flood risk manage-
22 ment; or

23 (II) meet applicable Federal
24 standards, including levee accredita-
25 tion standards.

1 (ii) FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT.—The
2 Secretary shall include in a study carried
3 out under this subsection—

4 (I) as part of the calculation of
5 benefits and costs, each calculation
6 identified in section 2033(d) of the
7 Water Resources Development Act of
8 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(d)); and

9 (II) an assessment of geo-
10 graphical transfers of, or changes in,
11 flood risk within and beyond the
12 boundaries of the covered project.

13 (B) PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry
14 out a project under this subsection to make
15 modifications to the structure or operations of
16 a covered project if the Secretary determines,
17 based on the study of the covered project car-
18 ried out under subparagraph (A), that—

19 (i) the modifications—

20 (I) are feasible and consistent
21 with the authorized purposes of the
22 covered project;

23 (II) will provide for flood risk
24 management in the public interest;
25 and

1 (III) are cost effective; and

2 (ii) any increases in flood risk that
3 may result from the modifications will be
4 offset within the boundaries of the covered
5 project.

6 (C) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this
7 subsection, the Secretary shall coordinate with
8 appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies.

9 (D) ITEMS PROVIDED BY THE NON-FED-
10 ERAL INTEREST.—

11 (i) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal
12 interest for a project carried out under this
13 subsection shall provide all land, ease-
14 ments, rights-of-way, and relocations nec-
15 essary for the project.

16 (ii) CREDIT.—The value of land, ease-
17 ments, rights-of-way, and relocations pro-
18 vided under clause (i) shall be credited to-
19 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of
20 the project carried out under this sub-
21 section.

22 (E) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a
23 project under this subsection shall be initiated
24 only after a non-Federal interest has entered

1 into a binding agreement with the Secretary to
2 pay—

3 (i) the non-Federal share of the costs
4 of construction required under this sub-
5 section; and

6 (ii) 100 percent of any operation,
7 maintenance, replacement, and rehabilita-
8 tion costs associated with the project, in
9 accordance with regulations prescribed by
10 the Secretary.

11 (3) COST SHARE.—

12 (A) STUDY.—Subject to subparagraph (C),
13 the Federal share of the cost of a study carried
14 out under this subsection shall be 50 percent,
15 except that the first \$100,000 of the cost of the
16 study shall be at Federal expense.

17 (B) CONSTRUCTION.—

18 (i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
19 graph (C), the non-Federal share of the
20 cost of construction of a project carried
21 out under this subsection shall be 75 per-
22 cent.

23 (ii) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The
24 non-Federal share of the cost of construc-
25 tion of a project carried out under this

1 subsection may be provided in the form of
2 in-kind contributions, including a contribu-
3 tion of a facility or supply or of a service
4 that is necessary to carry out the project.

5 (iii) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.—Sub-
6 ject to subparagraph (C), the non-Federal
7 share of the cost of construction of a
8 project carried out under this subsection
9 may be provided in the form of funds pro-
10 vided to the non-Federal interest by an-
11 other Federal agency, if such Federal
12 agency provides written consent to the
13 non-Federal interest for the use of such
14 funds for the project.

15 (C) LIMITATION.—The total Federal
16 amount expended for a study or project under
17 this subsection shall be not more than
18 \$15,000,000.

19 (4) LIMITATIONS.—

20 (A) BETTERMENTS.—The Secretary may
21 include in a project under this subsection a
22 modification to the structure or operations of a
23 covered project that is necessary solely for pur-
24 poses of meeting State or local building, zoning,

1 or other standards only as an element of a lo-
2 cally preferred plan.

3 (B) DEFERRED MAINTENANCE.—

4 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may
5 not include in a project under this sub-
6 section any modification to the structure or
7 operations of a covered project to address
8 any operations and maintenance respon-
9 sibilities of the non-Federal interest for the
10 covered project, including to address any
11 deferred or incomplete maintenance of the
12 covered project by the non-Federal inter-
13 est.

14 (ii) BASELINE CONDITION.—The Sec-
15 retary shall use the as-built condition of a
16 covered project, including the as-built con-
17 dition of any modifications authorized by
18 the Secretary, as the basis for determining
19 any modifications to the structure or oper-
20 ations of the covered project to be included
21 in a project carried out under this sub-
22 section.

23 (5) COVERED PROJECT DEFINED.—In this sub-
24 section, the term “covered project” means—

1 (A) a water resources development project
 2 constructed by the Secretary for the purpose of
 3 flood risk management; and

4 (B) a project with respect to which the
 5 Secretary is authorized to provide assistance
 6 under section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941
 7 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

8 (6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

9 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
 10 retary to carry out this subsection \$50,000,000 for
 11 each fiscal year.

12 (b) APPLICABILITY OF EXPENDITURE LIMITS.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to each covered
 14 water resources development project, the Secretary
 15 shall apply the maximum per-project Federal ex-
 16 penditure limit for the continuing authority program
 17 under which the project is authorized, as the provi-
 18 sion of law establishing such limit is in effect on the
 19 day after the date of enactment of this Act.

20 (2) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

21 (A) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.—

22 The term “continuing authority program” has
 23 the meaning given that term in section
 24 7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Re-

1 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
2 2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii)) (as amended by this Act).

3 (B) COVERED WATER RESOURCES DEVEL-
4 OPMENT PROJECT DEFINED.—The term “cov-
5 ered water resources development project”
6 means a water resources development project
7 authorized pursuant to a continuing authority
8 program, including a water resources develop-
9 ment project for which—

10 (i) an initial construction contract was
11 awarded before January 4, 2025; and

12 (ii) construction is not complete as of
13 the date of enactment of this Act.

14 (c) STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-
15 IENCY.—Section 155 of the Water Resources Development
16 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2347c) is amended to read as fol-
17 lows:

18 **“SEC. 155. STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-**
19 **IENCY.**

20 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a
21 program to carry out, in partnership with non-Federal in-
22 terests, studies and projects for drought resiliency.

23 “(b) REQUIREMENTS.—

1 “(1) STUDIES.—The Secretary may carry out a
2 study under this section for a project to provide for
3 drought resiliency, including through—

4 “(A) the implementation of water con-
5 servation measures to mitigate and address
6 drought conditions;

7 “(B) the management of sediment for in-
8 creased water in the applicable watershed;

9 “(C) mitigation and monitoring associated
10 with aquatic or riparian nonnative species that
11 exacerbate drought conditions, such as salt
12 cedar;

13 “(D) the planting of native plant species
14 that will reduce the risk of drought and the in-
15 cidence of nonnative plant species;

16 “(E) the construction of small water stor-
17 age projects; or

18 “(F) other actions that increase drought
19 resiliency and water conservation.

20 “(2) PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry out
21 a project under this subsection if the Secretary de-
22 termines, based on the study for the project carried
23 out under paragraph (1), that the project—

1 “(A) will provide for drought resiliency, in-
 2 cluding through actions described in such para-
 3 graph;

4 “(B) is in the public interest; and

5 “(C) is cost effective.

6 “(3) USE OF NATURAL AND NATURE-BASED
 7 FEATURES.—In carrying out a study or project
 8 under this section, the Secretary shall, to the max-
 9 imum extent practicable, seek to incorporate natural
 10 features and nature-based features (as those terms
 11 are defined in section 1184(a) of the Water Re-
 12 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
 13 2289a(a))).

14 “(4) ITEMS PROVIDED BY NON-FEDERAL IN-
 15 TEREST.—

16 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal in-
 17 terest for a project carried out under this sec-
 18 tion shall provide all land, easements, rights-of-
 19 way, and relocations necessary for the project.

20 “(B) CREDIT.—The value of the land,
 21 easements, rights-of-way, and relocations pro-
 22 vided under subparagraph (A) shall be credited
 23 toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the
 24 project.

1 “(5) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project
2 under this section shall be initiated only after a non-
3 Federal interest has entered into a binding agree-
4 ment with the Secretary to pay—

5 “(A) the non-Federal share of the costs of
6 construction required under this section; and

7 “(B) 100 percent of any operation, mainte-
8 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs as-
9 sociated with the project, in accordance with
10 regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

11 “(c) COST-SHARE.—

12 “(1) STUDIES.—Subject to paragraph (3), the
13 Federal share of the cost of a study carried out
14 under this section shall be 50 percent, except that
15 the first \$100,000 of the cost of the study shall be
16 at Federal expense.

17 “(2) CONSTRUCTION.—

18 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph
19 (3), and except as provided in subparagraph
20 (B), the non-Federal share of the cost of con-
21 struction of a project carried out under this sec-
22 tion shall be 35 percent.

23 “(B) SMALL WATER STORAGE
24 PROJECTS.—A small water storage project car-
25 ried out under this section shall be subject to

1 the cost-sharing requirements applicable to con-
2 struction of projects under section 103 of the
3 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
4 U.S.C. 2213), including—

5 “(i) for municipal and industrial
6 water supply, the non-Federal share of the
7 cost shall be 100 percent;

8 “(ii) for agricultural water supply, the
9 non-Federal share of the cost shall be 35
10 percent; and

11 “(iii) for recreation, including rec-
12 reational navigation, the non-Federal share
13 of the cost shall be 50 percent of separable
14 costs and, in the case of any harbor or in-
15 land harbor or channel project, 50 percent
16 of joint and separable costs allocated to
17 recreational navigation.

18 “(3) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount
19 expended for a study or project under this section
20 shall be not more than \$10,000,000.

21 “(d) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—In carrying out this
22 section, the Secretary may provide technical assistance to
23 a non-Federal interest necessary to support comprehen-
24 sive, systemwide approaches, and operations, mainte-
25 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation activities, that take

1 into account changing conditions from extreme and pro-
2 longed weather events.

3 “(e) FUNDING.—There is authorized to be appro-
4 priated to carry out this section \$35,000,000 for each fis-
5 cal year.

6 “(f) SMALL WATER STORAGE PROJECT DEFINED.—
7 In this section, the term ‘small water storage project’
8 means a project for water storage that—

9 “(1) in the case of a new project, has a water
10 storage capacity of not less than 2,000 acre-feet and
11 not more than 30,000 acre-feet; or

12 “(2) in the case of an enlargement of an exist-
13 ing project, is for an enlargement of not less than
14 1,000 acre-feet and not more than 30,000 acre-
15 feet.”.

16 (d) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE
17 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
18 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking
19 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”.

20 (e) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section
21 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
22 is amended, in the first sentence, by striking
23 “\$90,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

24 (f) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-
25 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33

1 U.S.C. 701g) is amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and
 2 inserting “\$19,000,000”.

3 (g) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
 4 ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—Section
 5 1135(h) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
 6 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(h)) is amended by striking
 7 “\$62,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

8 (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section
 9 7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Reform and
 10 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii))
 11 is amended—

12 (1) in subclause (IX), by striking “; and” and
 13 inserting a semicolon;

14 (2) in subclause (X), by striking the period at
 15 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

16 (3) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(XI) section 109(a) of the
 18 Water Resources Development Act of
 19 2026; and

20 “(XII) section 155 of the Water
 21 Resources Development Act of
 22 2020.”.

23 **SEC. 110. DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.**

24 (a) PLANNING PERIOD.—In developing a dredged
 25 material management plan for an authorized water re-

1 sources development project, the Secretary shall provide
2 for a minimum of 10 years of dredged material placement
3 capacity.

4 (b) EXCEPTION.—The requirement established under
5 subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to an author-
6 ized water resources development project if the Secretary
7 finds that providing for a minimum of 10 years of dredged
8 material placement capacity in the dredged material man-
9 agement plan for the project is not feasible due to com-
10 plexity, controversy, or other compelling factors.

11 **SEC. 111. DREDGING COORDINATION.**

12 (a) CONSULTATION.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—In determining the scope
14 and performance timeline to be included in a con-
15 tract entered into on or after the date of enactment
16 of this Act with an entity to carry out maintenance
17 dredging at an authorized water resources develop-
18 ment project, the Secretary shall consult with stake-
19 holders, including—

20 (A) the non-Federal interest for the
21 project;

22 (B) relevant Federal and State entities;
23 and

1 (C) to the extent practicable, other non-
2 Federal entities that utilize or rely on the
3 project.

4 (2) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph
5 (1), if the Secretary determines that an emergency
6 exists or there is an important national interest at
7 stake, the Secretary may determine the scope and
8 performance timeline to be included in a contract de-
9 scribed in such paragraph without consulting with
10 stakeholders that are not a party to the contract.

11 (b) COMMUNICATION.—If the Secretary receives,
12 from an entity carrying out maintenance dredging at an
13 authorized water resources development project under a
14 contract entered into with the Secretary, information
15 about changes with respect to the performance of such
16 maintenance dredging, the Secretary shall, not later than
17 3 business days after receiving such information—

18 (1) determine whether such changes are reason-
19 ably likely to affect the period of performance of
20 dredging under the contract; and

21 (2) if the Secretary determines that such
22 changes are reasonably likely to affect such period,
23 notify the applicable non-Federal interest of such
24 changes.

1 (c) CAPABILITY NUMBERS.—With respect to any
2 maintenance dredging activity, the Secretary shall, upon
3 request, make available to the applicable non-Federal in-
4 terest the estimate made by the Secretary of the amount
5 of funding that the Secretary could reasonably expend in
6 a fiscal year with respect to such activity.

7 **SEC. 112. FEDERAL STANDARD FOR DREDGED MATERIAL**
8 **DISPOSAL OR PLACEMENT.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall update the
10 regulations under chapter II of title 33, Code of Federal
11 Regulations that are applicable to establishing the Federal
12 standard for dredged material disposal or placement for
13 a water resources development project—

14 (1) to align the requirements and policy of such
15 regulations with—

16 (A) section 1130 of the Water Resources
17 Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018);

18 (B) section 125(a) of the Water Resources
19 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g),
20 including—

21 (i) the directive to include the eco-
22 nomic benefits and efficiencies from the
23 beneficial use of dredged material in any
24 determination relating to the Federal
25 standard; and

1 (ii) the national goal established in
2 subsection (a)(1)(B) of such section of
3 beneficially using not less than 70 percent
4 by volume of suitable dredged material ob-
5 tained from the construction or operation
6 and maintenance of water resources devel-
7 opment projects; and

8 (C) section 1122 of the Water Resources
9 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326
10 note); and

11 (2) taking into consideration—

12 (A) the capacity of facilities using the con-
13 fined aquatic disposal methodology to store
14 dredged material, including the available capac-
15 ity to store contaminated dredged material;

16 (B) benefits, including monetary value cre-
17 ation, attributable to the beneficial use of
18 dredged material;

19 (C) any monetary benefits of environ-
20 mental and coastal resiliency attributable to the
21 beneficial use of dredged material;

22 (D) the demand on the Corps of Engineers
23 for environmental and ecological restoration
24 projects in coastal areas; and

1 (E) any incremental cost borne by non-
2 Federal interests in implementing beneficial
3 dredged material placement separately from a
4 project.

5 (b) INITIATION TIMELINE.—Not later than 6 months
6 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
7 initiate a rulemaking to carry out subsection (a).

8 **SEC. 113. LEVEE CERTIFICATIONS.**

9 Section 3014 of the Water Resources Reform and De-
10 velopment Act of 2014 (42 U.S.C. 4131) is amended by
11 adding at the end the following:

12 “(c) RULEMAKING.—

13 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
14 after the date of enactment of this subsection, the
15 Secretary, in coordination with the Administrator
16 and the Committee on Levee Safety established
17 under section 9003 of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3302), shall initiate a
19 rulemaking to establish the methodology or process
20 by which the Secretary shall conduct a risk assess-
21 ment in evaluating a levee under subsection (b).

22 “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In issuing the rule
23 under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

24 “(A) evaluate—

“(i) multiple methodologies for conducting risk assessments, including deterministic and probabilistic methods; and

“(ii) the significant differences between each method with respect to—

“(I) cost of using each method;

“(II) reproducibility of results;

“(III) level of confidence in results produced by each method;

“(IV) how each method accounts for flood risk assessment and management implications, including risk to human life and property;

“(V) economic impacts; and

“(VI) other relevant factors; and

“(B) provide for a public notice and comment period of not less than 90 days.

“(3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) DETERMINISTIC METHOD.—The term ‘deterministic method’ means, with respect to levee evaluations under this section, a physical-criteria-based evaluation that determines compliance with the 1-percent-annual chance flood event standard set forth in section 65.10 of title 44, Code of Federal Regulations, as in effect on

1 the date of enactment of this subsection, by
2 measuring levee performance against specific,
3 fixed physical margins, including freeboard, em-
4 bankment protection, closure devices, and struc-
5 tural stability, to establish a binary determina-
6 tion of structural adequacy.

7 “(B) PROBABILISTIC METHOD.—The term
8 ‘probabilistic method’ means, with respect to
9 levee evaluations under this section, a statis-
10 tical-inference-based evaluation used as an al-
11 ternative or supplement to a deterministic
12 methodology to determine the likelihood of levee
13 failure or overtopping during a 1-percent-an-
14 nual chance flood event, through the use of—

15 “(i) system response curves or fra-
16 gility curves, which define the conditional
17 probability of failure as a function of water
18 stage or load;

19 “(ii) Monte Carlo simulations or simi-
20 lar stochastic modeling used to propagate
21 uncertainty in hydrologic, hydraulic, or
22 geotechnical parameters; and

23 “(iii) catastrophe models or propri-
24 etary risk-rating algorithms used to deter-

1 mine flood insurance premiums or levee
2 safety action classifications.”.

3 **SEC. 114. ALLOCATIONS FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE**
4 **TRUST FUND.**

5 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
6 gress that the Secretary should annually allocate all funds
7 (including funds appropriated from the Harbor Mainte-
8 nance Trust Fund) made available to the Secretary to pay
9 for operations and maintenance costs of harbors and in-
10 land harbors within the United States, including costs of
11 expanded uses carried out at an eligible harbor or inland
12 harbor under section 210(d)(2) of the Water Resources
13 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(d)(2)), in ac-
14 cordance with the requirements of 102(a)(1) of the Water
15 Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238
16 note).

17 (b) AMENDMENTS.—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-
18 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note)
19 is amended—

20 (1) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding
21 subparagraph (A)—

22 (A) by striking “to the extent prac-
23 ticable,”; and

1 (B) by striking “, to the extent there are
2 identifiable operations and maintenance
3 needs,”; and

4 (2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the
5 following:

6 “(3) ANNUAL REPORTING.—For each fiscal
7 year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
8 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
9 of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-
10 ment and Public Works of the Senate an annual re-
11 port that includes the following:

12 “(A) The total amount of expenditures
13 made in the fiscal year to pay for projects de-
14 scribed in each of subparagraphs (A) through
15 (D) of paragraph (1).

16 “(B) A list of projects for which such ex-
17 penditures were made, including the amounts
18 expended for each project.

19 “(C) An explanation for any failure to
20 make such expenditures in compliance with the
21 requirements of paragraph (1) in the fiscal
22 year, and a corrective plan for the subsequent
23 fiscal year.”.

1 **SEC. 115. SOO LOCK OPERATOR WAGE RATES.**

2 Notwithstanding any other law, rule, or regulation,
3 nonsupervisory, leader, and supervisory wage employees of
4 the Corps of Engineers at the navigation locks at Sault
5 Sainte Marie, Michigan, who are engaged in operating lock
6 and dam equipment or who repair and maintain naviga-
7 tion lock and dam operating machinery and equipment
8 shall be paid from special wage schedules having rates
9 identical to the regular wage schedule authorized for the
10 Detroit District Office.

11 **SEC. 116. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL FROM**
12 **HARBORS IN THE STATE OF OHIO.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the
14 review of a request of a non-Federal interest to enter into
15 a partnership agreement under section 217(c) of the
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.
17 2326a(c)) for the design, construction, or operation of a
18 facility used to demonstrate potential beneficial uses of
19 dredged material from a federally authorized harbor in the
20 State of Ohio.

21 (b) USER FEES.—An agreement described in sub-
22 section (a) and entered into after an expedited review
23 under subsection (a) may provide for the Secretary to re-
24 imburse the non-Federal interest for funds provided by
25 such non-Federal interest for activities carried out pursu-
26 ant to the agreement through the payment of subsequent

1 user fees to the non-Federal interest in a manner con-
2 sistent with section 217(d)(2) of the Water Resources De-
3 velopment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(d)(2)), as
4 though the non-Federal interest is a private entity under
5 such section.

6 (c) DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—In
7 reviewing a request pursuant to subsection (a), if the Sec-
8 retary determines that timely completion of a dredged ma-
9 terial management plan with respect to a federally author-
10 ized harbor described in subsection (a) is not feasible due
11 to complexity, controversy, or other compelling factors, the
12 Secretary may enter into the agreement prior to comple-
13 tion of such a dredged material management plan.

14 (d) PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES.—Prior to enter-
15 ing into an agreement reviewed pursuant to subsection (a),
16 the Secretary may apply the practices and procedures de-
17 scribed in part 337 of title 33, Code of Federal Regula-
18 tions, to the facility that is proposed to be subject to the
19 requested agreement.

20 **SEC. 117. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

21 (a) POLICY AND GUIDANCE UPDATES.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
23 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
24 retary shall revise or update the policy and guidance
25 documents of the Corps of Engineers related to iden-

1 tifying and approving the appropriate minimum in-
2 terest in real property necessary to support a water
3 resources development project, including Chapter 12
4 of Engineering Regulation 405–1–12, entitled Real
5 Estate Handbook, consistent with section 1104 of
6 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (33
7 U.S.C. 598b).

8 (2) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall make
9 publicly available (including on a publicly available
10 website) the changes to any policy or guidance docu-
11 ments made pursuant to this section.

12 (b) DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—Sec-
13 tion 1104 of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598b) is amended by adding at the end
15 the following:

16 “(f) DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—The
17 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, dele-
18 gate approval of the minimum interest in real property
19 identified under subsection (b) necessary to support a spe-
20 cific water resources development project to the District
21 Commander of the district of the Corps of Engineers in
22 which the project is located.”.

23 **SEC. 118. REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL VALIDITY.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 210 days after the
25 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

1 (1) complete a review of policies and guidance
2 of the Corps of Engineers that are applicable to the
3 real estate appraisal process for civil works projects
4 for purposes of identifying efficiencies that may be
5 incorporated into such process, including guidance
6 related to review and approval of such appraisals;
7 and

8 (2) based on such review, issue revisions to
9 such policies and guidance to ensure efficient project
10 delivery and avoid delays during feasibility study re-
11 view and approval, to the extent practicable.

12 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (a),
13 the Secretary shall develop—

14 (1) guidelines for determining the validity of an
15 appraisal at any time during the period beginning on
16 the date on which the Secretary receives the ap-
17 praisal and ending on the date on which the certifi-
18 cation of a total project cost estimate for the appli-
19 cable project expires; and

20 (2) standard—

21 (A) timeframes for review of appraisals;
22 and

23 (B) delegations of authority to approve ap-
24 praisals, for use at the discretion of the Sec-
25 retary.

1 (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days
 2 after completion of the review under subsection (a), the
 3 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
 4 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
 5 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
 6 the Senate a report that—

7 (1) describes the findings of the review;

8 (2) identifies any revisions to policy and guid-
 9 ance made as a result of the review; and

10 (3) identifies any additional recommendations
 11 for legislative action to further improve the real es-
 12 tate appraisal process.

13 **SEC. 119. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

14 Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act
 15 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (a)(5), by inserting “(includ-
 17 ing projected water supply needs for municipal, do-
 18 mestic, industrial, and agricultural purposes)” after
 19 “supply”; and

20 (2) in subsection (d)—

21 (A) in paragraph (17), by striking “; and”
 22 and inserting a semicolon;

23 (B) in paragraph (18), by striking the pe-
 24 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

25 (C) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(19) Eightmile River Watershed, Connecticut;

2 “(20) Central Florida watersheds;

3 “(21) Muskegon River Watershed, Michigan;

4 “(22) Great Egg Harbor River Watershed, New
5 Jersey;

6 “(23) Middle Rio Grande Watershed, New Mex-
7 ico;

8 “(24) the Upper Ohio River Basin;

9 “(25) the Rio Grande Basin, Texas; and

10 “(26) the Great Salt Lake Basin.”.

11 **SEC. 120. PROHIBITION ON DIVERSION OF WATER FROM**
12 **MISSOURI RIVER.**

13 (a) APPROVAL BY GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION OF
14 WATER.—No water may be diverted or exported from any
15 portion of the Missouri River, or from any tributary of
16 the Missouri River, for use outside of a Missouri River
17 State unless such diversion or export is approved by the
18 Governor of each of the Missouri River States.

19 (b) APPROVAL OF GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION
20 STUDIES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law,
21 the Secretary may not undertake any study, or expend any
22 Federal funds to contract for any study, of the feasibility
23 of diverting or exporting water from any portion of the
24 Missouri River, or from any tributary of the Missouri
25 River, for use outside the Missouri River States, unless

1 such study or expenditure is approved by the Governor
2 of each of the Missouri River States.

3 (c) PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED DIVERSIONS.—The
4 prohibition on diverting or exporting water under sub-
5 section (a) shall not apply to any diversion or export of
6 water from the Missouri River that is authorized with re-
7 spect to express or implied Federal reserved water rights
8 on or before January 1, 2025.

9 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

10 (1) MISSOURI RIVER.—The term “Missouri
11 River” means the portions of the Missouri River
12 within the United States.

13 (2) MISSOURI RIVER STATE.—The term “Mis-
14 souri River State” means the States of Colorado,
15 Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota,
16 Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Wyoming.

17 **SEC. 121. LAW ENFORCEMENT AT WATER RESOURCES DE-**
18 **VELOPMENT PROJECTS.**

19 Section 120(b) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5d(b)) is amended by strik-
21 ing “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

22 **SEC. 122. DISASTER DEBRIS REMOVAL.**

23 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
24 gress that the standard terms and provisions used by the
25 Corps of Engineers in emergency debris removal contracts

1 should facilitate effective and expedient removal of dis-
2 aster-generated debris that poses a risk to public safety
3 while maximizing the value to the taxpayer and mini-
4 mizing the risk of unnecessary debris removal that harms
5 property, natural systems, or wildlife.

6 (b) EVALUATION.—In determining the standard
7 terms and provisions that the Corps of Engineers should
8 use in emergency debris removal contracts, the Secretary
9 shall evaluate—

10 (1) the various contract and fee structures uti-
11 lized by the Corps of Engineers and the impact of
12 each such structure on total cost and work quality,
13 including an assessment of such structures that is
14 tied to the volume of debris removed;

15 (2) the addition of terms requiring coordination
16 between the Corps of Engineers, the contractor,
17 Federal, State, and local agencies and officials and
18 other relevant experts prior to removing debris to
19 ensure protection of healthy vegetation, important
20 habitats, and vulnerable wildlife; and

21 (3) other terms and measures to protect private
22 property, habitat, and wildlife during emergency de-
23bris removal activities.

1 **SEC. 123. WILDFIRE CONTINGENCY STRATEGIES.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—At the request of the non-Federal
3 interest for a water resources development project, the
4 Secretary shall develop a wildfire contingency strategy for
5 identifying both wildfire risks and possible wildfire mitiga-
6 tion with respect to such project.

7 (b) CONTENTS.—In developing a strategy under sub-
8 section (a), the Secretary shall include a—

9 (1) wildfire risk assessment; and

10 (2) wildfire mitigation plan.

11 (c) WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT.—In the wildfire
12 risk assessment described in subsection (b)(1) for a
13 project, the Secretary shall identify—

14 (1) risks to such project from wildfires—

15 (A) that may affect the operation of and
16 ability to carry out the authorized purposes of
17 the project, including navigation, flood control,
18 irrigation, hydropower, water supply, recreation,
19 and fish and wildlife conservation; and

20 (B) that may affect life and private prop-
21 erty in the vicinity of the project; and

22 (2) risks of sediment, debris, and associated
23 runoff into the project that may occur after a wild-
24 fire.

1 (d) WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN.—In the wildfire
2 mitigation plan described in subsection (b)(2) for a
3 project, the Secretary shall develop—

4 (1) a strategy that identifies actions the Sec-
5 retary or non-Federal interest may take to reduce or
6 eliminate adverse impacts to the project from a wild-
7 fire, including—

8 (A) hazardous fuels management;

9 (B) fuel or fire breaks;

10 (C) treatments for invasive species and
11 vegetation;

12 (D) aquatic ecosystem restoration activities
13 to increase wildfire resistance; and

14 (E) operational changes, including updates
15 to water control manuals or associated water
16 control plans;

17 (2) a summary of resources and capabilities to
18 implement such plan;

19 (3) a timeline to implement such plan; and

20 (4) any other information determined necessary
21 by the Secretary.

22 (e) CONSULTATION.—In developing strategies under
23 subsection (a), the Secretary shall, as applicable, consult
24 with other Federal agencies, States, Tribal governments,
25 local governments, and relevant stakeholders.

1 (f) PRIORITIZATION.—In responding to requests for
2 wildfire contingency strategies from non-Federal interests
3 pursuant to this section, the Secretary shall prioritize de-
4 veloping strategies for projects located in areas where
5 there is considerable risk of wildfire or that has been sub-
6 ject to recent wildfires.

7 (g) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
8 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
9 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
11 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
12 Senate a report on the status of implementation of this
13 section.

14 **SEC. 124. RESERVOIR SEDIMENT PILOT PROGRAM.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the
16 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall estab-
17 lish, using available funds, a pilot program under which
18 the Secretary may accept services provided by a State for
19 the removal of sediment captured behind a project for the
20 purpose of restoring the authorized storage capacity of the
21 project.

22 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out this section,
23 the Secretary shall—

1 (1) review the services of the State to ensure
2 that the services are consistent with the authorized
3 purposes of the project;

4 (2) ensure that the State will indemnify the
5 United States for, or has entered into an agreement
6 approved by the Secretary to address, any adverse
7 impact to the project as a result of such services;
8 and

9 (3) require the State, prior to initiating the
10 services and upon completion of the services, to con-
11 duct sediment surveys to determine the sediment
12 profile and quality before and after the completion
13 of such services.

14 (c) LIMITATION.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not ac-
16 cept services under subsection (a) if the Secretary,
17 after consultation with the Chief of Engineers, de-
18 termines that accepting the services is not advan-
19 tageous to the United States.

20 (2) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If the Secretary
21 makes a determination under paragraph (1) that ac-
22 cepting services is not advantageous to the United
23 States, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee
24 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
25 of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-

1 ment and Public Works of the Senate written notice
2 describing the reasoning for the determination.

3 (d) AGREEMENT.—Prior to accepting services under
4 subsection (a), the Secretary shall enter into a written
5 agreement with the State and the non-Federal interest for
6 the project that authorizes the Secretary to provide credit
7 to the non-Federal interest for operation and maintenance
8 of the project for the value of the services provided under
9 subsection (a) that the Secretary determines are integral
10 to the project.

11 (e) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Prior to ac-
12 cepting services provided by a State under subsection (a),
13 the Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Transpor-
14 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
15 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
16 the Senate written notice that the Secretary intends to ac-
17 cept the services.

18 (f) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out the pilot pro-
19 gram under subsection (a), the Secretary shall prioritize
20 accepting services for projects in the following locations:

21 (1) John Redmond Reservoir, Kansas, author-
22 ized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Control
23 Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 173).

24 (2) Kanopolis Lake, Kansas, and the sur-
25 rounding lands managed by the Corps of Engineers.

1 (3) Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas, authorized pur-
2 suant to section 2 of the Act of June 28, 1938
3 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1218).

4 (4) Sardis Lake reservoir, Oklahoma, author-
5 ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962
6 (76 Stat. 1187; 95 Stat. 1137).

7 (g) PROJECT DEFINED.—In this section, the term
8 “project” means a dam owned or operated by the United
9 States and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

10 (h) TERMINATION.—This pilot program shall termi-
11 nate on the date that is 10 years after the date of enact-
12 ment of this Act.

13 **SEC. 125. ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING.**

14 Section 8127(a) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3716) is amended by adding at
16 the end the following:

17 “(6) The project for navigation, Ipswich River,
18 Massachusetts, authorized by the River and Harbor
19 Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731).”.

20 **SEC. 126. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
21 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

22 Section 104(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1958
23 (33 U.S.C. 610(a)) is amended by adding at the end the
24 following:

1 “(4) INDIRECT COSTS.—Funds made available
 2 to carry out this section may be used to pay reason-
 3 able and necessary indirect costs associated with ac-
 4 tivities carried out under this section, including ad-
 5 ministrative expenses, overhead, and other costs not
 6 directly attributable to a specific project.”.

7 **SEC. 127. FEASIBILITY STUDIES; REVIEW OF SHORELINE**
 8 **AND STREAMBANK PROTECTION.**

9 Section 116(b) of Water Resources Development Act
 10 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2282 note) is amended by inserting
 11 “, shoreline protection, or emergency streambank protec-
 12 tion” after “reduction”.

13 **SEC. 128. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
 14 **GRAM.**

15 Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act
 16 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

17 (1) in subsection (c)—

18 (A) in paragraph (15), by striking “and”
 19 at the end;

20 (B) in paragraph (16), by striking the pe-
 21 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

22 (C) by adding at the end the following:

23 “(17) Putnam Lake, New York;

24 “(18) Ross Island Lagoon, Oregon;

1 “(19) Lakes and reservoirs in the Common-
 2 wealth of Pennsylvania, including Blue Marsh Lake;
 3 and

4 “(20) Rio Grande River Basin, Texas.”; and
 5 (2) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(h) ANNUAL UPDATE TO COMMITTEES.—Not later
 7 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection,
 8 and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall provide to the
 9 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
 10 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-
 11 ment and Public Works of the Senate an update on the
 12 status of the demonstration program carried out under
 13 subsection (a).”.

14 **SEC. 129. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**
 15 **RESTORATION.**

16 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
 17 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by
 18 adding at the end the following:

19 “(N) Shoreline of the Commonwealth of
 20 Puerto Rico.

21 “(O) Blackstone River, Rhode Island.

22 “(P) Rio Grande bordering counties,
 23 Texas.

24 “(Q) Passumpsic River watershed,
 25 Vermont.”.

1 **SEC. 130. FISH AND OYSTER HABITAT RESTORATION.**

2 Section 704(b)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)(2)) is amended—

4 (1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at
5 the end;

6 (2) in subparagraph (D)(v), by striking the pe-
7 riod and inserting “; and”; and

8 (3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the fol-
9 lowing:

10 “(E) the restoration and rehabilitation of
11 habitat for fish, including native oysters, in the
12 East River, Flushing Bay and River, and Bronx
13 River, New York, including—

14 “(i) the construction of oyster bars
15 and reefs;

16 “(ii) the rehabilitation of existing
17 marginal habitat and oyster reef sites;

18 “(iii) the use of appropriate alter-
19 native substrate material in oyster bar and
20 reef construction;

21 “(iv) the construction and upgrading
22 of oyster hatcheries; and

23 “(v) activities relating to increasing
24 the output of native oyster broodstock for
25 seeding and monitoring of restored sites to
26 ensure ecological success.”.

1 **SEC. 131. BENEFITS AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO CER-**
2 **TAIN MEASURES.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—In the evaluation by the Secretary
4 of benefits and costs of an authorized water resources de-
5 velopment project, the Secretary shall treat benefits attrib-
6 utable to measures that utilize a natural feature or nature-
7 based feature (as such terms are defined in section
8 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016
9 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a))) to be at least equal to the costs
10 of such measures.

11 (b) CONSIDERATIONS.—

12 (1) APPLICABILITY.—The Secretary shall utilize
13 the authority under subsection (a) on not more than
14 10 projects that utilize a natural feature or nature-
15 based feature, including the projects specified under
16 paragraph (2).

17 (2) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall
18 utilize the authority under subsection (a) on—

19 (A) the project for flood and storm damage
20 reduction, New York and New Jersey Harbor
21 and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey, as
22 authorized by the Act of June 15, 1955 (chap-
23 ter 140, 69 Stat. 132; 134 Stat. 2676; 138
24 Stat. 3152); and

25 (B) the development of a recommendation
26 for mitigation of hot spot erosion on an author-

1 ized coastal storm risk management project in
2 the State of New Jersey developed under sec-
3 tion 1217 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3081).

5 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 4 years after the date
6 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
7 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
8 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
9 ronment and Public Works of the Senate, and make pub-
10 licly available (including on a publicly available website),
11 a report detailing the results of each evaluation of benefits
12 and costs carried out using the authority under this sec-
13 tion.

14 (d) SUNSET.—The authority of the Secretary to ini-
15 tiate an evaluation under subsection (a) shall terminate
16 on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment
17 of this Act.

18 **SEC. 132. POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF ALL CORPS AU-**
19 **THORITIES AND MISSIONS.**

20 (a) POLICY.—

21 (1) IN GENERAL.—It is the policy of the United
22 States for the Corps of Engineers, consistent with
23 applicable statutory authorities, to—

24 (A) fully utilize the programmatic, tech-
25 nical and planning assistance, and project-spe-

1 cific authorities provided by Congress in ad-
2 dressing the mission areas described in para-
3 graph (2);

4 (B) maximize using the full array of au-
5 thorities and mission areas described in para-
6 graph (2) to comprehensively address local
7 water resources challenges, consistent with the
8 goals of the applicable non-Federal interest;

9 (C) fully identify and analyze national eco-
10 nomic development benefits, regional economic
11 development benefits, environmental quality
12 benefits, and other societal effects of proposed
13 water resource development activities and
14 projects;

15 (D) ensure that the Corps of Engineers re-
16 ceives and allocates sufficient funding to ad-
17 dress the varied local water resources challenges
18 of diverse geographic regions of the United
19 States; and

20 (E) maximize the development, evaluation,
21 and recommendation of project alternatives for
22 water resources development projects that
23 produce comprehensive project benefits.

24 (2) MISSION AREAS DESCRIBED.—The mission
25 areas described in this paragraph are the following:

1 (A) Navigation, including coastal and in-
2 land waterways navigation.

3 (B) Flood risk management and coastal
4 storm risk management (including non-
5 structural, natural, or nature-based features,
6 and efforts to control, retain, and reuse
7 stormwater).

8 (C) Environmental and ecosystem restora-
9 tion and protection.

10 (D) Municipal, industrial, and agricultural
11 water supply, water conservation, and drought
12 resiliency.

13 (E) Recreation, including recreational navi-
14 gation.

15 (F) Hydropower.

16 (G) Aquatic plant and species control.

17 (H) Emergency response.

18 (I) Research and development.

19 (b) FULL CONSIDERATION.—In carrying out sub-
20 section (a), the Secretary shall give full consideration to
21 requests and proposals from non-Federal interests that
22 are in alignment with the authorized programmatic, tech-
23 nical and planning assistance, and project-specific authori-
24 ties and mission areas described in subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 133. COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY STUDIES AND AP-**
2 **PROACHES FOR FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**
3 **AND COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT.**

4 (a) CLARIFICATION OF FEDERAL POLICY RELATED
5 TO COMPREHENSIVE FLOODING AND NONSTRUCTURAL
6 APPROACHES.—

7 (1) DECLARATION OF POLICY.—Section 1 of
8 the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701a) is
9 amended—

10 (A) by striking the section designator and
11 all that follows through “recognized” and in-
12 serting the following:

13 **“SECTION 1. DECLARATION OF POLICY.**

14 **“(a) IN GENERAL.—It is recognized”;**

15 (B) by inserting “, other water bodies, and
16 shorelines” after “the rivers”;

17 (C) by inserting “that such destructive
18 floods can originate or be augmented by the iso-
19 lated or combined impacts of water from a vari-
20 ety of drivers, such as riverine discharges, ex-
21 treme weather events, coastal and tidal flood-
22 ing, sea level rise, subsidence, and stormwater;”
23 after “national welfare;”;

24 (D) by inserting “(including the reduction
25 of comprehensive flood risk from the isolated
26 and compound effects of any of the drivers

1 identified in section 8106(a) of the Water Re-
2 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.
3 2282g(a)))” before “is a proper activity”;

4 (E) by inserting “(including the study and
5 implementation of water resources development
6 projects that provide comprehensive flood risk
7 reduction)” before “are in the interest of the
8 general welfare”; and

9 (F) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(b) NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES.—It is the sense
11 of Congress that—

12 “(1) structural features and nonstructural fea-
13 tures should be given equal consideration in every
14 feasibility study conducted by the Chief of Engineers
15 for flood risk management or hurricane and storm
16 damage risk reduction; and

17 “(2) the implementation of nonstructural fea-
18 tures determined by the Chief of Engineers to align
19 with the policy established by section 2031(a) of the
20 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (42
21 U.S.C. 1962–3) is part of the primary mission of the
22 Corps of Engineers for flood risk management and
23 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction.”.

24 (2) DEFINITION OF FLOOD CONTROL.—Section
25 2 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C.

1 701a–1) is amended by inserting “and the reduction
2 of comprehensive flood risk from the isolated and
3 compound effects of any of the drivers identified in
4 section 8106(a) of the Water Resources Develop-
5 ment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a));” after
6 “damages,”.

7 (b) CLARIFICATION ON SCOPE OF FEASIBILITY
8 STUDIES FOR COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD RISK.—Section
9 8106(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
10 (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a)) is amended—

11 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
12 striking “shall formulate” and inserting “shall in-
13 clude in the study any effects within the geographic
14 scope of the study of, and formulate”;

15 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking “frequency”
16 and inserting “frequency, including flooding associ-
17 ated with stormwater that flows at a rate of less
18 than 800 cubic feet per second for the 10-percent
19 flood”;

20 (3) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through
21 (10) as clauses (i) through (x), respectively, and ad-
22 justing the margins accordingly;

23 (4) in the matter preceding clause (i), as so re-
24 designated, by striking “In carrying out” and insert-
25 ing the following:

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out”; and

2 (5) by adding at the end the following:

3 “(2) RECOMMENDATION OF COMPREHENSIVE
4 FLOOD RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVE.—If the Sec-
5 retary determines that a project alternative identi-
6 fied by the non-Federal interest pursuant to para-
7 graph (1) is feasible, the Secretary shall incorporate
8 such alternative in the recommended plan for the
9 project as a project feature the costs of which are
10 shared as construction.”.

11 **SEC. 134. REALIGNMENT OF CERTAIN CORPS OF ENGI-**
12 **NEERS DISTRICTS.**

13 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment
14 of this Act, the Secretary shall realign the following dis-
15 tricts of the Corps of Engineers as follows:

16 (1) Atchison County, Missouri, and Holt Coun-
17 ty, Missouri, shall be transferred to the Kansas City
18 District.

19 (2) Northeast Missouri shall be transferred
20 from the Rock Island District to the St. Louis Dis-
21 trict.

22 **SEC. 135. UPDATE OF CORPS POLICY.**

23 (a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise specifically
24 provided by this Act, not later than 120 days after the
25 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

1 (1) issue any new policy or guidance document
2 necessary to implement this Act; and

3 (2) revise or update any policy or guidance doc-
4 ument of the Corps of Engineers in effect on the
5 date of enactment of this Act to be in compliance
6 with this Act, including the amendments made by
7 this Act.

8 (b) REVOCATION OF PRIOR POLICY AND GUID-
9 ANCE.—Effective on the date that is 120 days after the
10 date of enactment of this Act, any policy or guidance docu-
11 ment of the Corps of Engineers that is not in compliance
12 with this Act, including the amendments made by this Act,
13 is revoked.

14 **SEC. 136. AVAILABILITY OF PROJECT INFORMATION.**

15 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
16 gress that the Corps of Engineers, including each district
17 of the Corps of Engineers, should have open communica-
18 tions with each office of a Member of Congress.

19 (b) IN GENERAL.—At the request of the Chairman
20 or Ranking Member of the Committee on Transportation
21 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives or the
22 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
23 ate, the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers shall pro-
24 vide, as expeditiously as possible, information or technical
25 assistance relating to water resources development

1 projects, including information relating to feasibility stud-
 2 ies, Chief’s Reports, project justification and scope, au-
 3 thorization and implementation status, estimated project
 4 costs and schedules, operational capabilities, and other in-
 5 formation relating to such projects.

6 (c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
 7 tion shall be construed to grant any authority to the Sec-
 8 retary or the Chief to deny a request for information re-
 9 lated to a water resources development project.

10 **SEC. 137. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

11 Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act
 12 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (d)—

14 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “shall
 15 not submit” and all that follows through “un-
 16 less such report contains” and inserting “may
 17 not approve any proposal related to a water re-
 18 sources project unless the Secretary has pre-
 19 pared a report relating to the project that con-
 20 tains”;

21 (B) in paragraph (2)—

22 (i) by striking “The Secretary” and
 23 inserting the following:

24 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

1 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-
2 lowing:

3 “(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary
4 shall consult with the non-Federal interest for
5 a water resources project, and other stake-
6 holders, to the maximum extent practicable—

7 “(i) to identify mitigation implementa-
8 tion practices or accepted assessment
9 methodologies used in the region of the
10 water resources project and incorporate
11 such practices and methodologies into the
12 mitigation plan for such project; and

13 “(ii) to identify projects that have not
14 been constructed, or concepts described in
15 mitigation plans for other water resources
16 projects, that may be used to meet the res-
17 toration or mitigation needs of the water
18 resources project.”; and

19 (C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by insert-
20 ing “or a description of the requirements for a
21 third-party mitigation instrument that would be
22 developed in the case that a contract for future
23 delivery of credits will be used” after “to be
24 used”;

25 (2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—

1 (A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-
2 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
3 in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;
4 and

5 (B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-
6 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
7 in the mitigation instrument” after “pro-
8 grams”; and

9 (3) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(l) SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Mitigation of fish and
11 wildlife losses required under this section that is provided
12 in the form of credit shall be considered a separable ele-
13 ment of a project without requiring further evaluation.

14 “(m) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall ensure
15 that—

16 “(1) the mitigation requirements for each water
17 resources project—

18 “(A) are made publicly available (including
19 on a publicly available website of the head-
20 quarters of the Corps of Engineers); and

21 “(B) include the location of the project,
22 the anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type
23 of mitigation required, the amount of mitigation
24 required, and the remaining mitigation needs;

1 “(2) the mitigation plan for such project is
2 made publicly available, as applicable;

3 “(3) the information described in paragraph (1)
4 is updated regularly; and

5 “(4) carrying out the requirements of this sub-
6 section with respect to each water resources project
7 is considered a project expense.

8 “(n) COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent prac-
9 ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery
10 team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work
11 in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-
12 forts.”.

13 **SEC. 138. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING EVALUATION**
14 **OF FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECTS.**

15 It is the sense of Congress that, for each flood risk
16 management project completed by the Corps of Engineers
17 prior to the date of enactment of this Act for which an
18 interest in real property is required, the Secretary
19 should—

20 (1) review and, if necessary, update the min-
21 imum real estate interest necessary for the project,
22 based on the As-built Drawings approved by the
23 Secretary with respect to the project—

1 (A) to maintain project benefits pursuant
2 to applicable guidance of the Corps of Engi-
3 neers;

4 (B) to comply with the requirements of the
5 manual prepared under section 5(c)(1) of the
6 Flood Control Act of August 18, 1941 (33
7 U.S.C. 701n(c)(1)); and

8 (C) to be able to receive any permissions
9 under section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
10 (33 U.S.C. 408), required for any anticipated
11 construction, operation and maintenance, re-
12 pair, rehabilitation, or replacement of the
13 project;

14 (2) provide a summary of the review under
15 paragraph (1) to the non-Federal interest for, or
16 levee operator of, the project, including with the re-
17 view, if applicable, identification of any additional
18 interest in real property that the non-Federal inter-
19 est must acquire to meet the minimum real estate
20 interest determined necessary in the review; and

21 (3) develop a streamlined process through
22 which the Secretary, upon request of a non-Federal
23 interest, may update project boundaries to reflect
24 any update to the minimum real estate interest nec-

1 essary for the project, as determined by a review
2 under paragraph (1).

3 **SEC. 139. RECREATIONAL ACCESS.**

4 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
5 gress that the Secretary should, when a public recreational
6 amenity, including a park, trail, green space, recreational
7 waterway, or other public open space available for rec-
8 reational opportunities, is affected by the construction of
9 a water resources development project—

10 (1) maintain a level of recreational access to
11 such public recreational amenity that is equivalent to
12 the level provided when the public recreational
13 amenity is not affected by such construction, includ-
14 ing by providing alternative access where necessary
15 to ensure continued recreational opportunities dur-
16 ing such construction; and

17 (2) minimize temporary disruptions to such ac-
18 cess through project planning and coordination with
19 affected communities.

20 (b) RECREATIONAL ACCESS PRESERVATION PLAN.—
21 To the maximum extent practicable, as part of a feasibility
22 study for a water resources development project, the Sec-
23 retary shall—

24 (1) review the potential impact of construction
25 of the project on existing public recreational amen-

ities, including facilities for hiking, biking, walking,
and waterborne recreation; and

(2) include in any final recommendation for
such project a plan to minimize disruptions and
maintain recreational access, as described in sub-
section (a), to such amenities during and after con-
struction of the project.

SEC. 140. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MUNITIONS DISPOSAL.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should
collaborate with the Secretary of Defense to identify the
agency responsible for remediation of explosive ordnance
and unexploded ordnance disposal in accordance with the
authority provided in section 1027 of the Water Resources
Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 426e-
2).

SEC. 141. CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORKFORCE.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WORKFORCE NEEDS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Corps of Engineers should maintain a
professional workforce capable of addressing the var-
ied statutory responsibilities entrusted to the Corps
of Engineers in a timely manner, including—

(A) the technical expertise necessary to de-
sign and deliver complex projects for naviga-
tion, flood and storm risk reduction, ecosystem

1 restoration, water supply and water conserva-
2 tion, hydropower, and recreation;

3 (B) the ability to partner with State and
4 local governments and Indian Tribes in pro-
5 viding technical and planning assistance to
6 communities in addressing local water resources
7 challenges; the personnel required to meet its
8 emergency response authorities; and

9 (C) the regulatory expertise to administer
10 statutory reviews and approvals; and

11 (2) the Corps of Engineers should—

12 (A) improve its recruiting efforts;

13 (B) offer clear paths to Federal intern-
14 ships for students from high school through
15 postgraduate school;

16 (C) offer clear paths to Federal careers for
17 recent graduates;

18 (D) promote the appropriate utilization of
19 volunteers; and

20 (E) provide meaningful training, men-
21 toring, and career development opportunities,
22 including apprenticeships, to ensure that future
23 Corps of Engineers workforce needs are met.

24 (b) EVALUATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORK-
25 FORCE NEEDS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall seek to
2 contract with the National Academy of Public Ad-
3 ministration to carry out a comprehensive review of
4 workforce demands and needs of the Corps of Engi-
5 neers, which shall include—

6 (A) an evaluation of the professional work-
7 force needs of the Corps of Engineers necessary
8 to meet the statutory responsibilities of the
9 Corps of Engineers, including—

10 (i) ensuring the full staffing of posi-
11 tions that support missions, projects, or
12 operations, including such positions that
13 are—

14 (I) inherently governmental or
15 related to public safety, navigational
16 capacity, or national security; or

17 (II) necessary for the review and
18 resolution of statutory reviews and ap-
19 provals in a timely manner; and

20 (ii) challenges facing the Corps of En-
21 gineers related to recruitment, retention,
22 reductions-in-force, retirements,
23 credentialing, professional development,
24 on-the-job training, and other readiness-re-
25 lated gaps;

1 (B) an evaluation of how increased use of
2 public-private partnerships and other efforts by
3 non-Federal interests to carry out responsibil-
4 ities related to the study, planning, design, and
5 construction of authorized water resources de-
6 velopment projects affect future Corps of Engi-
7 neers workforce needs;

8 (C) an assessment of the existing tech-
9 nology used by the Corps of Engineers, the ef-
10 fects of any inefficiencies in the use of tech-
11 nology by the Corps of Engineers, and rec-
12 ommendations for improved technology or tools
13 to accomplish the missions and responsibilities
14 of the Corps of Engineers; and

15 (D) recommendations based on the review
16 under this paragraph to improve the capacity
17 and preparedness of the Corps of Engineers
18 workforce to ensure that such responsibilities
19 are fully and competently addressed in a timely
20 manner and increase the efficiency of the Corps
21 of Engineers project delivery.

22 (2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than
23 180 days after the date on which the Secretary re-
24 ceives the findings of the review under paragraph
25 (1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on

1 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
2 Representatives and the Committee on Environment
3 and Public Works of the Senate a report that in-
4 cludes—

5 (A) a copy of such findings; and

6 (B) a detailed response to such findings,
7 including any recommendations the Secretary
8 plans to implement to ensure that the statutory
9 responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers are
10 fully and competently addressed and ensure the
11 efficiency of project delivery.

12 **SEC. 142. REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.**

13 (a) REPORT.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
15 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
16 shall submit to the Committees on Transportation
17 and Infrastructure and Appropriations of the House
18 of Representatives and the Committees on Environ-
19 ment and Public Works and Appropriations of the
20 Senate a report that includes for each report de-
21 scribed in paragraph (2) the following:

22 (A) A summary of the status of the report,
23 including if the report has been initiated.

24 (B) The amount of funds that—

1 (i) have been made available to carry
2 out each such report; and

3 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete
4 each such report.

5 (C) A detailed summary of the resources
6 and procedures the Secretary intends to use to
7 complete the report, including the expected
8 timeline for completion of the report.

9 (D) Any other information regarding the
10 report that the Secretary determines may be
11 relevant to such committees in understanding
12 the status, timing, and progress towards com-
13 pletion of the report.

14 (2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports de-
15 scribed in this paragraph are the following:

16 (A) The initial report required under sec-
17 tion 1150(a)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-
18 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3040).

19 (B) The report on turbidity in the Willam-
20 ette Valley, Oregon, required under section
21 1205(b) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3071).

23 (C) The report on ice jam prevention and
24 mitigation required under section 1205(k) of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024
2 (138 Stat. 3075).

3 (D) The report on excess lands for Whit-
4 tier Narrows Dam, California, required under
5 section 8213 of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).

7 (E) The report on recreational boating in
8 the Great Lakes basin required under section
9 8218 of the Water Resources Development Act
10 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

11 (F) The report on the disposition study on
12 hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon,
13 required under section 8220(b) of the Water
14 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
15 3762).

16 (G) The report on the status of the imple-
17 mentation of real estate administrative fees re-
18 quired under section 1120 of the Water Re-
19 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.
20 3014).

21 (H) The report summarizing comparisons
22 of the benefit-cost ratios of projects in covered
23 communities required under section 1148(d) of
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024
25 (138 Stat. 3039).

1 (I) The report on dredge capacity required
2 under section 8205 of the Water Resources De-
3 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

4 (J) The report identifying opportunities for
5 potential exchange of land or flowage easements
6 associated with the Lake O' the Pines, Texas,
7 project, as required under section 1222 of the
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2024
9 (138 Stat. 3082).

10 (b) PRIOR GUIDANCE.—Not later than 45 days after
11 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue
12 guidance regarding each of the following:

13 (1) Section 103(m) of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

15 (2) Section 444 of the Water Resources Devel-
16 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286;
17 138 Stat. 3149).

18 (3) Section 8132 of the Water Resources Devel-
19 opment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e).

20 (4) Section 1129 of the Water Resources Devel-
21 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018).

22 (5) Section 1148 of the Water Resources Devel-
23 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039).

1 **SEC. 143. ABILITY TO PAY.**

2 Section 1139(c) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3026) is amended—

4 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
5 inserting “and studies” after “projects”; and

6 (2) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(17) The project for flood risk management,
8 Big Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois,
9 authorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood
10 Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).

11 “(18) The project for shoreline erosion manage-
12 ment, Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky, au-
13 thorized by section 3071 of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1124).

15 “(19) The study for the project for ecosystem
16 restoration, Lower Osage River Basin, Missouri, au-
17 thorized by section 201 of the Water Resources De-
18 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670).

19 “(20) The project for ecosystem restoration,
20 Lower Blackstone River, Rhode Island, described in
21 section 8361 of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3804).

23 “(21) The study for the reallocation of water
24 supply storage, Aquilla Lake, Texas, carried out in
25 accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply
26 Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), authorized by section

1 202(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of
2 2020 (134 Stat. 2675).

3 “(22) The study to modify the project for hurri-
4 cane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk
5 Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia, author-
6 ized by section 401(3) of the Water Resources De-
7 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

8 **SEC. 144. PILOT PROGRAM PRIORITIZATION.**

9 Section 118(h) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended by adding
11 at the end the following:

12 “(10) The project for flood risk management,
13 Big Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois,
14 authorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood
15 Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).

16 “(11) The project for shoreline and riverine
17 protection and restoration, Passumpsic River water-
18 shed, Vermont, authorized by section 212 of the
19 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33
20 U.S.C. 2332).”.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY STUDIES.

(a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

(1) AFRICATOWN, ALABAMA.—Project for ecosystem restoration in the vicinity of the community of Africatown in Mobile County, Alabama.

(2) BIG CREEK LAKE, ALABAMA.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Big Creek Lake, Mobile County, Alabama.

(3) MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA.—Project for ecosystem restoration in Mobile County, Alabama, in the vicinity of Chickasaw Creek, Hog Bayou, Three Mile Creek, and Mobile River.

(4) GALENA, ALASKA.—Project for flood risk management, City of Galena, Alaska.

1 (5) RUSSIAN CREEK, ALASKA.—Project for
2 flood risk management, including riverbank sta-
3 bilization, Russian Creek, Kodiak Island Borough,
4 Alaska, in the vicinity of the community of Bell
5 Flats.

6 (6) SCOW BAY, ALASKA.—Project for naviga-
7 tion, Scow Bay, Borough of Petersburg, Alaska.

8 (7) SITKA, ALASKA.—Project for coastal storm
9 risk management, City and Borough of Sitka, Alas-
10 ka.

11 (8) DUDLEYVILLE, ARIZONA.—Project for flood
12 risk management, Dudleyville, Arizona.

13 (9) CARMEL RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
14 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
15 Carmel River, Monterey County, California.

16 (10) EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
17 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
18 City of El Monte, California.

19 (11) HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
20 coastal storm risk management and ecosystem res-
21 toration, City of Hayward, California, in the vicinity
22 of the Water Resource Recovery Facility.

23 (12) PASADENA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
24 flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and

1 recreation, Lower Arroyo Seco, City of Pasadena,
2 California.

3 (13) REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
4 flood risk management, Redwood City, California.

5 (14) SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CALI-
6 FORNIA.—Project for coastal storm risk manage-
7 ment, including shoreline erosion protection, City of
8 San Clemente, California.

9 (15) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—
10 Project for flood risk management, including sedi-
11 ment management, in the vicinity of the Sweetwater
12 Reservoir, including its spillways and dikes, San
13 Diego County, California.

14 (16) CAPITOL REGION, CONNECTICUT.—Project
15 for flood risk management in proximity to the Con-
16 necticut River, Capitol Region, Connecticut.

17 (17) COGINCHAUG RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—
18 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
19 restoration, Coginchaug River, towns of Durham
20 and Middlefield, Connecticut.

21 (18) DELAWARE RIVER, DELAWARE.—Project
22 for flood risk management, including shoreline dam-
23 age prevention and mitigation, recreation, and eco-
24 system restoration, Delaware River, Delaware.

1 (19) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Project for
2 flood risk management, City of New Castle, Dela-
3 ware.

4 (20) BOGGY CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—
5 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
6 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-
7 ment, Boggy Creek Watershed, Florida.

8 (21) BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for
9 flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-
10 ment, and ecosystem restoration, in the back bay
11 system of Broward County, Florida.

12 (22) EATONVILLE, FLORIDA.—Project for flood
13 risk management and ecosystem restoration, town of
14 Eatonville, Florida, in the vicinity of Lake King.

15 (23) FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for
16 coastal storm risk management, including effects on
17 back bays and open-coast shorelines, Flagler County,
18 Florida.

19 (24) HORSESHOE BEACH, FLORIDA.—Project
20 for coastal storm risk management, including shore-
21 line damage prevention and mitigation, town of
22 Horseshoe Beach, Florida.

23 (25) LAKE HART WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—
24 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem

1 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-
2 ment, Lake Hart Watershed, Florida.

3 (26) LITTLE WEKIVA WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—
4 Project for flood risk management, ecosystem res-
5 toration, and recreation, including sediment manage-
6 ment, and shoreline erosion, Little Wekiva Water-
7 shed, Orange County, Florida, including Lake
8 Lawne and Lake Orlando.

9 (27) LOWER WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER WATER-
10 SHED, FLORIDA.—Project for ecosystem restoration,
11 Lower Withlacoochee River watershed, Florida.

12 (28) PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for
13 ecosystem restoration and water supply, Pasco
14 County, Florida.

15 (29) SHINGLE CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—
16 Project for flood risk reduction and ecosystem res-
17 toration within the Shingle Creek Watershed, includ-
18 ing Lake Fran and Lake Richmond, Florida.

19 (30) ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA.—Project for
20 flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-
21 ment, and ecosystem restoration, city of St. Peters-
22 burg, Florida, including canals in the city of St. Pe-
23 tersburg.

24 (31) ELKHORN LAKE, LETCHER COUNTY, KEN-
25 TUCKY.—Project for flood risk management and

1 water supply at Elkhorn Lake, Letcher County,
2 Kentucky.

3 (32) GWINNETT COUNTY, GEORGIA.—Project
4 for flood risk management, Gwinnett County, Geor-
5 gia.

6 (33) SOUTH RIVER WATERSHED, GEORGIA.—
7 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
8 restoration, South River watershed, Georgia.

9 (34) HONOLULU, HAWAII.—Project for flood
10 risk management and coastal storm risk manage-
11 ment, including shoreline erosion protection, in the
12 vicinity of the Waikīkī Natatorium War Memorial,
13 Kaimana and Waikīkī beaches, City and County of
14 Honolulu, Hawaii.

15 (35) PORT ALLEN, KAUA‘I COUNTY, HAWAII.—
16 Project for flood risk management, navigation, and
17 coastal storm risk management, Port Allen, Kaua‘i
18 County, Hawaii.

19 (36) LITTLE SOAP CREEK WATERSHED,
20 IOWA.—Project for flood risk management and eco-
21 system restoration, Little Soap Creek Watershed,
22 Appanoose and Davis Counties, Iowa.

23 (37) LOWER DES MOINES RIVER WATERSHED,
24 IOWA.—Project for flood risk management and eco-
25 system restoration, Lower Des Moines River Water-

1 shed, Appanoose, Davis, Marion, Monroe, and
2 Wapello Counties, Iowa.

3 (38) COMITE RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Project for
4 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
5 including sediment and debris management, in the
6 vicinity of East Baton Rouge Parish and East
7 Feliciana Parish, Comite River, Louisiana.

8 (39) SAINT CHARLES PARISH, LOUISIANA.—
9 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
10 restoration, including water and sediment manage-
11 ment, Saint Charles Parish, Louisiana.

12 (40) SCOTT’S BLUFF, LOUISIANA.—Project for
13 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
14 including shoreline erosion prevention along the Mis-
15 sissippi River, Scott’s Bluff, City of Baton Rouge,
16 Louisiana, which the Secretary shall, to the max-
17 imum extent practicable, carry out utilizing and in-
18 corporating existing work, including analysis pro-
19 vided pursuant to section 22 of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16).

21 (41) ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND.—Project for flood
22 risk management, City of Rockville, Maryland, in
23 the vicinity of the Rockville Water Treatment Plant.

24 (42) ISLAND END RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—
25 Project for flood risk management and coastal storm

1 risk management in the vicinity of Island End River,
2 cities of Chelsea and Everett, Massachusetts.

3 (43) PROVINCETOWN, MASSACHUSETTS.—
4 Project for coastal storm risk management, includ-
5 ing shoreline damage prevention and mitigation,
6 Town of Provincetown, Massachusetts.

7 (44) WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for
8 navigation in the vicinity of Winthrop Town Pier,
9 Town of Winthrop, Massachusetts.

10 (45) BAY CITY, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
11 risk management, Saginaw River, Bay City, Michi-
12 gan.

13 (46) GLADWIN AND MIDLAND COUNTIES,
14 MICHIGAN.—Project for ecosystem restoration and
15 streambank erosion prevention, Gladwin and Mid-
16 land Counties, Michigan.

17 (47) OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project
18 for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration
19 along the Caddell Drain, Oakland County, Michigan.

20 (48) WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project for
21 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
22 agement, Wayne County, Michigan, in the vicinity of
23 the seawall along Lakeshore Drive.

24 (49) MISSISSIPPI SOUND BEACHES, MIS-
25 SISSIPPI.—Project for ecosystem restoration and

1 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
2 damage prevention and mitigation, Mississippi
3 Sound beaches in Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson
4 Counties, Mississippi.

5 (50) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Project for flood
6 risk management, navigation, recreation, and eco-
7 system restoration in the vicinity of Laclede’s Land-
8 ing, city of St. Louis, Missouri.

9 (51) BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Project
10 for flood risk management in Bergen County, New
11 Jersey.

12 (52) BOGOTA, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood
13 risk management, borough of Bogota, New Jersey.

14 (53) CAMDEN AND GLOUCESTER COUNTIES,
15 NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management
16 and ecosystem restoration in riverine areas stem-
17 ming from the Delaware River, Camden and
18 Gloucester Counties, New Jersey.

19 (54) CRESSKILL, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
20 flood risk management, Borough of Cresskill, New
21 Jersey.

22 (55) GARWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
23 flood risk management, Borough of Garwood, New
24 Jersey.

1 (56) GLOUCESTER CITY, NEW JERSEY.—Project
2 for flood risk management and coastal storm risk
3 management in the vicinity of Proprietors Park,
4 Gloucester City, New Jersey.

5 (57) OVERPECK CREEK WATERSHED, NEW JER-
6 SEY.—Project for flood risk management, including
7 debris management, Overpeck Creek watershed, Bor-
8 ough of Tenafly, New Jersey.

9 (58) PASCACK BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—Project
10 for flood risk management, including debris manage-
11 ment, in the vicinity of Pascack Brook, boroughs of
12 Montvale and Park Ridge, New Jersey.

13 (59) PASCACK VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.—Project
14 for flood risk management along the Hackensack
15 River, Pascack Brook, and Musquapsink Brook in
16 the Pascack Valley, Bergen County, New Jersey.

17 (60) WEST ORANGE, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
18 flood risk management, township of West Orange,
19 New Jersey.

20 (61) RIO GRANDE BASIN, NEW MEXICO.—
21 Project for water supply and ecosystem restoration,
22 Rio Grande Basin, New Mexico.

23 (62) AMITY HARBOR, NEW YORK.—Project for
24 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
25 agement, Amity Harbor, New York.

1 (63) ATLANTIC BEACH, NEW YORK.—Project
2 for flood risk management and coastal storm risk
3 management, Village of Atlantic Beach, New York.

4 (64) BAYPORT, NEW YORK.—Project for coastal
5 storm risk management, including shoreline erosion
6 protection, Bayport, New York.

7 (65) DAVIS PARK, FIRE ISLAND, NEW YORK.—
8 Project for flood risk and coastal storm risk man-
9 agement, including shoreline erosion protection,
10 Davis Park, Fire Island, New York.

11 (66) LAWRENCE, NEW YORK.—Project for flood
12 risk management, coastal storm risk management,
13 and navigation, in the vicinity of Bannister Bay and
14 Village of Lawrence, New York.

15 (67) MATTITUCK INLET, SOUTHOLD, NEW
16 YORK.—Project for navigation, coastal storm risk
17 management, and ecosystem restoration, in
18 Mattituck Inlet, Town of Southold, New York.

19 (68) MOHAWK RIVER AND ERIE CANAL, NEW
20 YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration, including
21 aquatic invasive species management, Mohawk River
22 and Erie Canal in the vicinity of the City of Rome,
23 New York.

24 (69) NISSEQUOGUE RIVER, NEW YORK.—
25 Project for flood risk management, coastal storm

1 risk management, navigation, ecosystem restoration,
2 and recreation, in the vicinity of Stony Brook Har-
3 bor, Nissequogue River, New York.

4 (70) PECONIC BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for
5 navigation and coastal storm risk management, in-
6 cluding shoreline damage prevention and mitigation,
7 Peconic Bay and connected harbors and inlets, New
8 York.

9 (71) POINT O' WOODS, FIRE ISLAND, NEW
10 YORK.—Project for flood risk management, coastal
11 storm risk management, including shoreline damage
12 protection and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-
13 tion, Point O' Woods, Fire Island, New York.

14 (72) PORT WASHINGTON, NEW YORK.—Project
15 for navigation, in the vicinity of Tom's Point, Port
16 Washington, New York.

17 (73) SOUTH SHORE, LONG ISLAND, NEW
18 YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration, flood risk
19 management, coastal storm risk management, and
20 navigation, Nassau and Suffolk Counties, New York.

21 (74) SPRING VALLEY, NEW YORK.—Project for
22 flood risk management in the vicinity of Memorial
23 Park, Village of Spring Valley, New York.

24 (75) CAPE FEAR RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—
25 Project for water supply and ecosystem restoration,

1 Cape Fear River in the vicinity of Cumberland
2 County, North Carolina.

3 (76) FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—
4 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
5 restoration, Cross Creek, Fayetteville, North Caro-
6 lina.

7 (77) TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH CAROLINA.—
8 Project for flood risk management, Town of Topsail
9 Beach, North Carolina.

10 (78) WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project
11 for flood risk management, City of Whiteville, North
12 Carolina.

13 (79) WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project
14 for flood risk management, Waccamaw River basin,
15 City of Whiteville, North Carolina.

16 (80) MAUMEE RIVER, OHIO.—Project for flood
17 risk management, including riverbank stabilization,
18 ecosystem restoration, and recreation, Maumee
19 River, in the vicinity of Glass City Riverwalk, Ohio.

20 (81) HOOD RIVER COUNTY, OREGON.—Project
21 for flood risk management and ecosystem restora-
22 tion, including sediment management, Hood River
23 County, Oregon.

1 (82) SAUCON CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project
2 for flood risk management, Saucon Creek, in the vi-
3 cinity of the Borough of Hellertown, Pennsylvania.

4 (83) CEIBA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal
5 storm risk management, including shoreline damage
6 prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-
7 tion, Ceiba, Puerto Rico.

8 (84) FAJARDO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
9 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
10 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem
11 restoration, Fajardo, Puerto Rico.

12 (85) LOÍZA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal
13 storm risk management, including shoreline damage
14 prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-
15 tion, Loíza, Puerto Rico.

16 (86) NAGUABO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
17 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
18 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem
19 restoration, Naguabo, Puerto Rico.

20 (87) RÍO ANTÓN RUÍZ, PUERTO RICO.—Project
21 for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration
22 along the Río Antón Ruíz and adjacent levees in
23 Humacao, Puerto Rico.

24 (88) VEGA BAJA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
25 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-

1 agement, including sediment management, Puerto
2 Nuevo Lagoon (also known as “Quintín Valle La-
3 goon”), Vega Baja, Puerto Rico.

4 (89) YABUCOA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
5 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
6 damage prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem
7 restoration, Yabucoa, Puerto Rico.

8 (90) EASTON POND, RHODE ISLAND.—Project
9 for coastal storm risk management, ecosystem res-
10 toration, and water supply, Easton Pond, City of
11 Newport, Rhode Island.

12 (91) WEST RIVER WATERSHED, RHODE IS-
13 LAND.—Project for flood risk management, West
14 River watershed, Rhode Island.

15 (92) CUMBERLAND COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—
16 Project for water supply, Cumberland County, Ten-
17 nessee.

18 (93) DUCK RIVER, TENNESSEE.—Project for
19 flood risk reduction, ecosystem restoration, water
20 supply, and recreation, Duck River, Tennessee.

21 (94) ENKA DAM, TENNESSEE.—Project for eco-
22 system restoration, Enka Dam, in the vicinity of
23 Newport, Tennessee.

24 (95) ARROYO COLORADO RIVER, TEXAS.—
25 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem

1 restoration, including shoreline erosion protection,
2 along the Arroyo Colorado River in the City of Rio
3 Hondo, Texas.

4 (96) BELL AND MCLENNAN COUNTIES,
5 TEXAS.—Project for water supply, Bell and
6 McLennan Counties, Texas.

7 (97) CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for
8 flood risk management, water supply, and ecosystem
9 restoration, in the vicinity of Sweeney Lake and
10 Resaca de los Fresnos, Cameron County, Texas.

11 (98) ESCOBARES, TEXAS.—Project for flood
12 risk management, City of Escobares, Texas.

13 (99) NUECES COUNTY SHORELINE, TEXAS.—
14 Project for coastal storm risk management, includ-
15 ing shoreline erosion protection, Nueces County,
16 Texas.

17 (100) ODESSA, TEXAS.—Project for water sup-
18 ply in the City of Odessa, Texas.

19 (101) PARKER COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project for
20 water supply, Parker County, Texas.

21 (102) ROMA, TEXAS.—Project for flood risk
22 management, City of Roma, Texas.

23 (103) UPPER SAN JACINTO RIVER BASIN,
24 TEXAS.—Project for flood risk management and
25 water supply, Upper San Jacinto River basin, in the

1 vicinity of Liberty, Montgomery, and San Jacinto
2 Counties, Texas.

3 (104) WINN PARK, TEXAS.—Project for flood
4 risk management, Winn Park, City of Farmers
5 Branch, Texas.

6 (105) LOWER SPANISH FORK RIVER, UTAH.—
7 Project for ecosystem restoration, lower Spanish
8 Fork River, Utah.

9 (106) CLINCH RIVER, VIRGINIA.—Project for
10 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
11 along the Clinch River, Town of Richlands, Virginia.

12 (107) NOOKSACK RIVER, WASHINGTON.—
13 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
14 restoration, including shoreline erosion, Nooksack
15 River, Whatcom County, Washington.

16 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-
17 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following
18 project modifications:

19 (1) LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALAS-
20 KA.—Modifications to the project for flood diversion
21 in Lowell Canyon, Seward, Alaska, authorized by
22 section 5032 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719; 138
24 Stat. 3136), for environmental mitigation.

1 (2) MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications to
2 the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-
3 fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March
4 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 21), for navigation improvements
5 and ecosystem restoration.

6 (3) NAUGATUCK RIVER, TORRINGTON, CON-
7 NECTICUT.—Modifications to the project for struc-
8 tural flood damage reduction, Naugatuck River,
9 Connecticut, authorized pursuant to the first section
10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 701–
11 1), to improve flood risk management and reduce
12 erosion within the Naugatuck River Flood Damage
13 Reduction System.

14 (4) ASSAWOMAN CANAL, DELAWARE.—Modifica-
15 tions to the project for navigation, Assawoman
16 Canal, Delaware, authorized pursuant to section 9 of
17 the Act of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229, 23 Stat. 149),
18 for flood risk management.

19 (5) PENSACOLA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—Modifica-
20 tions to the project for navigation, Pensacola Har-
21 bor, Florida, authorized by section 101 of the River
22 and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174), for recre-
23 ation and for additional deepening to 40 feet and
24 widening.

1 (6) TAMPA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—Modifications
2 to the project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,
3 Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, author-
4 ized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources De-
5 velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3167), for addi-
6 tional deepening to 47 feet.

7 (7) LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GWINNETT COUNTY,
8 GEORGIA.—Modifications to the project for flood
9 protection, power production, water supply, naviga-
10 tion, recreation, and fish and wildlife management
11 at Lake Sidney Lanier, Gwinnett County, Georgia,
12 authorized pursuant to the first section of the Act
13 of July 24, 1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 635), to im-
14 prove flood risk management, navigation safety, hy-
15 dropower reliability, and water supply.

16 (8) CHICAGO HARBOR LOCK, ILLINOIS.—Modi-
17 fications to the project for navigation, Chicago Har-
18 bor Lock, Illinois, authorized pursuant to section 1
19 of the Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16 Stat.
20 226; 21 Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat. 1283; 76
21 Stat. 1176), to add recreation as an authorized pur-
22 pose.

23 (9) SAYLORVILLE RESERVOIR, IOWA.—Modifica-
24 tions to the project for flood control, Saylorville Res-
25 ervoir, Iowa, authorized by section 203 of the Flood

1 Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 310), to include water
2 supply and sediment management.

3 (10) LAWRENCE, ON KANSAS RIVER, KANSAS.—
4 Modifications to the project for flood protection,
5 Lawrence, on Kansas River, Kansas, authorized pur-
6 suant to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936
7 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1588), to study raising levees
8 on the Kansas River in Lawrence, Kansas, north of
9 Bowersock Dam.

10 (11) BUCKHORN LAKE, LESLIE AND PERRY
11 COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications to the project
12 for flood control and other purposes authorized pur-
13 suant to section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938
14 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1217), to include sediment
15 and debris management at Buckhorn Lake in Leslie
16 and Perry Counties, Kentucky.

17 (12) LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PRO-
18 TECTION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON
19 AND BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications
20 to the project for flood risk management, Louisville
21 Metropolitan Flood Protection System Reconstruc-
22 tion, Jefferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, au-
23 thorized by section 401(2) of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to ex-
25 pand project scope and incorporate features identi-

1 fied in the document prepared for the non-Federal
2 interest for the project, issued in June 2017, and ti-
3 tled “20–Year Comprehensive Facility Plan, Critical
4 Repair and Reinvestment Plan, Volume 4: Ohio
5 River Flood Protection”.

6 (13) CURTIS CREEK CHANNEL, MARYLAND.—
7 Modifications to the project for navigation, Balti-
8 more Harbor and Channels, Maryland, authorized by
9 section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958
10 (72 Stat. 297), to expand the Federal channel to in-
11 clude the Curtis Creek Channel to a depth of 29
12 feet.

13 (14) BOSTON HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—
14 Modifications to the project for navigation, Boston
15 Harbor, Massachusetts, authorized by the first sec-
16 tion of the Act of March 2, 1867 (chapter 144, 14
17 Stat. 420; 104 Stat. 4607; 128 Stat. 1365), for ad-
18 ditional deepening and widening.

19 (15) GRENADA LAKE, YAZOO RIVER AND TRIBU-
20 TARIES, MISSISSIPPI.—Modifications to the project
21 for flood control of the Yazoo River and Tributaries,
22 Mississippi, authorized by section 10 of the Act of
23 May 15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 538), to add
24 recreation as a project purpose on the Yalobusha
25 River, including at Grenada Lake.

1 (16) NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY,
2 NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the project for navi-
3 gation for the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway,
4 New Jersey, authorized pursuant to section 2 of the
5 Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13; 100 Stat. 4181)
6 to reroute the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway
7 near Ventnor City, New Jersey.

8 (17) RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—
9 Modifications to the project for hurricane and storm
10 damage risk reduction, Rahway River Basin, New
11 Jersey, authorized by section 401(3) of the Water
12 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
13 2737; 136 Stat. 3736; 138 Stat. 3066), to improve
14 flood risk management for municipalities within the
15 basin that are subject to repetitive flooding.

16 (18) PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,
17 NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the
18 project for navigation, Port of New York and New
19 Jersey, New York and New Jersey, authorized by
20 section 101 of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2576), to improve navigation
22 south of the Elizabeth Port Authority Marine Ter-
23 minal.

24 (19) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—
25 Modifications to the project for navigation and eco-

1 system restoration, Allegheny River, Pennsylvania,
2 authorized pursuant to the first section of the Act
3 of July 25, 1912 (chapter 253, 37 Stat. 216; 46
4 Stat. 928; 49 Stat. 1035), to add recreation as a
5 project purpose.

6 (20) DELAWARE AND SCHUYLKILL RIVERS,
7 PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifications to the project for
8 navigation, Delaware River, Delaware, New Jersey,
9 and Pennsylvania (including Philadelphia to the
10 Sea), authorized by section 1 of the Act of June 25,
11 1910 (chapter 382, 36 Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 52
12 Stat. 803; 59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat.
13 297), and the project for navigation, Schuylkill
14 River, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, authorized by sec-
15 tion 1 of the Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 252;
16 46 Stat. 921; 60 Stat. 635), to improve navigation
17 for large commercial vessels in the vicinity of the
18 confluence of the Delaware and Schuylkill Rivers.

19 (21) CHARLESTON PENINSULA, SOUTH CARO-
20 LINA.—Modifications to the project for coastal storm
21 risk management, Charleston Peninsula, South
22 Carolina, authorized by section 8401(3) of the
23 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
24 Stat. 3842), to include features for tidal- and in-
25 land-related flood risk management measures.

1 (22) LAKE AQUILLA, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN,
 2 TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for water sup-
 3 ply, flood risk management, and recreation, Lake
 4 Aquilla, Brazos River Basin, Texas, authorized by
 5 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (82
 6 Stat. 741), for reallocation of water supply storage.

7 (23) JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.—Modifications to
 8 the project for navigation, James River, Virginia,
 9 authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor
 10 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174; 102 Stat. 4045), to in-
 11 clude additional portions at the Appomattox River
 12 and add flood risk management as a purpose.

13 (24) NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VIR-
 14 GINIA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,
 15 Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Virginia, authorized
 16 by section 201 of the Water Resources Development
 17 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090; 132 Stat. 3840; 136
 18 Stat. 3763), to improve navigation in the Eastern
 19 Branch of the Elizabeth River.

20 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

21 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-
 22 pedite the completion of a feasibility study or general re-
 23 evaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following
 24 projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project
 25 is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to

1 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the
2 project:

3 (1) Project for storm damage prevention and
4 reduction, coastal erosion, and ice and glacial dam-
5 age in the State of Alaska, authorized by section
6 8315 of the Water Resources Development Act of
7 2022 (136 Stat. 3783).

8 (2) Project for reallocation of water supply
9 storage, Greers Ferry Lake, Arkansas, authorized
10 pursuant to section 301 of the Water Supply Act of
11 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b).

12 (3) Project for flood control and allied pur-
13 poses, Homer Navigation Improvements, Alaska, au-
14 thorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Con-
15 trol Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1181).

16 (4) Project for navigation, Port of Nome Modi-
17 fications, Alaska, authorized by section 401(1) of the
18 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
19 Stat. 2733).

20 (5) Project for flood risk management, Cave
21 Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized by sec-
22 tion 1201(a)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3802).

24 (6) Project for flood risk management,
25 McMicken Dam and Trilby Wash, Maricopa County,

1 Arizona, authorized by section 8201 of the Water
2 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
3 3744; 138 Stat. 3065).

4 (7) Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Sa-
5 lado Oeste, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized pursuant
6 to section 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter
7 795, 52 Stat. 1225).

8 (8) Project for flood risk management, Yavapai
9 County, in the vicinity of the City of Cottonwood,
10 Arizona, authorized by section 1201(a)(1) of the
11 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138
12 Stat. 3050).

13 (9) Project for flood control and other pur-
14 poses, Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and
15 tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of
16 the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58
17 Stat. 902; 138 Stat. 3058).

18 (10) Project for flood risk management, water
19 supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla River,
20 Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera County,
21 California, authorized by section 6 of the Act of
22 June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1595; 52
23 Stat. 1225; 138 Stat. 3059).

24 (11) Project for flood control, water conserva-
25 tion, environmental restoration, water supply, and

1 related purposes, Coyote Valley Dam, California, au-
2 thorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of
3 1950 (64 Stat. 177; 130 Stat. 1682; 132 Stat.
4 3803; 134 Stat. 2672; 136 Stat. 3835; 138 Stat.
5 3065).

6 (12) Project for ecosystem restoration and
7 water supply conservation and recharge, Eastman
8 Lake, California, authorized by section 1201(a)(4)
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024
10 (138 Stat. 3050).

11 (13) Project for flood control, environmental
12 restoration, and recreation, Murrieta Creek, Cali-
13 fornia, authorized by section 103 of title I of appen-
14 dix B of Public Law 106–377 (114 Stat. 1441A–65;
15 136 Stat. 3786).

16 (14) Project for ecosystem restoration, City of
17 Petaluma, California, authorized by section
18 8201(a)(6) of the Water Resources Development Act
19 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

20 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, water
21 supply, and recreation, Pine Flat Dam, Fresno
22 County, California, authorized by section 1201(a)(7)
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024
24 (138 Stat. 3050).

1 (16) Project for flood control, Redbank and
2 Fancher Creeks, California, authorized by section
3 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
4 1986 (100 Stat. 4112).

5 (17) Project for flood risk management and
6 ecosystem restoration, Salinas River, California, au-
7 thorized by section 1201(a)(9) of the Water Re-
8 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).

9 (18) Project for flood risk management, includ-
10 ing sea level rise, San Diego Bay, California, author-
11 ized by section 1201(a)(11) of the Water Resources
12 Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).

13 (19) Project for flood risk management, includ-
14 ing stormwater runoff reduction, City of San Mateo,
15 California, authorized by section 1201(a)(14) of the
16 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138
17 Stat. 3051).

18 (20) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
19 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-
20 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-
21 toration, Florida, authorized pursuant to section
22 601(d)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1179; 134
24 Stat. 2673; 136 Stat. 3761; 138 Stat. 3067).

1 (21) Project for flood risk management, water
2 supply, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and re-
3 lated purposes, Lake Istokpoga, Florida, authorized
4 pursuant to section 8214 of the Water Resources
5 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3759).

6 (22) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lake
7 Runnymede, Florida, authorized by section
8 8201(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

10 (23) Project for ecosystem restoration and flood
11 risk management, Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida, au-
12 thorized by section 8201(a)(19) of the Water Re-
13 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).

14 (24) Project for hurricane and storm damage
15 risk reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vi-
16 cinity of MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa,
17 Florida, authorized by section 1201(a)(37) of the
18 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138
19 Stat. 3052).

20 (25) Project for hurricane and storm damage
21 risk reduction, Port Tampa Bay, Florida, including
22 McKay Bay, authorized by section 8201(a)(18) of
23 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
24 Stat. 3745).

1 (26) Project for flood risk management, eco-
2 system restoration, and water storage, Shingle Creek
3 and Kissimmee River, Osceola County, Florida, au-
4 thorized by section 201(a)(5) of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670;
6 136 Stat. 3749).

7 (27) Project for hurricane and storm damage
8 risk reduction and coastal storm risk management,
9 Volusia County, Florida, authorized by the resolu-
10 tion of the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
11 structure of the House of Representatives, dated
12 February 16, 2000, and required to be expedited by
13 section 1203(a)(14) of the Water Resources Devel-
14 opment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3065).

15 (28) Project for local flood protection,
16 Hanapēpē River, island of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, author-
17 ized by section 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944
18 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 903; 138 Stat. 3060).

19 (29) Project for flood risk management,
20 Kaiaka-Waialua watershed, O‘ahu, Hawaii, author-
21 ized pursuant to section 1201(a)(45) of the Water
22 Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.
23 3052).

24 (30) Project for flood risk management and
25 coastal storm risk management, County of Kaua‘i,

1 Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 1201(a)(46)
2 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024
3 (138 Stat. 3052).

4 (31) Project for flood risk management and
5 ecosystem restoration, County of Maui, Hawaii, au-
6 thorized by section 1201(a)(47) of the Water Re-
7 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3052).

8 (32) Project for flood control and allied pur-
9 poses, Wailupe Stream, ‘Āina Haina, Honolulu, Ha-
10 waii, authorized pursuant to section 209 of the
11 Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1197).

12 (33) Project for flood risk management,
13 Waimea River, County of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized
14 pursuant to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of
15 1970 (84 Stat. 1830).

16 (34) Project for flood risk management, Hoosic
17 River, Massachusetts, authorized pursuant to the
18 resolution of the Committee on Transportation and
19 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
20 adopted on December 2, 2010 (docket number
21 2828), for environmental restoration, streambank
22 stabilization, flood risk management, watershed
23 management, floodplain management, and other al-
24 lied purposes.

1 (35) Project for navigation, Saginaw River,
2 Michigan, authorized pursuant to section 456 of the
3 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113
4 Stat. 332).

5 (36) Project for flood risk management and
6 ecosystem restoration, Tittabawassee River, Chip-
7 pewa River, Pine River, and Tobacco River, Michi-
8 gan, authorized by section 8201(a)(46) of the Water
9 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
10 3747).

11 (37) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lower
12 Osage River Basin, Missouri, authorized by section
13 201(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670).

15 (38) Project for flood control of the Mississippi
16 River in its alluvial valley and for its improvement
17 from the Head of the Passes to Cape Girardeau,
18 Missouri, authorized by the first section of the Act
19 of May 15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534; 138
20 Stat. 3060).

21 (39) Project for flood risk management, Berry's
22 Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section
23 1201(a)(91) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

1 (40) Project for flood risk management,
2 Fleischer Brook, New Jersey, authorized by section
3 1201(a)(92) of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

5 (41) Project for flood risk management and hy-
6 dropower, Great Falls Raceway, Paterson, New Jer-
7 sey, authorized by section 1201(a)(93) of the Water
8 Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.
9 3055).

10 (42) General reevaluation report for project for
11 flood risk management, Green Brook Sub-basin,
12 Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, authorized by sec-
13 tion 401 of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat. 2671; 138 Stat.
15 3066).

16 (43) General reevaluation report for the project
17 for hurricane and storm damage, Hereford Inlet to
18 Cape May Inlet, Cape May County, New Jersey, au-
19 thorized by section 1401(3) of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1712).

21 (44) Project for flood risk management, Ho-
22 Ho-Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridge-
23 wood, New Jersey, authorized by section
24 1201(a)(98) of the Water Resources Development
25 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

1 (45) Project for flood risk management and
2 ecosystem restoration, Passaic River Basin, Bergen,
3 Essex, Hudson, Morris, and Passaic Counties, New
4 Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(95) of the
5 Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138
6 Stat. 3055).

7 (46) Project for navigation and flood risk man-
8 agement, Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey, au-
9 thorized by section 1201(a)(96) of the Water Re-
10 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

11 (47) Project for navigation, Borough of
12 Paulsboro, New Jersey, authorized by section
13 1201(a)(97) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

15 (48) Project for flood risk management along
16 the Peckman River Basin in the townships of
17 Verona (and surrounding area), Cedar Grove, and
18 West Caldwell, New Jersey, authorized by section
19 8201(a)(58) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747).

21 (49) Project for flood risk management,
22 Whippany River Watershed, Morris County, New
23 Jersey, authorized by section 8201(a)(59) of the
24 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
25 Stat. 3747).

1 (50) Project for flood risk management, Wolf
2 Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section
3 1201(a)(99) of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).

5 (51) Project for storm damage reduction, to in-
6 clude additional replacement of beach groins, Long
7 Beach, New York, authorized by section 101(a)(21)
8 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996
9 (110 Stat. 3665; 138 Stat. 3061).

10 (52) Project for ecosystem restoration, Great
11 Salt Lake, Utah, authorized by section 8201(a)(88)
12 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
13 (136 Stat. 3749).

14 (53) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
15 ment, Virginia Coastal Storm Risk Management, au-
16 thorized pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955
17 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

18 (b) STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite
19 the completion of a final report of the Chief of Engineers
20 or other decision document, as applicable, for each of the
21 following projects for the project to be considered for au-
22 thorization:

23 (1) Project for flood risk management, Selma
24 Flood Risk Management and Bank Stabilization,
25 Alabama, authorized by section 8401(2) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
2 Stat. 3839).

3 (2) Project for ecosystem restoration, Salt River
4 (Va Shly' Ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona, as
5 authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938
6 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1225).

7 (3) Study for repairs to recontour and stabilize
8 the slope at Dardanelle Lock and Dam, Arkansas,
9 authorized pursuant to section 7 of the Act of July
10 24, 1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 640).

11 (4) Project for ecosystem restoration and recre-
12 ation, Los Angeles River, California, as authorized
13 by section 1407(7) of the Water Resources Develop-
14 ment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1714).

15 (5) Project for flood risk reduction, San Fran-
16 cisco Bay, California, authorized by section 142 of
17 the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90
18 Stat. 2930; 100 Stat. 4158; 134 Stat. 2672; 138
19 Stat. 3136).

20 (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
21 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-
22 toration Program, Central Everglades Planning
23 Project, Florida, authorized pursuant to section
24 601(d)(2)(b) of the Water Resources Development
25 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2684; 110 Stat. 3767; 114

1 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1052; 128 Stat. 1369; 130
2 Stat. 1713; 132 Stat. 3819; 136 Stat. 3791; 138
3 Stat. 3067).

4 (7) Project for navigation, Port Everglades,
5 Florida, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water
6 Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.
7 1709; 136 Stat. 3792).

8 (8) Project for flood risk management and resil-
9 iency, Lower Missouri Jefferson City L-142 Flood
10 Risk Management Study, Lower and Upper Missouri
11 River Comprehensive Flood Protection, Missouri, au-
12 thorized by section 2 of the Act of August 18, 1941
13 (chapter 377, 55 Stat. 646; 58 Stat. 897; 134 Stat.
14 2687).

15 (9) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
16 tion, New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tribu-
17 taries, New York and New Jersey, as authorized by
18 the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat.
19 132; 134 Stat. 2676; 138 Stat. 3152).

20 (10) Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship
21 Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,
22 authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources
23 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734; 138
24 Stat. 3083).

1 (11) Project for hurricane and storm damage
2 reduction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration,
3 Chincoteague Island, Virginia, authorized by section
4 1201(27) of the Water Resources Development Act
5 of 2016 (130 Stat. 1683).

6 (c) WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.—
7 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of an assess-
8 ment under section 729 of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) for Lower Rouge
10 River Watershed, Michigan.

11 (d) DREDGED MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PLANS.—
12 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a dredged
13 material management plan for each of the following
14 projects:

15 (1) Homer Small Boat Harbor, Homer, Alaska,
16 authorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood
17 Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1181).

18 (2) Ashtabula Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the
19 first section of the Act of June 3, 1896 (chapter
20 314, 29 Stat. 209).

21 (3) Cleveland Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the
22 first section of the Act of August 14, 1876 (chapter
23 267, 19 Stat. 133; 100 Stat. 4095; 101 Stat. 1329—
24 107).

1 (e) WATER CONTROL MANUALS.—The Secretary
2 shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-
3 tion of updates to the water control manuals at the Ter-
4 minus Dam and Lake Kaweah Project, Kaweah River,
5 California, authorized by section 10 of the Act of Decem-
6 ber 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 901).

7 (f) ISSUES EVALUATION STUDIES.—The Secretary
8 shall expedite the completion of the Issues Evaluation
9 Study for Black Butte Lake, Stoney Creek, California, au-
10 thorized pursuant to the Act of December 22, 1944 (chap-
11 ter 665, 58 Stat. 900).

12 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**
13 **BILITY STUDIES.**

14 (a) UNALASKA (DUTCH HARBOR), ALASKA MODI-
15 FICATION.—The feasibility study for navigation, Unalaska
16 (Dutch Harbor) Channels, Alaska, authorized by section
17 401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
18 (134 Stat. 2734) is modified to authorize the Secretary
19 to include in the study coastal storm risk management and
20 shoreline erosion protection.

21 (b) ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-
22 ISIANA.—The feasibility study for flood control, naviga-
23 tion, wetland conservation and restoration, wildlife habi-
24 tat, commercial and recreational fishing, saltwater intru-
25 sion, freshwater and sediment diversion, and other pur-

1 poses, in the area drained by the intercepted drainage sys-
2 tem of the West Atchafalaya Basin Protection Levee, from
3 Alexandria, Louisiana to the Gulf of Mexico, being carried
4 out under Committee Resolution 2535 of the Committee
5 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
6 Representatives, adopted July 23, 1997, as authorized by
7 section 1202(4) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3064), is modified to include Vermilion
9 Bay.

10 (c) EXPEDITED COMPLETION.—The Secretary shall
11 expedite the completion of the feasibility studies described
12 in subsections (a) and (b), as modified by such sub-
13 sections, and if the Secretary determines that a project
14 that is the subject of the feasibility study described in sub-
15 section (a) or (b) is justified in the completed report, may
16 proceed directly to preconstruction planning, engineering,
17 and design of the project.

18 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
19 **STUDIES.**

20 (a) CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT
21 DISTRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,
22 TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-
23 ordination of the feasibility study for the project for navi-
24 gation, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District
25 Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under sec-

tion 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

(b) RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study for the project for flood control, Raymondville Drain Project, Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

(c) SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study for the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

(d) SOUTHERN FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA FLOOD RESILIENCY STUDY (BROWARD BASINS), FLORIDA.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the Central and Southern Florida Resiliency Study—Broward Basins for the project for flood risk management, Southern Florida Water Management District, Florida, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

1 **SEC. 205. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

2 (a) REPORT ON THE FORMULATION OF FUTURE
3 WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
5 the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially
6 thereafter for a period of 10 years, the Secretary
7 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
8 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
9 and the Committee on Environment and Public
10 Works of the Senate a report describing the use of
11 the procedures under part 234 of title 33, Code of
12 Federal Regulations, issued pursuant to section 110
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
14 (42 U.S.C. 1962–4), with respect to ongoing feasi-
15 bility studies for water resources development
16 projects.

17 (2) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in
18 the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

19 (A) a project-by-project identification of
20 any feasibility study carried out in accordance
21 with the procedures described in paragraph (1)
22 and an identification of, and rationale for, any
23 study carried out during the period applicable
24 to the report that was not developed using such
25 procedures;

1 (B) an evaluation of the outcomes of such
2 procedures on the formulation, evaluation, and
3 recommendations for a proposed water re-
4 sources development project; and

5 (C) a summary of stakeholder engagement
6 and public input received related to the formu-
7 lation, evaluation, and recommendation of the
8 project.

9 (b) REPORT ON IMPACTS OF COMMERCIAL AND IN-
10 DUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY USERS ON WATER RESOURCES
11 DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later than 1 year after
12 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-
13 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
14 ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee
15 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report
16 describing the effects of new commercial and industrial
17 water users on water resources development projects au-
18 thorized for the purpose of water supply or water con-
19 servation.

20 (c) REPORT ON FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR
21 OPERATIONS.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
23 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
24 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
25 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives

1 and the Committee on Environment and Public
2 Works of the Senate a report detailing the imple-
3 mentation of forecast-informed reservoir operations
4 at any reservoir constructed, owned, or operated by
5 the Secretary, including a reservoir for which the
6 Secretary is authorized to prescribe regulations for
7 the use of storage allocated for flood control or navi-
8 gation pursuant to section 7 of the Act of December
9 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 709).

10 (2) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in
11 the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

12 (A) a list of projects at which forecast-in-
13 formed reservoir operations have been imple-
14 mented or evaluated and, with respect to any
15 project for which such operations were evalu-
16 ated but not implemented, a rationale for why
17 the operations were not implemented at each
18 such project;

19 (B) a list of projects at which the viability
20 of using airborne snow observatory flights has
21 been implemented or evaluated for use in fore-
22 cast-informed reservoir operations and, with re-
23 spect to any project for which such operations
24 were evaluated but not implemented, a rationale

1 for why the operations were not implemented at
2 each such project;

3 (C) an assessment of the effectiveness of
4 such operations in improving water supply and
5 flood risk management, and other authorized
6 project purposes;

7 (D) any barriers to implementation of fore-
8 cast-informed reservoir operations, including
9 technical, operational, or legal constraints; and

10 (E) recommendations for any modifications
11 to existing authorities to expand or improve
12 such operations.

13 (d) RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR FLOATING CAB-
14 INS.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
16 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
17 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
18 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
19 and the Committee on Environment and Public
20 Works of the Senate a report on floating cabins, in-
21 cluding—

22 (A) a summary of any authorities and pro-
23 cedures the Secretary uses to manage activities
24 or permissions relating to floating cabins;

1 (B) identification of any laws, regulations,
2 administrative barriers, or other limitations
3 that prohibit or inhibit greater use of, or instal-
4 lation of new, floating cabins;

5 (C) recommendations of the Secretary with
6 respect to changes the Secretary may imple-
7 ment to facilitate greater use of, or installation
8 of new, floating cabins; and

9 (D) with respect to each recommendation
10 identified under subparagraph (C)—

11 (i) the estimated cost to the Corps of
12 Engineers to implement, and operate
13 under, such change; and

14 (ii) a detailed description of any ef-
15 fects, including benefits, that such change
16 is expected to have on—

17 (I) any operations at water re-
18 sources development projects operated
19 and maintained by the Corps; and

20 (II) the mission of the Corps as
21 it relates to recreation.

22 (2) FLOATING CABIN DEFINED.—In this sub-
23 section, the term “floating cabin” means a floating
24 cabin, as such term is defined in section 1035 of the
25 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of

1 2014 (128 Stat. 1234), that is located on waters
2 subject to the jurisdiction of the Secretary, including
3 Federal water resources reservoirs or lake projects
4 administered by the Secretary.

5 (e) REPORT ON EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND
6 STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.—Not later than
7 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
8 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
9 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
10 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
11 Senate a report describing the implementation of authori-
12 ties granted in section 1145(d) of the Water Resources
13 Development Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598c(d)), includ-
14 ing—

15 (1) an assessment of any administrative actions
16 taken (including any guidance issued, policy changes
17 made, or process improvements implemented) by the
18 Secretary to implement such section;

19 (2) a summary of the use of each authority
20 used by the Secretary in carrying out a project pur-
21 suant to such section, including—

22 (A) the number and types of projects for
23 which each such authority was used;

24 (B) the status of any easement acquisition,
25 easement relocation, or easement modification

1 activity carried out using each such authority;
2 and

3 (C) any reduction in project delays or
4 costs, or any reduction in administrative bur-
5 dens, attributable to the use of each such au-
6 thority; and

7 (3) identification of any additional legislative
8 authorities the Secretary determines are necessary
9 to improve project delivery under such section.

10 (f) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL; MAN-
11 AGEMENT PLANS REPORT.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
13 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
14 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
15 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
16 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
17 lic Works of the Senate the strategic plan required
18 by section 8130(a) of the Water Resources Develop-
19 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3717), developed in ac-
20 cordance with the national goal described in section
21 125(a)(1)(B) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g(a)(1)(B)).

23 (2) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-
24 retary fails to submit the strategic plan described in
25 paragraph (1) by the deadline established by such

1 paragraph, the Secretary shall, not later than 225
2 days after the date of enactment of this Act, submit
3 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
4 ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-
5 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate—

7 (A) a detailed explanation of why the Sec-
8 retary failed to submit the strategic plan by the
9 deadline;

10 (B) a summary of the status of the devel-
11 opment of the strategic plan, including whether
12 it has been initiated; and

13 (C) a projected date for submission of the
14 strategic plan.

15 (g) REPORT ON THE APPLICABILITY OF ARCHITEC-
16 TURAL AND ENGINEERING FEE CAPS.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
18 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
19 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
20 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
21 and the Committee on Environment and Public
22 Works of the Senate a report on any statutory, reg-
23 ulatory, or policy limitation on architectural and en-
24 gineering service fees applicable to water resources

1 development projects carried out under the civil
2 works program of the Corps of Engineers.

3 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-
4 clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

5 (A) a description of any limitation applied
6 by the Secretary to architectural and engineer-
7 ing service fees for such projects, including,
8 where applicable, the identification of the au-
9 thority for the limitation; and

10 (B) an assessment of the effects of any
11 limitations applied by the Secretary to such fees
12 on the ability of the Secretary to carry out such
13 projects, including a list of projects the cost or
14 schedule of which was affected by such limita-
15 tions.

16 (h) REPORT ON REALIGNMENT OF CORPS DIVI-
17 SIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment
18 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
19 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
20 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
21 Public Works of the Senate a report detailing the implica-
22 tions and feasibility of realigning the divisions of the
23 Corps to transfer the responsibilities of the Little Rock
24 District to the Mississippi Valley Division.

1 **SEC. 206. REPORT ON THE INLAND WATERWAYS SYSTEM.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a
3 comprehensive study to evaluate the condition and reli-
4 ability of water resources development projects within in-
5 land and intracoastal waterways of the United States.

6 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study re-
7 quired under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

8 (1) examine inland and intracoastal waterways
9 of the United States and the ability of such water-
10 ways to meet the needs of the United States, includ-
11 ing emergency and defense needs;

12 (2) investigate the reliability and effectiveness
13 of water resources development projects within such
14 waterways with respect to commerce, navigation, en-
15 vironment, and recreation;

16 (3) assess the condition of flood control struc-
17 tures (including locks, levees, and dams) along such
18 waterways to determine the structural importance
19 and identify any necessary repairs to maintain fu-
20 ture reliability of such waterways;

21 (4) assess the effectiveness of ecosystem res-
22 toration and mitigation efforts within such water-
23 ways;

24 (5) evaluate water resources development
25 projects (including separable elements) within such
26 waterways that will reduce recurring dredging re-

1 quirements to maintain authorized navigation di-
2 mensions of such waterways and prevent channel mi-
3 gration;

4 (6) assess the funding needed to improve the
5 reliability and effectiveness of water resources devel-
6 opment projects within such waterways; and

7 (7) identify components of water resources de-
8 velopment projects that, in case of failure, would
9 likely have significant impacts on the function of
10 such waterways.

11 (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
13 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
14 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
15 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
16 Senate a report on the study required under subsection
17 (a).

18 (d) INLAND AND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAYS OF
19 THE UNITED STATES DEFINED.—In this section, the
20 term “inland and intracoastal waterways of the United
21 States” means the inland and intracoastal waterways de-
22 scribed in section 206 of the Inland Waterways Revenue
23 Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C. 1804).

1 **SEC. 207. GAO STUDIES.**

2 (a) STUDY ON COST-SHARING EFFORTS FOR RECRE-
3 ATION FACILITIES.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
5 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
6 General of the United States shall initiate a review
7 of the efforts of the Secretary to share with non-
8 Federal public entities and private nonprofit entities
9 the cost of managing recreation facilities and nat-
10 ural resources at water resource development
11 projects under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

12 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review
13 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
14 shall—

15 (A) describe the actions the Secretary is
16 taking, or plans to take, to implement section
17 225 of the Water Resources Development Act
18 of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2328);

19 (B) evaluate the use of joint management
20 agreements, including cooperative agreements
21 under subsection (b)(1) of such section, and
22 other partnership authorities, that allow the
23 Secretary to share responsibilities with, or
24 transfer or delegate responsibilities to, non-Fed-
25 eral public entities and private nonprofit enti-

ties, for the operation and management of recreation facilities and natural resources;

(C) assess the effectiveness of such agreements in reducing Federal costs, improving facility conditions, and maintaining or enhancing public access;

(D) identify any legal, regulatory, or administrative barriers to the expanded use of such agreements; and

(E) develop recommendations for legislative or administrative actions to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of such partnership authorities.

(3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report that contains the results of such review, including any recommendations developed under paragraph (2)(E).

(b) STUDY ON BEACH RENOURISHMENT EFFORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller

1 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
2 ysis that includes—

3 (A) the statutory authorities and programs
4 used by, and available to, the Secretary to sup-
5 port beach renourishment cycles, including ini-
6 tial construction, periodic renourishment, and
7 emergency renourishment activities;

8 (B) an evaluation of financial, regulatory,
9 and technical barriers that contribute to delays
10 in carrying out beach renourishment activities;

11 (C) a description of the Corps of Engineers
12 process for evaluating the beneficial use of
13 dredged material for beach renourishment ac-
14 tivities, including procuring materials from
15 other projects carried out by the Corps of Engi-
16 neers; and

17 (D) recommendations for legislative, regu-
18 latory, or administrative actions to address bar-
19 riers identified under subparagraph (B) and to
20 improve the efficiency, predictability, and effec-
21 tiveness of the beach renourishment efforts of
22 the Corps of Engineers.

23 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
24 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
25 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-

1 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
2 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
3 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
4 such analysis.

5 (c) STUDY ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING
6 DESIGN SERVICES.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
8 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
9 General of the United States shall initiate a review
10 of the methods by which the Corps of Engineers per-
11 forms architectural and engineering design services,
12 including—

13 (A) an analysis of the procurement of such
14 services through contracts with private sector
15 firms;

16 (B) an assessment of the extent to which
17 employees of the Corps of Engineers carry out
18 such services; and

19 (C) a comparative assessment of the bene-
20 fits and costs of the methods described in sub-
21 paragraphs (A) and (B).

22 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review
23 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
24 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
25 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-

1 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
2 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
3 such review.

4 (d) REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH STATE FISH AND
5 WILDLIFE RECOMMENDATIONS.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
7 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comp-
8 troller General of the United States shall initiate a
9 review of the compliance of the Secretary with any
10 requirements under, and incorporation of any rec-
11 ommendations submitted to the Secretary pursuant
12 to, the following:

13 (A) Section 2 of the Fish and Wildlife Co-
14 ordination Act (16 U.S.C. 662), including tech-
15 nical recommendations related to evaluating im-
16 pacts to fish and wildlife resources.

17 (B) State management programs approved
18 under section 306 of the Coastal Zone Manage-
19 ment Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1455).

20 (C) State comprehensive plans approved
21 under section 4 of the Pittman-Robertson Wild-
22 life Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669c).

23 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review
24 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
25 shall—

(A) assess the extent to which the Corps of Engineers incorporates any recommendation submitted by a Federal or State agency pursuant to the statutes identified in paragraph (1) in developing a feasibility study for the construction or modification of a water resources development project or an operations or mitigation plan for a water resources development project; and

(B) to the extent that any recommendation is not incorporated, provide a detailed explanation and legal justification as to why such recommendation was not incorporated.

(3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such review.

SEC. 208. INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORTS.

(a) REPORT ON INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer In-

1 spector General of the Corps of Engineers shall sub-
2 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
3 structure of the House of Representatives and the
4 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
5 Senate a report on the policies of the Corps of Engi-
6 neers related to identifying the inherently govern-
7 mental function status of Corps of Engineers park
8 rangers.

9 (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under
10 paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall
11 include—

12 (A) an assessment of—

13 (i) the policies, guidance, and prac-
14 tices of the Corps of Engineers for identi-
15 fying and classifying functions and duties
16 related to environmental management,
17 public safety, and enforcement duties for
18 projects; and

19 (ii) the extent to which such policies,
20 guidance, and practices comply with the
21 requirements of the Federal Activities In-
22 ventory Reform Act of 1998 (31 U.S.C.
23 501 note) and other applicable laws, regu-
24 lations, and guidance governing inherently
25 governmental functions;

1 (B) an assessment of any risks to environ-
2 mental management, public safety, enforcement
3 duties, or governmental decisionmaking result-
4 ing from the potential misclassification of park
5 ranger functions;

6 (C) an assessment of Corps of Engineers
7 policies and procedures for distinguishing func-
8 tions that must be performed by Federal em-
9 ployees from functions that may appropriately
10 be performed by contractors;

11 (D) an assessment of any potential defi-
12 ciencies in Corps of Engineers policies, proce-
13 dures, internal controls, staffing practices, or
14 organizational structures that may impair com-
15 pliance with applicable requirements governing
16 inherently governmental functions and the ef-
17 fective performance of environmental manage-
18 ment, public safety, and enforcement duties;
19 and

20 (E) any recommendations for administra-
21 tive or legislative actions necessary to improve
22 compliance with requirements governing inher-
23 ently governmental functions and the perform-
24 ance of park ranger responsibilities.

25 (b) REPORT ON TRIBAL POLICIES AND PROGRAMS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer In-
3 specter General of the Corps of Engineers shall sub-
4 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
5 structure of the House of Representatives and the
6 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
7 Senate a report on the implementation of policies
8 and programs of the Corps of Engineers relating to
9 Tribal communities, including the uniformity of ap-
10 plication of such policies and programs across all
11 districts of the Corps of Engineers.

12 (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under
13 paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall
14 include—

15 (A) an assessment of the Tribal Liaison
16 position (as established by section 8112 of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33
18 U.S.C. 2281a)), including a description of how
19 each applicable district office has implemented
20 the position and the responsibilities of such po-
21 sition;

22 (B) the implementation status of—

23 (i) section 203 of the Water Re-
24 sources Development Act of 2000 (33
25 U.S.C. 2269);

1 (ii) section 1141 of the Water Re-
2 sources Development Act of 2024 (33
3 U.S.C. 2269 note); and

4 (iii) section 8115 of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 2022 (33
6 U.S.C. 2281b note);

7 (C) an assessment of the Secretary's align-
8 ment with requirements of subsections (c) and
9 (d) of section 112 of the Water Resources De-
10 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2356) with
11 respect to Tribal communities;

12 (D) an assessment of the alignment of the
13 Secretary's guidance, guidelines, and policies
14 with clearly stated congressional intent with re-
15 spect to Tribal communities; and

16 (E) an evaluation of barriers within the
17 Corps of Engineers that hinder or prohibit suc-
18 cessful Tribal engagement, consultation, or
19 partnership.

20 **SEC. 209. ACCELERATION OF EMERGENCY INLAND NAVIGA-**
21 **TION PROJECTS.**

22 In carrying out any authorized inland navigation
23 project, the Secretary shall expedite completion of any
24 modification, repair, or rehabilitation that is subject to an
25 emergency declaration issued by the Secretary, including

1 a non-breach emergency, to ensure continued navigation
2 functionality and structural integrity, including by expe-
3 diting the completion of investigations, engineering and
4 design, and construction activities necessary to resolve
5 emergency conditions.

6 **SEC. 210. ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS**
7 **DATA.**

8 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment
9 of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee
10 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
11 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
12 Public Works of the Senate the results of the corrective
13 action plan developed by the Secretary in response to the
14 report of the Comptroller General of the United States,
15 published on April 28, 2025, and titled “Army Corps of
16 Engineers: Commercial Fishing Data Could Help Inform
17 Budget Process” (GAO–25–107447).

18 **SEC. 211. ASSESSMENTS OF DRYLAND STREAM TECH-**
19 **NOLOGIES AND SHORELINE STABILIZATION**
20 **TECHNOLOGIES.**

21 (a) ASSESSMENT OF NATURAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN
22 DRYLAND STREAMS TECHNOLOGIES AND APPROACHES.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting
24 through the Director of the Engineer Research and
25 Development Center, shall carry out research and

1 development activities on, and test the effectiveness
2 of, natural infrastructure in dryland streams tech-
3 nologies and approaches to using such technologies
4 in arid, semi-arid, and drought-prone areas.

5 (2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out sub-
6 section (a), the Secretary shall, to the extent prac-
7 ticable—

8 (A) ensure that the locations where re-
9 search and development activities and tests are
10 carried out are geographically diverse;

11 (B) undertake research and development
12 activities and tests that include human-made in-
13 frastructure that mimics natural infrastructure,
14 such as rock check dams, beaver dam analogs,
15 gabions, and weirs; and

16 (C) based on information collected from
17 the carrying out and completion of research and
18 development activities described in paragraph
19 (2), assess the potential uses and effectiveness
20 of such human-made infrastructure with respect
21 to restoring dryland ecosystems, enhancing
22 flood risk reduction efforts, and enhancing
23 water supply, water conservation, and drought
24 resiliency efforts.

1 (3) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out a re-
2 search and development activity or test under sub-
3 section (a), the Secretary may consult with—

4 (A) the heads of other Federal agencies;
5 and

6 (B) appropriate State government agencies
7 in States with arid or semi-arid areas.

8 (4) SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2
9 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the
10 Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Trans-
11 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
12 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and
13 Public Works of the Senate, and make publicly
14 available (including on a publicly available website),
15 a written summary of the activities and tests carried
16 out under subsection (a).

17 (b) ASSESSMENT OF SHORELINE STABILIZATION
18 AND EROSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting
20 through the Director of the Engineer Research and
21 Development Center and, as appropriate, in con-
22 sultation with other Federal agencies and coastal
23 States, shall carry out research and development ac-
24 tivities on, and test the effectiveness of, shoreline
25 stabilization, erosion control, and wave energy reduc-

1 tion technologies applicable to coastal areas exposed
2 to flooding and erosion, including flooding and
3 erosional impacts associated with tidally influenced
4 portions of rivers, bays, and estuaries that are
5 hydrologically connected to the coastal water body.

6 (2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out para-
7 graph (1), the Secretary shall—

8 (A) to the maximum extent practicable, en-
9 sure that research and development activities
10 and testing are carried out in diverse geo-
11 graphic locations; and

12 (B) carry out research and development
13 activities and testing of natural features and
14 nature-based features (as those terms are de-
15 fined in section 1184(a) of the Water Resources
16 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
17 2289a(a))), including the use of engineered
18 technologies that mimic natural processes or
19 structures, or approaches that combine natural
20 and engineered elements.

21 (3) SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2
22 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the
23 Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Trans-
24 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
25 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and

1 Public Works of the Senate, and make publicly
2 available (including on a publicly available website)
3 a written summary of the activities carried out
4 under this subsection.

5 **SEC. 212. ASSESSMENT OF NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES**
6 **TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRI-**
7 **CANE AND STORM RISK REDUCTION.**

8 Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment
9 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
10 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
11 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
12 Public Works of the Senate a report on the status of the
13 following projects:

14 (1) The project for flood risk management and
15 ecosystem restoration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Mor-
16 ris, and Passaic Counties, New Jersey, including as
17 it relates to buyouts in the Township of Wayne, Pas-
18 saic County, New Jersey, authorized pursuant to
19 section 101(a)(18) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4607).

21 (2) The project for flood risk management,
22 Township of Denville, New Jersey, being carried out
23 pursuant to section 205 of the Flood Control Act of
24 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

1 (3) The project for flood control, Green Brook
 2 Sub-basin, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, au-
 3 thorized by section 401 of the Water Resources De-
 4 velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat.
 5 2671).

6 (4) The project for hurricane and storm dam-
 7 age reduction, New Jersey Back Bays, Cape May,
 8 Ocean, Atlantic, Monmouth, and Burlington Coun-
 9 ties, authorized by resolutions of the Committee on
 10 Public Works and Transportation of the House of
 11 Representatives and the Committee on Environment
 12 and Public Works of the Senate, approved in De-
 13 cember 1987.

14 (5) The project for hurricane and storm dam-
 15 age risk reduction, Fire Island Inlet to Montauk
 16 Point, New York, authorized by section 401(3) of
 17 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
 18 Stat. 2738).

19 **SEC. 213. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**
 20 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
 22 post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of
 23 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
 24 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:

1 (1) Areas of Catron and Grant Counties, New
2 Mexico, impacted by the June 2025 wildfires.

3 (2) Areas of Valencia County, New Mexico, im-
4 pacted by the June 2025 wildfires.

5 (3) Areas near Ruidoso, New Mexico, impacted
6 by the June 2024 wildfires.

7 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
8 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
9 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
11 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
12 Senate a report on the status of the post-disaster water-
13 shed assessments carried out under subsection (a).

14 **SEC. 214. UPDATED PLAN ON FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGE**
15 **RECAPITALIZATION.**

16 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment
17 of this Act, the Secretary shall—

18 (1) with respect to Federal hopper dredges
19 identified in the analysis of the Corps of Engineers
20 titled “Hopper Dredge Recapitalization Analysis”
21 (published June 20, 2017) and owned and operated
22 by the Corps of Engineers as of the date of enact-
23 ment of this Act, update the plan and timeline for
24 recapitalization of such Federal hopper dredges that
25 is included in such analysis; and

1 (2) submit to the Committee on Transportation
2 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
3 and the Committee on Environment and Public
4 Works of the Senate such updated plan and
5 timeline.

6 **SEC. 215. CHOCTAWHATCHEE AND PEA RIVER BASINS, ALA-**
7 **BAMA AND FLORIDA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of carrying out the
9 study of improvements for flood control, water quality,
10 water supply, drought management, and fish and wildlife
11 enhancement authorized by the Congressional Committee
12 Resolution adopted April 24, 1990, by the Committee on
13 Environment and Public Works of the Senate (relating to
14 the Choctawhatchee and Pea River Basins, Alabama and
15 Florida, as described in House Document 242, 72d Con-
16 gress, 1st session), and any related review conducted pur-
17 suant to the Congressional Committee Resolution adopted
18 August 1, 1990, by the Committee on Public Works and
19 Transportation of the House of Representatives (relating
20 to the Pea River watershed, Alabama), the Secretary
21 shall—

22 (1) develop a scope of work, including a com-
23 munications plan, in collaboration with the non-Fed-
24 eral interest, that is in accordance with the intent of
25 such authorizing documents and, to the extent prac-

1 ticable, meets the objectives of the non-Federal in-
2 terest;

3 (2) include in the study area the entire
4 Choctawhatchee River basin and Pea River basin,
5 and all hydrologically connected downstream receiv-
6 ing waters, in the States of Alabama and Florida;
7 and

8 (3) coordinate, as practicable, with relevant
9 State, local, and Tribal entities in Alabama and
10 Florida and with appropriate Federal agencies.

11 (b) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to any
12 feasibility study carried out pursuant to the authorizations
13 described in subsection (a).

14 **SEC. 216. MOBILE HARBOR LAND USE ASSESSMENT.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out an
16 assessment of the long-term viability of existing dredged
17 material placement areas for Mobile Harbor, including
18 State-owned upland dredged materials disposal facilities.

19 (b) BENEFICIAL USE.—In carrying out the assess-
20 ment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall include rec-
21 ommendations for the beneficial use of dredged materials
22 from Mobile Harbor, including the viability of using such
23 dredged materials at locations on Pinto Island and
24 Blakeley Island in Mobile, Alabama.

1 **SEC. 217. HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.**

2 Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment
3 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
4 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
5 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
6 Public Works of the Senate an update describing the sta-
7 tus of the study to modify the project for navigation, Hon-
8 olulu Harbor, Hawaii, authorized by the first section of
9 the Act of March 3, 1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146;
10 136 Stat. 3750; 138 Stat. 3064), including the status of
11 the assessment of the benefits of the project modification
12 on disaster resiliency and enhanced national security from
13 utilization of the harbor by the Department of Defense
14 authorized by section 1202(3) of the Water Resources De-
15 velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3064).

16 **SEC. 218. CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
18 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide
19 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
20 of the House of Representatives and the Committee on
21 Environment and Public Works of the Senate an assess-
22 ment of the Calumet Harbor Dredged Material Disposal
23 Facility, also known as the Chicago Area Confined Dis-
24 posal Facility, authorized pursuant to section 123 of the
25 River and Harbor Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1823).

1 (b) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the
2 assessment under subsection (a)—

3 (1) a description of work related to the ongoing
4 and future dredging of the Calumet River and Har-
5 bor;

6 (2) a plan for carrying out activities related to
7 the closure of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal
8 Facility, not later than 1 year after the date of en-
9 actment of this Act, including remediation, capping,
10 seeding, and other measures necessary to stabilize
11 the facility and ensure that the site of the facility is
12 prepared for the authorized use of such site after
13 such closure;

14 (3) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure con-
15 veyance of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal Fa-
16 cility to the Chicago Park District in a timely fash-
17 ion after the completion of closure activities carried
18 out pursuant to the plan under paragraph (2); and

19 (4) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure the
20 Secretary coordinates with State and local govern-
21 ments in carrying out this section.

1 **SEC. 219. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
2 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD,**
3 **WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

4 Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment
5 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
6 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
7 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
8 Public Works of the Senate a report that includes—

9 (1) the results of an assessment to identify any
10 contaminated sediments associated with the project
11 for ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mis-
12 sissippi River Interbasin Study—Brandon Road,
13 Will County, Illinois, authorized by section 401(5) of
14 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
15 Stat. 2740); and

16 (2) a plan, developed in coordination with the
17 non-Federal interest for such project, to remediate
18 such sediments simultaneously with construction of
19 the project.

20 **SEC. 220. COLUMBIA LOCK AND DAM, LOUISIANA.**

21 Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment
22 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
23 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
24 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
25 Public Works of the Senate a report regarding the Colum-
26 bia Lock and Dam, Louisiana, authorized by the first sec-

1 tion of the Act of June 13, 1902 (chapter 1079, 32 Stat.
2 357), that includes—

3 (1) a description of the nature and extent of the
4 structural concerns that resulted in the declaration
5 by the Secretary in August, 2024, of a non-breach
6 emergency at the lock and dam;

7 (2) a summary of actions taken by the Corps
8 of Engineers to address such concerns, including in-
9 terim operational or emergency measures;

10 (3) recommended permanent remedial actions
11 necessary to ensure continued navigation
12 functionality and structural integrity;

13 (4) an evaluation of whether such recommended
14 permanent remedial actions may be implemented as
15 discrete, segmented projects or phases, including an
16 assessment of—

17 (A) the technical feasibility of such seg-
18 mentation;

19 (B) potential cost efficiencies or risk re-
20 duction associated with phased implementation;
21 and

22 (C) the extent to which segmentation could
23 accelerate completion of critical repairs; and

24 (5) an estimated schedule and cost range for
25 implementation of such recommended permanent re-

1 medial actions, including any segmented or phased
2 approach identified based on the evaluation under
3 paragraph (4).

4 **SEC. 221. LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE**
5 **MANAGEMENT STUDY.**

6 The Secretary shall expedite completion of the Lower
7 Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study, au-
8 thorized by section 213 of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2684; 136 Stat. 3795).

10 **SEC. 222. DISPOSITION STUDY FOR CAPE COD CANAL, MAS-**
11 **SACHUSETTS.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
13 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
14 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization of
15 a portion of the project for navigation, Cape Cod Canal,
16 Massachusetts, authorized by the first section of the Act
17 of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49 Stat. 1029), that
18 the Secretary determines—

19 (1) is not needed to carry out the authorized
20 purposes of the project; and

21 (2) could be conveyed to the Town of Sandwich
22 or the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, for the con-
23 struction of a new wastewater treatment plant.

24 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
25 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-

1 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
2 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
3 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
4 Senate a report on the status of the disposition study re-
5 quired under subsection (a).

6 **SEC. 223. NEW ENGLAND REGIONAL CONFINED AQUATIC**
7 **DISPOSAL FACILITIES.**

8 (a) EXPEDITE ASSESSMENT.—The Secretary shall
9 expedite the completion of the assessment of the avail-
10 ability of confined aquatic disposal facilities in the New
11 England District region required under section 8128(c) of
12 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
13 3716).

14 (b) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Upon completion of
15 the assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall
16 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
17 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
18 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
19 the results of such assessment.

20 (c) STUDY AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary is au-
21 thorized to conduct—

22 (1) a study for the construction of confined
23 aquatic disposal facilities in the Massachusetts Bay
24 and Ipswich Bay region for the disposal of contami-
25 nated dredged material in such region; and

1 (2) any other study recommended by the Sec-
2 retary in the assessment under subsection (a).

3 **SEC. 224. ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.**

4 Section 534(c) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3775) is amended by striking
6 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 225. BIG SANDY LAKE, MINNESOTA.**

8 (a) SHORELINE MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later
9 than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act,
10 the Secretary shall establish a shoreline management plan
11 for the Big Sandy Lake project.

12 (b) SHORELINE USE PERMITS.—Upon the establish-
13 ment of a shoreline management plan under subsection
14 (a), the Secretary is authorized to issue to an owner of
15 land adjacent to the Big Sandy Lake project, upon request
16 by the owner and consistent with the shoreline manage-
17 ment plan, a shoreline use permit for activities relating
18 to an existing structure or a new structure on land that
19 is held by the Corps of Engineers for the project and adja-
20 cent to the land of the owner.

21 (c) DISPOSITION STUDY.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
23 out a disposition study under section 216 of the
24 Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the
25 release, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess

1 easements, or the conveyance of excess land, held for
2 the Big Sandy Lake project.

3 (2) SCOPE.—In carrying out the disposition
4 study under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

5 (A) for each segment of shoreline property
6 owned by the Corps of Engineers at the Big
7 Sandy Lake project that corresponds to the
8 width of an adjacent plot of private property,
9 identify—

10 (i) the appraised value of the segment
11 of shoreline property; and

12 (ii) a legal description and the acreage
13 of the segment of shoreline property; and

14 (B) develop recommendations for any re-
15 strictions or conditions on any shoreline prop-
16 erty at the Big Sandy Lake project that is
17 owned by, or subject to an easement held by,
18 the Corps of Engineers that would be necessary
19 to sustain the authorized purposes of the Big
20 Sandy Lake project if the shoreline property
21 were to be conveyed to, or the easement were
22 to be released, transferred or conveyed to, or
23 exchanged with, a non-Federal entity.

24 (3) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after
25 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary

1 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
2 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
3 and the Committee on Environment and Public
4 Works of the Senate a report on the status of the
5 disposition study required under paragraph (1).

6 (d) BIG SANDY LAKE PROJECT DEFINED.—In this
7 section, the term “Big Sandy Lake project” means the
8 Sandy Lake dam and reservoir, located in Aitkin County,
9 Minnesota, originally authorized as one of the six Mis-
10 sissippi River Headwater reservoirs authorized by the first
11 section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat.
12 193; 22 Stat. 203).

13 **SEC. 226. UPPER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN SEDIMENTATION.**

14 (a) COMPREHENSIVE STUDY.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct
16 a comprehensive study to identify the core sedi-
17 mentation issues in the Upper Missouri River Basin.

18 (2) RECOMMENDATIONS.—In conducting the
19 study under this subsection, the Secretary may de-
20 velop recommendations for—

21 (A) the construction of a water resources
22 development project;

23 (B) the structural or operational modifica-
24 tion of existing water resources development
25 projects, except as provided in subsection (f);

1 (C) monitoring or adaptive management
2 measures for water resources development
3 projects to respond to changing conditions in
4 the Upper Missouri River Basin;

5 (D) additional studies focusing on specific
6 geographic areas within the Upper Missouri
7 River Basin;

8 (E) management plans and actions, to be
9 carried out by responsible Federal agencies, to
10 address or reduce sedimentation in the Upper
11 Missouri River Basin; and

12 (F) further research on issues with respect
13 to which data or current technology does not
14 allow for immediate solutions.

15 (b) CONTINUATION OF STUDY.—The following stud-
16 ies shall be considered a continuation of the study con-
17 ducted under subsection (a):

18 (1) Any study recommended to be carried out
19 in a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for
20 the study conducted under subsection (a).

21 (2) Any additional study recommended under
22 subsection (a)(2).

23 (3) Any study spun off from the study con-
24 ducted under subsection (a), or from an additional

1 study recommended under paragraph (2) of such
2 subsection, before the completion of such study.

3 (c) USE OF EXISTING DATA.—In conducting the
4 study under subsection (a), and in carrying out any study
5 pursuant to subsection (b), the Secretary shall, to the
6 maximum extent practicable and where appropriate, make
7 use of existing data provided to the Secretary by any ap-
8 plicable Federal, State, and local agency, Indian Tribe,
9 non-Federal interest, or other stakeholder, or from any
10 relevant multistate monitoring program.

11 (d) COSTS.—

12 (1) LIMITATION.—The total cost of the study
13 conducted under subsection (a) shall not exceed
14 \$25,000,000.

15 (2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of
16 the cost of the study conducted under subsection (a)
17 and any study carried out pursuant to subsection (b)
18 shall be 65 percent.

19 (e) DEADLINE.—Not later than 5 years after the date
20 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
21 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
22 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
23 ronment and Public Works of the Senate a report that
24 contains the results of the study conducted under sub-

1 section (a), including any recommendations developed
2 under paragraph (2) of such subsection.

3 (f) PROHIBITION.—In conducting the study under
4 subsection (a), and in carrying out any study pursuant
5 to subsection (b), the Secretary may not consider any
6 changes to the Missouri River Basin Mainstem Reservoir
7 System Master Water Control Manual.

8 **SEC. 227. TABLE ROCK LAKE DISPOSITION STUDY, MIS-**
9 **SOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
11 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
12 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,
13 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-
14 change of excess land, held for flood risk management and
15 operation of the Table Rock Lake project of the Corps
16 of Engineers, located in Missouri and Arkansas, author-
17 ized as one of the multipurpose reservoir projects in the
18 White River Basin by section 4 of the Act of June 28,
19 1938 (chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1218).

20 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out the study required
21 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

22 (1) ensure that the relevant non-Federal inter-
23 est for the Table Rock Lake project is provided right
24 of first refusal for any potential release, transfer,
25 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements; and

1 (2) work alongside such non-Federal interest in
2 identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where
3 possible.

4 (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
5 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
6 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
7 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
8 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
9 Senate a report of the status of the disposition study re-
10 quired under subsection (a).

11 **SEC. 228. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

12 Section 1323 of the Water Resources Development
13 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3143) is amended—

14 (1) by striking subsections (a) and (b) and in-
15 serting the following:

16 “(a) STRUCTURES.—The Secretary shall permit the
17 ongoing presence of an eligible structure at the Table
18 Rock Lake project until the abandonment of the eligible
19 structure.

20 “(b) SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEMS.—The Secretary
21 shall permit the ongoing presence of an eligible sewer or
22 septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until the
23 abandonment or imminent failure of the eligible sewer or
24 septic system.”;

25 (2) in subsection (c)—

1 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “eligible
2 structure, means the allowance of the struc-
3 ture” and inserting “eligible structure or eligi-
4 ble sewer or septic system, means the allowance
5 of the structure or sewer or septic system”;

6 (B) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and
7 (4) as paragraphs (4) and (6), respectively;

8 (C) by striking paragraph (2) and insert-
9 ing the following:

10 “(2) ELIGIBLE SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEM.—
11 The term ‘eligible sewer or septic system’ means a
12 privately owned sewer or septic system that—

13 “(A) as of the date of enactment of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2026, is
15 located on fee land or land subject to a flowage
16 easement held by the United States; and

17 “(B) does not impact the reservoir level,
18 water quality, or operations of the Table Rock
19 Lake project.

20 “(3) ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE.—The term ‘eligible
21 structure’ means a structure for human habitation,
22 shed, retaining wall, deck, patio, gazebo, driveway,
23 fence, or similar structure, that, as of the date of en-
24 actment of the Water Resources Development Act of
25 2026, is located—

1 “(A) on fee land or land subject to a flow-
2 age easement held by the United States; and

3 “(B) higher than the elevation contour of
4 936 feet above mean sea level.”; and

5 (D) by inserting before paragraph (6), as
6 so redesignated, the following:

7 “(5) IMMINENT FAILURE.—The term ‘imminent
8 failure’ means, with respect to an eligible sewer or
9 septic system, a final order by the applicable unit of
10 local government to the owner of an eligible sewer or
11 septic system that such system be replaced.”; and

12 (3) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(d) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in the section shall
14 prevent the Secretary from issuing a shoreline use permit,
15 other applicable permit, easement, or outgrant, consistent
16 with a shoreline management plan or other applicable pol-
17 icy, for a structure, sewer system, or septic system pro-
18 posed to be built or modified after the date of enactment
19 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2026 at the
20 Table Rock Lake project.”.

21 **SEC. 229. EVALUATION OF ATOMIC CONTAMINATION AT**
22 **COCHITI LAKE, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW**
23 **MEXICO.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
25 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordina-

1 tion with the Secretary of Energy and the People of
2 Cochiti Pueblo, shall initiate an evaluation of the area in-
3 cluding the lands adjacent to and including the Cochiti
4 Lake in relation to the early atomic energy program of
5 the United States, including the Manhattan Engineering
6 District, to determine whether the area is eligible to be
7 added to the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Pro-
8 gram of the Corps of Engineers.

9 (b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later than
10 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
11 retary shall notify the Committee on Transportation and
12 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the
13 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
14 ate of the results of the evaluation initiated under sub-
15 section (a), including—

16 (1) whether the area referred to in subsection
17 (a) contains contamination that resulted from the
18 early atomic energy program;

19 (2) whether further remedial action in the area
20 is needed; and

21 (3) if eligible, the timeline for adding the area
22 to the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Pro-
23 gram.

1 **SEC. 230. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**
2 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

3 Section 1230 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3086) is amended by adding at
5 the end the following:

6 “(d) IMPLEMENTATION UPDATES.—Not later than
7 30 days after the date of enactment of this subsection,
8 and annually thereafter until the report prepared under
9 subsection (a) is completed, the Secretary shall submit to
10 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
11 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
12 ronment and Public Works of the Senate an update de-
13 scribing the status of the implementation of this section.”.

14 **SEC. 231. ARBUCKLE-TIMBERED HILLS, OKLAHOMA.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Sec-
16 retary, in coordination with relevant Federal and State
17 agencies and non-Federal interests, may conduct a study
18 on water supply, water storage capacity, and drought resil-
19 iency for the regions overlaying the Arbuckle-Timbered
20 Hills Aquifer, Oklahoma.

21 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out a study under
22 subsection (a), the Secretary shall identify infrastructure
23 needs to support increased water storage in and around
24 the Arbuckle-Timbered Hills Aquifer.

1 **SEC. 232. DISPOSITION AND COST ALLOCATION STUDY OF**
2 **WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the
4 date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall issue
5 the report required by section 8220 of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762), as
7 modified by this section.

8 (b) COST ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall include
9 in the report described in subsection (a)—

10 (1) a review of the cost allocation for capital in-
11 vestments for fish passage, and associated moni-
12 toring facilities, constructed or proposed after Sep-
13 tember 30, 2025, and for operation and expenses re-
14 lated to such capital investments, at the dams, res-
15 ervoirs, and related facilities of the Willamette Val-
16 ley hydropower project; and

17 (2) a description of the relative public value of
18 continued hydropower operations at the Willamette
19 Valley hydropower project compared to flood control
20 and other authorized purposes.

21 (c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “Willam-
22 ette Valley hydropower project” has the meaning given
23 that term in section 8220(d) of the Water Resources De-
24 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762).

1 **SEC. 233. FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS RESERVOIR AND DAM,**
2 **PENNSYLVANIA.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
4 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
5 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,
6 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-
7 change of excess land, held for flood risk management and
8 operation of the Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam
9 project, located in Pennsylvania and constructed as one
10 of the flood risk management reservoir projects in the plan
11 for flood protection on the West Branch of the Susque-
12 hanna River, Pennsylvania and New York, authorized by
13 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1954 (68 Stat.
14 1257).

15 (b) SCOPE.—The disposition study required by sub-
16 section (a) shall include—

17 (1) identification of the minimum real property
18 required for the operation or maintenance of the
19 Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam project;

20 (2) evaluation of the potential effects of the re-
21 lease, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess
22 property interests on flood control and recreation
23 missions of the Corps of Engineers; and

24 (3) identification of the exact legal description
25 and acreage of the property interests, including ease-
26 ments, suitable for conveyance.

1 (c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
2 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
3 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
4 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate a report of the status of the disposition study re-
7 quired under subsection (a).

8 **SEC. 234. HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE.**

9 The Secretary shall coordinate with representatives
10 of the Natural Resources Conservation Service to expedite
11 completion of watershed planning assistance to Hum-
12 phreys County, Tennessee, pursuant to the agreement en-
13 tered into between the Secretary and the Chief of the Nat-
14 ural Resources Conservation Service on November 30,
15 2022, for such assistance.

16 **SEC. 235. SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR, TEXAS.**

17 (a) JOINT ASSESSMENT.—Upon request of the Sec-
18 retary of Agriculture, the Secretary and the Secretary of
19 Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Serv-
20 ice, shall jointly assess the condition of the Harvey Creek
21 boat ramp at Sam Rayburn Reservoir, San Augustine
22 County, Texas, to identify any repairs to the boat ramp
23 that may be necessary.

24 (b) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO THE FOREST SERV-
25 ICE.—Upon request of the Secretary of Agriculture, the

1 Secretary shall provide technical and design assistance to
2 the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief, for
3 any repairs to the boat ramp described in subsection (a)
4 that the Secretary of Agriculture determines necessary
5 based on an assessment under subsection (a).

6 **SEC. 236. COLUMBIA RIVER, WASHINGTON.**

7 (a) TRI-CITIES DISPOSITION STUDY.—The Secretary
8 shall carry out a disposition study under section 216 of
9 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the
10 conveyance of covered lands to a covered entity for a pub-
11 lic purpose.

12 (b) LAND INTO TRUST DISPOSITION STUDY.—The
13 Secretary shall carry out a disposition study under section
14 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a)
15 for the transfer of covered lands to the Secretary of the
16 Interior to be taken into trust for the benefit of a covered
17 Tribal entity.

18 (c) CONSULTATION.—To identify the exact location,
19 acreage, and boundaries of the covered lands to be in-
20 cluded in a disposition study under this section, the Sec-
21 retary shall consult with covered entities, covered Tribal
22 entities, and other stakeholders, as applicable.

23 (d) CONTENTS.—In carrying out a disposition study
24 under this section, the Secretary shall—

1 (1) review and describe the effects, if any, on
2 the authorized purpose of each Corps of Engineers
3 project expected to be affected by a conveyance or
4 transfer, as applicable, of covered lands that are the
5 subject of the study;

6 (2) identify all Federal easements on such cov-
7 ered lands;

8 (3) identify all federally owned flood risk man-
9 agement and flood control infrastructure on such
10 covered lands;

11 (4) identify and describe each new authority
12 needed, if any, to convey or transfer, as applicable,
13 such covered lands;

14 (5) for each parcel of land within such covered
15 lands, identify and describe any rights-of-way, access
16 easements, flood easements, and other similar legal
17 instruments, agreements, or encumbrances needed to
18 operate and maintain all flood control infrastructure
19 operated and maintained by the Corps of Engineers;

20 (6) identify and describe any current agree-
21 ments entered into by the Corps of Engineers and
22 a non-Federal entity for use of, or access to, such
23 covered lands; and

24 (7) identify any restrictions or conditions on
25 lands proposed for conveyance that the Secretary

1 recommends and determines to be necessary to sus-
2 tain the authorized purposes of each Corps of Engi-
3 neers project expected to be affected by a convey-
4 ance or transfer, as applicable, of such covered
5 lands.

6 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
7 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
8 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
9 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
10 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
11 Senate a report on the status of each disposition study
12 required under this section.

13 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) COVERED ENTITY.—The term “covered en-
15 tity” means—

16 (A) the City of Kennewick, Washington;

17 (B) the City of Pasco, Washington;

18 (C) the City of Richland, Washington;

19 (D) Benton County, Washington;

20 (E) Franklin County, Washington; and

21 (F) the Port Commission of the Port of
22 Pasco, located in the City of Pasco, Wash-
23 ington.

24 (2) COVERED LANDS.—The term “covered
25 lands” means the shoreline and adjacent lands lo-

1 cated along the Columbia River within in the fol-
2 lowing areas of land owned by the Corps of Engi-
3 neers:

4 (A) With respect to the disposition study
5 required in subsection (a)—

6 (i) the area of approximately 370
7 acres in Benton County, Washington;

8 (ii) the area of approximately 465
9 acres in the City of Kennewick, Wash-
10 ington;

11 (iii) the area of approximately 350
12 acres in the City of Pasco, Washington;

13 (iv) the area of approximately 640
14 acres in the City of Richland, Washington;

15 (v) the area of approximately 8 acres
16 within the Port of Pasco, Washington; and

17 (vi) the area of approximately 520
18 acres in Franklin County, Washington.

19 (B) With respect to the disposition study
20 required in subsection (b)—

21 (i) the area of approximately 200
22 acres within the Umatilla Indian Reserva-
23 tion;

1 (ii) the area of approximately 160
2 acres within the Confederated Tribes and
3 Bands of the Yakama Nation; and

4 (iii) any additional areas, as deter-
5 mined by the Secretary.

6 (3) COVERED TRIBAL ENTITY.—The term “cov-
7 ered Tribal entity” means—

8 (A) the Confederated Tribes of Umatilla
9 Indian Reservation;

10 (B) the Confederated Tribes and Bands of
11 the Yakama Nation; and

12 (C) other federally recognized Indian
13 Tribes, as determined appropriate by the Sec-
14 retary.

15 **SEC. 237. TRI-CITIES AREA, WASHINGTON.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the
17 property conveyances authorized under section 501(i) of
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
19 3752).

20 (b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—If the Sec-
21 retary fails to convey any property to the appropriate non-
22 Federal entity pursuant to section 501(i) of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 1996 within 18 months of the
24 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall notify
25 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of

1 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
 2 ronment and Public Works of the Senate—

3 (1) which property has not been conveyed;

4 (2) why such property has not been conveyed;

5 and

6 (3) of the timeline to convey such property.

7 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS** 8 **AND MODIFICATIONS**

9 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.**

10 Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act
 11 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended—

12 (1) in subsection (a)—

13 (A) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at
 14 the end;

15 (B) in paragraph (3), by striking the pe-
 16 riod at the end and inserting “; and”; and

17 (C) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(4) to address the backlog of water resources
 19 development projects that the Secretary is author-
 20 ized to construct.”;

21 (2) in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking “Water
 22 Resources Development Act of 2024” and inserting
 23 “Water Resources Development Act of 2026” each
 24 place it appears; and

1 (3) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the
2 following:

3 “(3) UPDATES.—After submission of the final
4 deauthorization list and appendix under paragraph
5 (1), the Secretary shall—

6 “(A) review and update the list and appen-
7 dix as necessary; and

8 “(B) not less than every six months, begin-
9 ning after the date of such submission—

10 “(i) provide to the committees de-
11 scribed in paragraph (1)(A) the updated
12 list and appendix; and

13 “(ii) publish the updated list and ap-
14 pendix in the Federal Register.”.

15 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

16 (a) LAKES PROGRAM.—Section 602 of the Water Re-
17 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 138
18 Stat. 3164) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a)—

20 (A) in paragraph (38), by striking “and”
21 at the end;

22 (B) in paragraph (39), by striking the pe-
23 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

24 (C) by adding at the end the following:

25 “(40) Lake Waumpi, Orange County, Florida;

1 “(41) Lake Becerra, Glendale Heights, Illinois;

2 “(42) Electric Lake (Mill Pond), Park Ridge,

3 New Jersey;

4 “(43) Pondsides Park pond, Harrington Park,

5 New Jersey; and

6 “(44) Putnam Lake, Putnam County, New

7 York.”; and

8 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$40,000,000”

9 and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

10 (b) CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PRO-

11 GRAM.—Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-

12 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263) is amended, in the

13 second sentence, by striking “\$120,000,000” and insert-

14 ing “\$150,000,000”.

15 (c) CIVIL WORKS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—

16 Section 7(c)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act

17 of 1988 (33 U.S.C. 2313) is amended by striking “2028”

18 and inserting “2030”.

19 (d) REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-

20 STRUCTED DAMS.—Section 1177(f) of the Water Re-

21 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note)

22 is amended by striking “\$60,000,000” and inserting

23 “\$80,000,000”.

24 (e) CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM.—Section

25 8144(k) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022

1 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended by striking “\$40,000,000”
2 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

3 (f) COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN.—Section 8309(c)(2) of
4 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
5 3780; 138 Stat. 3043) is amended by striking “2026 and
6 2027” and inserting “2026 through 2030”.

7 (g) LOWER MISSOURI RIVER STREAMBANK EROSION
8 CONTROL EVALUATION AND DEMONSTRATION PRO-
9 GRAM.—Section 8350(f) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3798) is amended by striking
11 “5 years” and inserting “8 years”.

12 (h) NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION OF FEASI-
13 BILITY STUDIES.—Section 1043(a) of the Water Re-
14 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
15 2201 note) is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (7), by striking “terminates
17 on the date that is 5 years after the date of enact-
18 ment of this Act” and inserting “terminates on Sep-
19 tember 30, 2030”; and

20 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “2019” and
21 inserting “2030”.

22 (i) ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM.—Section 1113(e)
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100
24 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat. 3781; 138 Stat.

1 3161) is amended by striking “\$90,000,000” and insert-
2 ing “\$120,000,000”.

3 (j) MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM FOR
4 SALINE LAKES IN THE GREAT BASIN.—Section 8143(f)
5 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
6 Stat. 3724) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and
7 inserting “\$12,500,000”.

8 (k) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST DREDGING AUTHOR-
9 ITY.—Section 1113(g) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326e) is amended by strik-
11 ing “10” and inserting “14”.

12 **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

13 (a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

14 (1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

15 The exact acreage and the legal description of any
16 real property to be conveyed under this section shall
17 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the
18 Secretary.

19 (2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING
20 PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United
21 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance
22 under this section.

23 (3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to
24 which a conveyance is made under this section shall
25 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,

1 including real estate transaction and environmental
2 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

3 (4) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a convey-
4 ance is made under this section shall hold the
5 United States harmless from any liability with re-
6 spect to activities carried out, on or after the date
7 of the conveyance, on the real property conveyed.
8 The United States shall remain responsible for any
9 liability with respect to activities carried out, before
10 such date, on the real property conveyed.

11 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—
12 The Secretary may require that any conveyance
13 under this section be subject to such additional
14 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-
15 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the
16 United States.

17 (b) CITY OF CORONA, CALIFORNIA.—

18 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
19 may convey, without consideration, to the City of
20 Corona, California, all right, title, and interest of the
21 United States in and to the real property described
22 in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public water
23 reclamation, wastewater treatment, environmental
24 management, or related municipal purposes.

1 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
2 under this subsection is the approximately 48 acres
3 of land, including improvements located at 2205
4 Railroad Street, Corona, California.

5 (3) RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.—In carrying out
6 the conveyance under this subsection, the Secretary
7 shall, after consultation with the City of Corona, re-
8 serve and retain from the conveyance under this
9 subsection such easements, rights-of-way, and other
10 interests that the Secretary determines to be nec-
11 essary and appropriate to ensure the continued oper-
12 ation of the Prado Dam Basin flood control project,
13 authorized pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June
14 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 100 Stat.
15 4113).

16 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
17 at any time that the property conveyed under this
18 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose
19 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-
20 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-
21 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

22 (c) TOWN OF DUCK, NORTH CAROLINA.—

23 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
24 shall convey, without consideration, to the Town of
25 Duck, North Carolina, all right, title, and interest of

1 the United States in and to the real property de-
2 scribed in paragraph (2), for the purpose of housing
3 a fire station and public safety facility.

4 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
5 under this subsection is the approximately 4.02
6 acres of land, with approximately 330 linear feet of
7 road frontage and approximately 530 linear feet of
8 depth, including improvements on that land, located
9 at 1259 Duck Road, Duck, North Carolina.

10 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
11 at any time that the property conveyed under this
12 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose
13 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-
14 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-
15 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

16 (d) CITY OF TOLEDO, OHIO.—

17 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
18 may convey, without consideration, to the City of
19 Toledo, Ohio, all right, title, and interest of the
20 United States in and to the real property described
21 in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public benefit,
22 including parks and recreation.

23 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
24 under this subsection is the small land mass known
25 as Grassy Island, including any improvements on

1 that land, located in Maumee Bay at the mouth of
2 Maumee River in Ohio.

3 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
4 at any time that the property conveyed under this
5 subsection is not in accordance with the purpose
6 specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and inter-
7 est in and to the property shall revert, at the discre-
8 tion of the Secretary, to the United States.

9 (e) COUNTY OF UMATILLA, OREGON.—

10 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
11 may convey to the County of Umatilla, Oregon, all
12 right, title, and interest of the United States in and
13 to the real property described in paragraph (2), for
14 the purpose of public benefit.

15 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
16 under this subsection is approximately 25 acres of
17 Federal land, including improvements on that land,
18 in Umatilla County, Oregon, and described as fol-
19 lows:

20 (A) All of Tax Lot 1301.

21 (B) Approximately 12 acres of Tax Lot
22 1001.

23 (3) RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.—The Secretary
24 shall, after consultation with the County of
25 Umatilla, reserve and retain from the conveyance

1 under this subsection such easements, rights-of-way,
2 licenses, and other interests that the Secretary de-
3 termines to be necessary and appropriate to ensure
4 the continued operation of the project for flood con-
5 trol and other purposes, John Day Dam, Columbia
6 River, Washington and Oregon, authorized under
7 section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64
8 Stat. 179).

9 (4) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—The Sec-
10 retary shall—

11 (A) identify all agreements and other en-
12 cumbrances entered into with a non-Federal en-
13 tity on the property to be conveyed under this
14 subsection; and

15 (B) in a form and manner determined by
16 the Secretary, transfer any such agreements
17 and encumbrances identified under subpara-
18 graph (A) to the County of Umatilla, as appro-
19 priate.

20 (5) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the
21 property under this subsection by quitclaim deed
22 under such terms and conditions as the Secretary
23 determines appropriate to protect the interests of
24 the United States.

1 (6) CONSIDERATION.—The County of Umatilla
2 shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is not less
3 than the fair market value of the property conveyed
4 under this subsection, as determined by the Sec-
5 retary.

6 **SEC. 304. LAND EXCHANGE, WALTON AND BAY COUNTIES,**
7 **FLORIDA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—Upon conveyance, by warranty
9 deed acceptable to the Secretary, by The St. Joe Company
10 to the United States of all right, title, and interest in and
11 to the non-Federal land, the Secretary shall release, by
12 quitclaim deed, to The St. Joe Company, all interests held
13 by the United States in any easements on the covered land
14 other than the interests described in the covered easement.

15 (b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the con-
16 veyance and release of interests under subsection (a), The
17 St. Joe Company shall pay to the Secretary an amount
18 equal to the difference between the fair market value of
19 the interests the Secretary is releasing under subsection
20 (a) and the fair market value of the non-Federal land, as
21 determined by an appraised value mutually agreed upon
22 by the Secretary and The St. Joe Company.

23 (c) DEADLINE.—The conveyance and release of inter-
24 ests under subsection (a) shall be completed not later than
25 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

1 (d) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PRO-
2 VISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code,
3 shall not apply to any conveyance under this section.

4 (e) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—The St. Joe Company
5 shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
6 including real estate transaction and environmental docu-
7 mentation costs, associated with any conveyance or release
8 of easements under this section.

9 (f) LIABILITY.—The St. Joe Company shall hold the
10 United States harmless from any liability with respect to
11 activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-
12 ance, on the real property conveyed under this section.
13 The United States shall remain responsible for any liabil-
14 ity with respect to activities carried out, before such date,
15 on the real property conveyed.

16 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
17 Secretary may require that any conveyance or release of
18 interests under this section be subject to such additional
19 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary
20 and appropriate to protect the interests of the United
21 States.

22 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

23 (1) COVERED EASEMENT.—The term “covered
24 easement” means any non-exclusive, perpetual ease-
25 ment on the covered land, which grants the Sec-

retary the right, to maintain the following easements
as set forth in the nonstandard estate language:

(A) The channel maintenance and operations easement.

(B) The ingress and egress easement.

(C) The drainage trench easement.

(2) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land” means the approximately 79.60 acres of land in Bay County and Walton County, Florida, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Boundary Survey Giles Land Swap Intracoastal Waterway Parcel Bay County and Walton County, Florida”, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated January 26, 2021, under Project Number 50135600.

(3) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term “non-Federal land” means the approximately 103.49 acres of land in Bay County, Florida, as generally depicted as Parcel B on the map entitled “Description Sketch Land Exchange (USACE/SJC) East Bay Parcel SEC. 13, T-5-S, R-12-W Bay County, Florida”, prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated September 28, 2021, under Project Number 50145235.

(4) THE ST. JOE COMPANY.—The term “The St. Joe Company” means The St. Joe Company,

1 headquartered in Panama City Beach, Florida, origi-
2 nally incorporated in 1936, and previously known as
3 the St. Joe Paper Company.

4 **SEC. 305. PORT CANAVERAL, FLORIDA.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—On receipt of a written request
6 from the Canaveral Port Authority, the Secretary shall—

7 (1) review the covered land, including any ease-
8 ments on such land, and determine whether any por-
9 tion of such land or easements are no longer re-
10 quired for the purposes of the Canaveral Harbor
11 project;

12 (2) identify any portion of the covered land that
13 the Secretary determines is no longer required under
14 paragraph (1) and that would be suitable for convey-
15 ance or exchange with the Canaveral Port Authority;
16 and

17 (3) as appropriate—

18 (A) convey, at fair market value to, or
19 through exchange for real property of not less
20 than equal value with, the Canaveral Port Au-
21 thority any portion of the covered land that the
22 Secretary determines is no longer required
23 under paragraph (1); and

24 (B) grant to the Canaveral Port Authority
25 any easement on the covered land that the Sec-

1 retary determines under paragraph (1) is no
2 longer required and will not adversely impact
3 the operation of the Canaveral Harbor project.

4 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the
5 Secretary shall—

6 (1) determine the exact acreage and the legal
7 description of any real property interest to be con-
8 veyed under this section based on a survey that is
9 satisfactory to the Secretary and completed by the
10 non-Federal interest of the Canaveral Harbor
11 project;

12 (2) coordinate with the Canaveral Port Author-
13 ity in identifying opportunities for land exchanges,
14 where possible; and

15 (3) ensure that any conveyance, grant of ease-
16 ments, or exchange of land carried out under this
17 section, does not interfere with any Federal naviga-
18 tion project that has been constructed or is author-
19 ized to be constructed as of the date of enactment
20 of this Act.

21 (c) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey any property
22 described in subsection (a)(3)(A) under this section by
23 quitclaim deed under such terms and conditions as the
24 Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests
25 of the United States.

1 (d) APPLICABILITY OF REAL PROPERTY SCREENING
2 REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States
3 Code, shall not apply to the conveyance of property under
4 this section.

5 (e) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE OR GRANT OF AN EASE-
6 MENT.—The Canaveral Port Authority shall be respon-
7 sible for all reasonable and necessary costs, including real
8 estate transaction and environmental documentation
9 costs, associated with a conveyance or grant of an ease-
10 ment under this section.

11 (f) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance or
12 grant of an easement is made under this section shall hold
13 the United States harmless from any liability with respect
14 to activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-
15 ance or grant of an easement, on the real property con-
16 veyed, or with respect to which an easement is granted.
17 The United States shall remain responsible for any liabil-
18 ity with respect to activities carried out, before such date,
19 on the real property conveyed or with respect to which an
20 easement is granted.

21 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
22 Secretary may require that any conveyance or grant of an
23 easement under this section be subject to such additional
24 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary

1 and appropriate to protect the interests of the United
2 States.

3 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) CANAVERAL HARBOR PROJECT.—The term
5 “Canaveral Harbor project” means the project for
6 navigation, Canaveral Harbor, Port Canaveral, Flor-
7 ida, authorized by section 2 of Act of March 2, 1945
8 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 16).

9 (2) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land”
10 means the land owned and known as “USACOE
11 TRACT 100” that is held by the United States for
12 the Canaveral Harbor project.

13 **SEC. 306. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

14 (a) DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS
15 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALI-
16 FORNIA.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
18 for flood risk management, Los Angeles County
19 Drainage Area, California, authorized by section 5
20 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.
21 1589; 50 Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64
22 Stat. 177; 104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785; 138
23 Stat. 3019), consisting of the flood channels de-
24 scribed in paragraph (2), is no longer authorized be-
25 ginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

1 (2) FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED.—The flood
2 channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-
3 lowing flood channels operated and maintained by
4 the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as
5 generally defined in Corps of Engineers operations
6 and maintenance manuals as may be further de-
7 scribed in an agreement entered into under para-
8 graph (3):

9 (A) Alhambra Wash Channel (Valley Blvd.
10 to Rio Hondo).

11 (B) Arcadia Wash Channel.

12 (C) Ballona Creek Channel (La Salle Ave.
13 to Vista Del Mar).

14 (D) Ballona Creek Channel (Redondo
15 Blvd. to Washington Blvd.).

16 (E) Benedict Canyon Channel.

17 (F) Burbank Western Channel (Roscoe
18 Blvd. to Victory Blvd.).

19 (G) Caballero Creek Channel.

20 (H) Centinela Creek Channel.

21 (I) Compton Creek Channel (Main St. to
22 122nd St.).

23 (J) Compton Creek Channel (Southern Pa-
24 cific Rail Yard to Los Angeles River).

- 1 (K) Coyote Creek Channel (Valley View St.
2 to San Gabriel River).
- 3 (L) Eaton Wash Channel.
- 4 (M) Grandview Ave Channel.
- 5 (N) Haines Canyon Channel (Plainview
6 Ave. to Tujunga Wash).
- 7 (O) Kenter Canyon Channel.
- 8 (P) Lopez Canyon Diversion Channel.
- 9 (Q) Los Angeles River (Owensmouth Ave.
10 to Sepulveda Flood Control Basin).
- 11 (R) Los Angeles River (Sepulveda Flood
12 Control Basin to Lankershim Blvd.).
- 13 (S) Los Angeles River (Stewart and Grey
14 Rd. to Pacific Ocean).
- 15 (T) North Fork Channel.
- 16 (U) Pacoima Wash Channel.
- 17 (V) Rio Hondo Channel (Lower Azusa Rd.
18 to Rosemead Blvd.).
- 19 (W) Rio Hondo Channel (500 ft. south of
20 Whittier Narrows Dam to Union Pacific Rail
21 Yard).
- 22 (X) Rubio Wash Channel.
- 23 (Y) San Gabriel River Channel (Santa Fe
24 Flood Control Basin to Thienes Ave.).

1 (Z) San Gabriel River Channel (Whittier
2 Narrows Dam to Pacific Ocean).

3 (AA) San Jose Creek Channel (Thomas
4 Creek to San Jose Creek Diversion).

5 (BB) Santa Anita Wash Channel.

6 (CC) Sawpit Wash Channel.

7 (DD) Sawtelle–Westwood Channel.

8 (EE) Sycamore Wash Channel.

9 (FF) Tujunga Wash Channel (436 ft.
10 south of Hansen Dam to Los Angeles River).

11 (GG) Verdugo Wash Channel (Verdugo
12 Debris Basin to San Fernando Rd.).

13 (3) AGREEMENT.—Not later than 90 days after
14 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
15 shall seek to enter into an agreement with the Los
16 Angeles County Flood Control District to ensure
17 that the Los Angeles County Flood Control Dis-
18 trict—

19 (A) will continue to operate, maintain, re-
20 pair, rehabilitate, and replace as necessary, the
21 flood channels described in paragraph (2)—

22 (i) in perpetuity at no cost to the
23 United States; and

1 (ii) in a manner that does not reduce
2 the level of flood protection of the project
3 described in paragraph (1);

4 (B) will retain public ownership of all real
5 property and easements required for the contin-
6 ued functioning of the flood channels described
7 in paragraph (2), consistent with authorized
8 purposes of the project described in paragraph
9 (1);

10 (C) will allow the Corps of Engineers to
11 continue to operate, maintain, repair, rehabili-
12 tate, and replace any appurtenant structures,
13 such as rain and stream gages, existing as of
14 the date of enactment of this Act and located
15 within the flood channels subject to deauthor-
16 ization under paragraph (1) as necessary to en-
17 sure the continued functioning of the project
18 described in paragraph (1); and

19 (D) will hold and save the United States
20 harmless from damages due to floods, breach,
21 failure, operation, or maintenance of the flood
22 channels described in paragraph (2).

23 (4) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary
24 may accept and expend funds voluntarily contributed
25 by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to

1 cover the administrative costs incurred by the Sec-
2 retary to—

3 (A) enter into an agreement under para-
4 graph (3); and

5 (B) monitor compliance with such agree-
6 ment.

7 (5) REPEAL.—The following are repealed:

8 (A) Subsections (c) and (d) of section
9 8320 of the Water Resources Development Act
10 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3785).

11 (B) Paragraphs (3) and (4) of section
12 1302(b) of the Water Resources Development
13 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3109).

14 (b) LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
15 CALIFORNIA.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
17 for flood protection on the Lower San Joaquin River
18 and tributaries, California, authorized by section 10
19 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58
20 Stat. 901), consisting of the 3.76 mile Dos Rios
21 Levee along the right bank of the San Joaquin River
22 between River Mile 88 and River Mile 85, is no
23 longer authorized beginning on the date of enact-
24 ment of this Act.

1 (2) REPEAL.—Section 1302(p) of the Water
2 Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.
3 3114) is repealed.

4 (c) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM,
5 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.—Section 2010 of the Water
6 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128
7 Stat. 1270; 132 Stat. 3812; 136 Stat. 3795) is amended
8 by adding at the end the following:

9 “(h) CLARIFICATION.—

10 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the re-
11 quirements of section 356(f) of the Water Resources
12 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2724; 138
13 Stat. 3141), the Secretary shall retain ownership of,
14 and operation and maintenance responsibility for,
15 the underwater cutoff wall constructed by the Sec-
16 retary on the riverbed in the vicinity of St. Anthony
17 Falls, Minnesota.

18 “(2) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 1 year after
19 the date of enactment of this subsection, the Sec-
20 retary shall complete and transmit to the State of
21 Minnesota and to the Committee on Transportation
22 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
23 and the Committee on Environment and Public
24 Works of the Senate an assessment of the condition

1 of the underwater cutoff wall described in paragraph
2 (1).”.

3 (d) HARLEM RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-
4 NEL, NEW YORK.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
6 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Har-
7 lem River, New York, authorized by the first section
8 of the Act of June 18, 1878 (chapter 264, 20 Stat.
9 158), is modified to deauthorize the portion of the
10 project consisting of the areas described in para-
11 graph (2).

12 (2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—The areas referred to
13 in paragraph (1) are—

14 (A) the area that is a closed polygon
15 formed by straight lines connecting, in the
16 order listed, the following points, and thence ex-
17 tending landward to the existing physical shore-
18 line—

19 (i) beginning at a point on the shore-
20 line north of the Macombs Dam Bridge at
21 N244191.72, E1002503.69;

22 (ii) running south-southwesterly ap-
23 proximately 59.5 feet to a point at
24 N244133.98, E1002489.25;

1 (iii) running south-southwesterly ap-
2 proximately 579.8 feet to a point at
3 N243572.61, E1002344.44;

4 (iv) running southerly approximately
5 173.9 feet to a point at N243399.67,
6 E1002326.56;

7 (v) running southerly approximately
8 189.0 feet to a point at N243212.20,
9 E1002302.50;

10 (vi) running southerly approximately
11 354.4 feet to a point at N242858.69,
12 E1002277.63;

13 (vii) running southerly approximately
14 292.3 feet to a point at N242566.45,
15 E1002281.31;

16 (viii) running southerly approximately
17 791.2 feet to a point at N241778.27,
18 E1002350.13;

19 (ix) running southerly approximately
20 254.5 feet to a point at N241525.84,
21 E1002382.88;

22 (x) running southerly approximately
23 .6 feet to a point at N241469.61,
24 E1002389.06;

1 (xi) running southerly approximately
2 190.2 feet to a point at N241280.22,
3 E1002406.69;

4 (xii) running southwesterly approxi-
5 mately 93.6 feet to a point at N241209.59,
6 E1002345.25; and

7 (xiii) running southwesterly approxi-
8 mately 15.4 feet terminating at a point on
9 the shoreline at N241197.95,
10 E1002335.13; and

11 (B) the area that is a closed polygon
12 formed by straight lines connecting, in the
13 order listed, the following points, and thence ex-
14 tending landward to the existing physical shore-
15 line—

16 (i) beginning at a point on the shore-
17 line south of the Macombs Dam Bridge at
18 N240595.14, E1002396.69;

19 (ii) running south-southeasterly ap-
20 proximately 30.5 feet to a point at
21 N240569.30, E1002412.94;

22 (iii) running south-southeasterly ap-
23 proximately 97.5 feet to a point at
24 N240486.75, E1002464.88;

1 (iv) running southerly approximately
2 477.6 feet to a point at N240010.61,
3 E1002502.81;

4 (v) running southerly approximately
5 60.4 feet to a point at N239950.33,
6 E1002506.19;

7 (vi) running southerly approximately
8 39.5 feet to a point at N239910.88,
9 E1002506.94;

10 (vii) running southerly approximately
11 .3 feet to a point at N239773.63,
12 E1002509.38;

13 (viii) running southerly approximately
14 97.9 feet to a point at N239676.67,
15 E1002523.13;

16 (ix) running southerly approximately
17 58.1 feet to a point at N239618.58,
18 E1002524.19;

19 (x) running southerly approximately
20 289.1 feet to a point at N239330.02,
21 E1002541.31;

22 (xi) running southerly approximately
23 331.3 feet to a point at N238999.31,
24 E1002560.94;

1 (xii) running southerly approximately
2 583.2 feet to a point at N238416.61,
3 E1002585.50;

4 (xiii) running southerly approximately
5 387.8 feet to a point at N238029.20,
6 E1002602.56;

7 (xiv) running southerly approximately
8 120.0 feet to a point at N237909.23,
9 E1002603.81;

10 (xv) running west-northwesterly ap-
11 proximately 42 feet to a point at
12 N237919.38, E1002563.06; and

13 (xvi) running west-northwesterly ap-
14 proximately 1 foot to a point on the shore-
15 line at N237919.63, E1002562.06.

16 (e) HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL PARTIAL DEAUTHOR-
17 IZATION, NEW YORK.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
19 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Hud-
20 son River Channel, New York, authorized by the
21 first section of the Act of March 4, 1913 (chapter
22 144, 37 Stat. 804), is modified to deauthorize the
23 portion of the channel consisting of the area de-
24 scribed in paragraph (2).

1 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
2 paragraph (1) is the area bounded by the following
3 coordinates:

4 (A) W 740004.90, N 404615.84.

5 (B) W 735957.24, N 404612.68.

6 (C) W 740015.09, N 404601.62.

7 (D) W 740007.71, N 404558.52.

8 (f) NEWTOWN CREEK FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-
9 NEL, NEW YORK.—

10 (1) DEFINITION OF NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW
11 YORK PROJECT.—In this subsection, the term “New-
12 town Creek, New York project” means the project
13 for navigation, Newtown Creek, New York and asso-
14 ciated tributaries, authorized by the first section of
15 the Act of March 2, 1919 (chapter 95, 40 Stat.
16 1276; 46 Stat. 920; 50 Stat. 845; 138 Stat. 3113).

17 (2) MODIFICATION.—Beginning on the date of
18 enactment of this Act, the Newtown Creek, New
19 York project is modified to reduce, in part, the au-
20 thorized dimensions of the project, such that the re-
21 maining authorized depths are as follows:

22 (A) A 20-foot deep channel with a center
23 line beginning at point North 40.735668 and
24 West 73.9242159, thence to a point North
25 40.733648 and West 73.940546.

1 (B) An 18-foot deep channel with a center
2 line beginning at point North 40.733648 and
3 West 73.940546, thence to a point North
4 40.722214 and West 73.925873.

5 (C) An 18-foot deep turning basin south-
6 west of a line formed by points North
7 40.726201 and West 73.927288; and North
8 40.723507 and West 73.924712.

9 (D) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center
10 line beginning at a point North 40.722214 and
11 West 73.925873, thence to a point North
12 40.718066 and West 73.923931.

13 (E) A 16-foot-deep channel with a center
14 line beginning at a point North 40.718663 and
15 West 73.924175, thence to a point North
16 40.717538 and West 73.927437.

17 (F) A 14-foot-deep channel with a center
18 line beginning at a point North 40.717538 and
19 West 73.927437, thence to a point North
20 40.716610 and West 73.929278.

21 (G) A 12-foot-deep channel with a center
22 line beginning at a point North 40.716610 and
23 West 73.929278, thence to a point North
24 40.713164 and West 73.931352.

25 (3) DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—

1 (A) IN GENERAL.—The portions of the
2 Newtown Creek navigation project described in
3 subparagraph (B) are deauthorized beginning
4 on the date of enactment of this Act.

5 (B) PORTIONS DESCRIBED.—The portions
6 referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

7 (i) the portion of the project adjacent
8 to the turning basin consisting of—

9 (I) the area east of a line formed
10 by points North 40.726201 and West
11 73.927288; and North 40.723507 and
12 West 73.924712; and

13 (II) the area containing Maspeth
14 Creek;

15 (ii) the upstream portion of English
16 Kills consisting of the area—

17 (I) beginning at a point North
18 40.713164 and West 73.931352; and

19 (II) extending upstream to the
20 project boundary; and

21 (iii) Dutch Kills, consisting of the
22 area—

23 (I) beginning at a point North
24 40.737623 and West 73.946809; and

1 (II) extending upstream to the
2 project boundary.

3 (g) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—

4 (1) DEAUTHORIZATION.—Upon completion of
5 the sale of the Union Pier marine terminal property
6 and cessation of commercial port operations at the
7 Union Pier marine terminal by the South Carolina
8 Ports Authority, the project for navigation, Charles-
9 ton Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section
10 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
11 2016 (130 Stat. 1708) consisting of the area de-
12 scribed in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

13 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The area referred
14 to in paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal
15 channel located within Charleston Harbor bounded
16 by the following coordinates: 32° 47′ 14.754772″ N,
17 79° 55′ 16.260605″ W; to 32° 47′ 14.647666″ N,
18 79° 55′ 23.873993″ W; to 32° 46′ 49.186843″ N,
19 79° 55′ 23.371716″ W; to 32° 46′ 49.293940″ N,
20 79° 55′ 15.758944″ W; to 32° 47′ 5.254548″ N, 79°
21 55′ 16.073410″ W; to 32° 47′ 9.672485″ N, 79° 55′
22 10.888283″ W; thence back to 32° 47′ 14.754772″
23 N, 79° 55′ 16.260605″ W.

24 (h) SHOT POUCH CREEK, SOUTH CAROLINA.—The
25 project for snagging and clearing, Shot Pouch Creek,

1 South Carolina, authorized by section 2 of August 28,
2 1937 (33 U.S.C. 701g), consisting of 2.15 miles of Shot
3 Pouch Creek from the Sumter Bypass to Swan Lake, is
4 no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment
5 of this Act.

6 **SEC. 307. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

7 (a) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress finds
8 that the projects and project modifications described in
9 this subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-
10 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section 7001
11 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
12 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Congress on
13 Future Water Resources Development”, or have otherwise
14 been reviewed by Congress.

15 (b) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water
16 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
17 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3115) is amended by adding at the
18 end the following:

19 “(599) AUBURN, ALABAMA.—\$3,000,000 for
20 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
21 Auburn, Alabama.

22 “(600) EDWARDSVILLE, ALABAMA.—
23 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
24 in the town of Edwardsville, Alabama.

1 “(601) GADSDEN, ALABAMA.—\$12,000,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply and distribution systems, in the city of
4 Gadsden, Alabama.

5 “(602) LOWER ALABAMA, ALABAMA.—
6 \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
7 ture, including stormwater management, environ-
8 mental restoration, and surface water protection, in
9 Barbour, Bullock, Butler, Conecuh, Crenshaw,
10 Macon, Mobile, Monroe, Montgomery, Pike, Russell,
11 and Washington Counties, Alabama.

12 “(603) MOBILE, ALABAMA.—\$10,000,000 for
13 water and wastewater infrastructure, including eco-
14 system restoration and resiliency, in the city of Mo-
15 bile, Alabama.

16 “(604) SOUTHSIDE, ALABAMA.—\$4,200,000 for
17 water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-
18 tribution systems, in the city of Southside, Alabama.

19 “(605) NELSON LAGOON, ALASKA.—\$3,300,000
20 for water infrastructure, including water supply and
21 storage, in the Native Village of Nelson Lagoon,
22 Alaska.

23 “(606) SITKA, ALASKA.—\$7,000,000 for water
24 and wastewater infrastructure, including reclamation

1 and storage, in the city and borough of Sitka, Alas-
2 ka.

3 “(607) SOLDOTNA, ALASKA.—\$1,300,000 for
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 water reclamation and storage, in the city of
6 Soldotna, Alaska.

7 “(608) LEE COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—\$50,000,000
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Lee
9 County, Arkansas.

10 “(609) COTTONWOOD, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 water reclamation, distribution systems, and
13 stormwater management, in the city of Cottonwood,
14 Arizona.

15 “(610) GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—\$24,000,000
16 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
17 water reclamation, treatment, and distribution sys-
18 tems, in Gila County, Arizona.

19 “(611) GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, ARI-
20 ZONA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
21 frastructure, including water supply and conserva-
22 tion, in the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona.

23 “(612) MARICOPA, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000 for
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
25 water reclamation, distribution systems, and

1 stormwater management, in the city of Maricopa,
2 Arizona.

3 “(613) PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—\$7,500,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 water reclamation and distribution systems and envi-
6 ronmental restoration, in Pima County, Arizona.

7 “(614) SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, ARIZONA.—
8 \$30,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including water reclamation and distribution
10 systems and environmental restoration, in Santa
11 Cruz County, Arizona.

12 “(615) ARTESIA, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,200,000 for
13 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
14 stormwater management and environmental infra-
15 structure, in the city of Artesia, California.

16 “(616) ATASCADERO, CALIFORNIA.—
17 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
18 ture, including water supply, in the city of
19 Atascadero, California.

20 “(617) BELMONT, SAN CARLOS, REDWOOD
21 CITY, AND WEST BAY SANITARY DISTRICT, CALI-
22 FORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
23 frastructure, including environmental restoration, in
24 the cities of Belmont, San Carlos, and Redwood City
25 and the West Bay Sanitary District, California.

1 “(618) BURBANK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
2 for water infrastructure, including water distribution
3 systems, in the city of Burbank, California.

4 “(619) CERRITOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$16,000,000
5 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 water supply, in the city of Cerritos, California.

7 “(620) COACHELLA, CALIFORNIA.—
8 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including water supply, in the city of Coachella,
10 California.

11 “(621) EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—\$18,000,000
12 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
13 stormwater management, in the city of El Monte,
14 California.

15 “(622) EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA.—
16 \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
17 including stormwater management, in the city of El
18 Segundo, California.

19 “(623) ELSINORE VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—
20 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
21 in the city of Lake Elsinore, California.

22 “(624) ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$44,250,000
23 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
24 stormwater management, drainage systems, and en-

1 vironmental restoration, in the city of Encinitas,
2 California.

3 “(625) IRVINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 water supply, in the city of Irvine, California.

6 “(626) LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE, CALI-
7 FORNIA.—\$29,024,625 for water and wastewater in-
8 frastructure, including water supply and storage ca-
9 pacity, in the city of La Cañada Flintridge, Cali-
10 fornia.

11 “(627) LAGUNA BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—
12 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including water supply and stormwater man-
14 agement, in the city of Laguna Beach, California.

15 “(628) LOMPOC, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,300,000 for
16 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
17 stormwater management, in the city of Lompoc,
18 California.

19 “(629) MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—
20 \$2,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
21 ture in the city of Manhattan Beach, California.

22 “(630) MISSION VIEJO, RANCHO SANTA MAR-
23 GARITA, AND SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
25 ture, including water supply, water recycling, and

1 stormwater management in the cities of Mission
2 Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and San Clemente,
3 California.

4 “(631) MODESTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$30,000,000
5 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 facility relocation, in the city of Modesto, California.

7 “(632) MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
8 \$27,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including stormwater management, in Mon-
10 terey County, California.

11 “(633) MORGAN HILL, CALIFORNIA.—
12 \$17,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture in the city of Morgan Hill, California.

14 “(634) OJAI, CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 stormwater management, in the city of Ojai, Cali-
17 fornia.

18 “(635) REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA.—
19 \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
20 including stormwater management, in the city of
21 Redwood City, California.

22 “(636) SACRAMENTO RIVER BASIN, CALI-
23 FORNIA.—\$155,000,000 for water and wastewater
24 infrastructure, including environmental restoration
25 and surface water protection in the Sacramento

1 River Basin, California, including the counties of
2 Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter,
3 Yuba, Sacramento, and Yolo, California.

4 “(637) SAN BERNARDINO AND RIVERSIDE
5 COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.—\$67,500,000 for water
6 and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-
7 ply, distribution systems, stormwater management,
8 and environmental restoration, in San Bernardino
9 and Riverside Counties, California.

10 “(638) SAN FERNANDO, CALIFORNIA.—
11 \$28,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
12 water supply and distribution systems, in the city of
13 San Fernando, California.

14 “(639) SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
15 \$30,665,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
16 ture, including water supply and water reuse and re-
17 lated facilities, in San Mateo County, California.

18 “(640) SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, CALI-
19 FORNIA.—\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater in-
20 frastructure in Santa Barbara County, California.

21 “(641) SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,500,000
22 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
23 water reclamation, distribution systems, and supply,
24 in the city of Santa Cruz, California.

1 “(642) SEASIDE, CALIFORNIA.—\$14,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply, stormwater management, and water
4 reclamation and distribution systems, in the city of
5 Seaside, California.

6 “(643) SOLVANG, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,000,000 for
7 water and water supply infrastructure, including dis-
8 tribution systems, in the city of Solvang, California.

9 “(644) SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES, CALI-
10 FORNIA.—\$60,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
11 frastructure, including water supply, stormwater
12 management, surface water protection, and environ-
13 mental restoration, in Sonoma and Marin Counties,
14 California.

15 “(645) SUTTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,350,000 for
16 water and wastewater infrastructure in the county of
17 Sutter, California.

18 “(646) TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
19 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
20 of Turlock, California.

21 “(647) VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
22 \$23,459,000 for water infrastructure, including
23 water supply and water storage, in Ventura County,
24 California.

1 “(648) WEST COVINA, CALIFORNIA.—
2 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
3 including stormwater management, in the city of
4 West Covina, California.

5 “(649) AURORA, COLORADO.—\$15,600,000 for
6 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
7 stormwater management, in the city of Aurora, Col-
8 orado.

9 “(650) CUSTER COUNTY, COLORADO.—
10 \$20,000,000 for water and water infrastructure, in-
11 cluding water supply, in Custer County, Colorado.

12 “(651) NORTHERN COLORADO.—\$22,500,000
13 for water infrastructure, including water supply and
14 environmental restoration, in Boulder, Broomfield,
15 Larimer, Logan, Morgan, Sedgwick, Washington,
16 and Weld Counties, Colorado.

17 “(652) CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY, CON-
18 NECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and wastewater
19 infrastructure, including stormwater management
20 and environmental restoration, in the towns of An-
21 dover, Avon, Berlin, Bloomfield, Bolton, Canton, Co-
22 lumbia, Coventry, East Granby, East Hartford, East
23 Windsor, Ellington, Enfield, Farmington, Glaston-
24 bury, Granby, Hartford, Hebron, Manchester, Mans-
25 field, Marlborough, New Britain, Newington, Plain-

1 ville, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, Somers, South Windsor,
2 Southington, Stafford, Suffield, Tolland, Vernon,
3 West Hartford, Wethersfield, Willington, Windsor,
4 and Windsor Locks, and the cities of Hartford and
5 New Britain, Connecticut.

6 “(653) DANBURY, CONNECTICUT.—
7 \$32,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
8 ture, including water supply and water storage and
9 distribution systems, in the city of Danbury, Con-
10 necticut.

11 “(654) HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—
12 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
14 of Hartford, Connecticut.

15 “(655) LOWER CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY,
16 CONNECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and waste-
17 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
18 ment and environmental restoration, in the towns of
19 Chester, Clinton, Cromwell, Deep River, Durham,
20 East Haddam, East Hampton, Essex, Haddam,
21 Killingworth, Lyme, Middlefield, Old Lyme, Old
22 Saybrook, Portland, and Westbrook, and the city of
23 Middletown, Connecticut.

24 “(656) NEW BRITAIN, CONNECTICUT.—
25 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including stormwater management, in the city of
2 New Britain, Connecticut.

3 “(657) NORTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT.—
4 \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
5 ture, including stormwater management and envi-
6 ronmental restoration, in the towns of Ashford,
7 Brooklyn, Canterbury, Chaplin, Eastford, Hampton,
8 Killingly, Plainfield, Pomfret, Putnam, Scotland,
9 Sterling, Thompson, Union, Voluntown, and Wood-
10 stock, Connecticut.

11 “(658) SOUTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT.—
12 \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including stormwater management and envi-
14 ronmental restoration, in the towns of Bozrah,
15 Colchester, East Lyme, Franklin, Griswold, Groton,
16 Lebanon, Ledyard, Lisbon, Montville, North
17 Stonington, Preston, Salem, Sprague, Stonington,
18 Waterford, and Windham, the boroughs of Jewett
19 City and Stonington, and cities of Groton, New Lon-
20 don, and Norwich, Connecticut.

21 “(659) THOMASTON, CONNECTICUT.—
22 \$8,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
23 including stormwater management, in the town of
24 Thomaston, Connecticut.

1 “(660) WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT.—
2 \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water
3 supply and distribution systems, in the city of Wa-
4 terbury, Connecticut.

5 “(661) ALTAMONTE SPRINGS, FLORIDA.—
6 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
7 including water supply, stormwater management,
8 and water reclamation, in the city of Altamonte
9 Springs, Florida.

10 “(662) BUNNELL, FLORIDA.—\$16,600,000 for
11 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
12 Bunnell, Florida.

13 “(663) COLUMBIA AND SUWANNEE COUNTIES,
14 FLORIDA.—\$39,300,000 for water and wastewater
15 infrastructure in Columbia and Suwannee Counties,
16 Florida.

17 “(664) ESCAMBIA, WALTON, OKALOOSA, AND
18 SANTA ROSA COUNTIES, FLORIDA.—\$50,000,000 for
19 water and wastewater infrastructure in Escambia,
20 Walton, Okaloosa, and Santa Rosa Counties, Flor-
21 ida.

22 “(665) GILCHRIST COUNTY, FLORIDA.—
23 \$200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
24 including stormwater management, in Gilchrist
25 County, Florida.

1 “(666) HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—
2 \$29,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture, including stormwater management and envi-
4 ronmental restoration, in Hernando County, Florida.

5 “(667) HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA.—\$46,000,000
6 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
7 of Hollywood, Florida.

8 “(668) NORTH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLOR-
9 IDA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
10 structure, including distribution systems, monitoring
11 infrastructure, stormwater management, and envi-
12 ronmental restoration, in the cities of Aventura,
13 Miami Gardens, North Miami Beach, and Sunny
14 Isles Beach, the town of Golden Beach, and Miami-
15 Dade County, Florida.

16 “(669) PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$400,000
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
18 distribution systems, in Pasco County, Florida.

19 “(670) RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA.—
20 \$30,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
21 ture, including water supply, distribution systems,
22 and stormwater management, in the city of Riviera
23 Beach, Florida.

1 “(671) SANFORD, FLORIDA.—\$3,800,000 for
2 water infrastructure, including water supply, in the
3 city of Sanford, Florida.

4 “(672) TAMPA BAY, FLORIDA.—\$100,000,000
5 for water infrastructure, including water supply, in
6 Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties and the
7 cities of New Port Richey, St. Petersburg, and
8 Tampa, Florida.

9 “(673) WINTER PARK, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000
10 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
11 stormwater management, in the city of Winter Park,
12 Florida.

13 “(674) AVONDALE ESTATES, GEORGIA.—
14 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
15 including stormwater management, in the city of
16 Avondale Estates, Georgia.

17 “(675) FAIRBURN, GEORGIA.—\$5,670,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 water supply and distribution systems, in the city of
20 Fairburn, Georgia.

21 “(676) GARDEN CITY, GEORGIA.—\$4,300,000
22 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
23 of Garden City, Georgia.

24 “(677) NEWTON COUNTY, GEORGIA.—
25 \$23,900,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1 ture, including water supply and distribution sys-
2 tems, in Newton County, Georgia.

3 “(678) ADDISON, ILLINOIS.—\$50,000,000 for
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 stormwater management, in the village of Addison,
6 Illinois.

7 “(679) EAST MOLINE, ILLINOIS.—\$15,000,000
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 water supply, in the city of East Moline, Illinois.

10 “(680) PORT BYRON, ILLINOIS.—\$1,500,000
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the vil-
12 lage of Port Byron, Illinois.

13 “(681) SHANNON, ILLINOIS.—\$1,000,000 for
14 water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-
15 tribution systems, in the village of Shannon, Illinois.

16 “(682) SOUTH PEKIN, ILLINOIS.—\$8,000,000
17 for water infrastructure, including water supply, in
18 the village of South Pekin, Illinois.

19 “(683) BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.—\$25,000,000
20 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
21 stormwater management, in the city of Baltimore,
22 Maryland.

23 “(684) HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS.—
24 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
2 of Holyoke, Massachusetts.

3 “(685) BAY REGION, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 water supply, stormwater management, and surface
6 water protection, in Bay, Midland, Saginaw, Gen-
7 esee, and Tuscola Counties, Michigan.

8 “(686) KENT COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
9 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture, including stormwater management and surface
11 water resource protection, in Kent County, Michi-
12 gan.

13 “(687) LAPEER, MACOMB, OAKLAND, AND ST.
14 CLAIR COUNTIES, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure in Lapeer,
16 Macomb, Oakland, and St. Clair Counties, Michigan.

17 “(688) MUSKEGON COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
18 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
19 ture, including stormwater management and surface
20 water resource protection, in Muskegon County,
21 Michigan.

22 “(689) OTTAWA COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
23 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
24 ture, including stormwater management and surface

1 water resource protection, in Ottawa County, Michi-
2 gan.

3 “(690) OWOSSO, MICHIGAN.—\$47,000,000 for
4 water infrastructure, including water supply and de-
5 salination, in the city of Owosso, Michigan.

6 “(691) WESTPHALIA, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000
7 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
8 water supply, in the village of Westphalia, Michigan.

9 “(692) COKATO, MINNESOTA.—\$4,500,000 for
10 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
11 water supply, in the city of Cokato, Minnesota.

12 “(693) NORWOOD YOUNG AMERICA, MIN-
13 NESOTA.—\$21,500,000 for water and wastewater in-
14 frastructure, including water supply, in the city of
15 Norwood Young America, Minnesota.

16 “(694) SARTELL, MINNESOTA.—\$24,000,000
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
18 water supply, stormwater management, and surface
19 water protection, in the city of Sartell, Minnesota.

20 “(695) BRANDON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$10,000,000
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
22 of Brandon, Mississippi.

23 “(696) CHOCTAW COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—
24 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1 ture, including stormwater management, in Choctaw
2 County, Mississippi.

3 “(697) FOREST, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for
4 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
5 Forest, Mississippi.

6 “(698) GRENADA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,500,000 for
7 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
8 stormwater management, in the city of Grenada,
9 Mississippi.

10 “(699) LUCEDALE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 stormwater management, in the city of Lucedale,
13 Mississippi.

14 “(700) SARDIS, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 stormwater management, in the city of Sardis, Mis-
17 sissippi.

18 “(701) WEST POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—
19 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
20 ture in the city of West Point, Mississippi.

21 “(702) KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—\$45,000,000
22 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
23 stormwater management and water supply, in Kan-
24 sas City, Missouri.

1 “(703) NEW LONDON, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—
2 \$15,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
3 water supply, in the town of New London, New
4 Hampshire.

5 “(704) SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—
6 \$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
7 supply, in Sullivan County, New Hampshire.

8 “(705) BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
9 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture, including stormwater management, in Bergen
11 County, New Jersey.

12 “(706) CAMDEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
13 \$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
14 ture, including environmental restoration, in Cam-
15 den County, New Jersey.

16 “(707) DEMAREST, NEW JERSEY.—\$2,310,000
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
18 stormwater management, in the borough of
19 Demarest, New Jersey.

20 “(708) JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY.—
21 \$1,300,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
22 including stormwater management and water supply,
23 in Jersey City, New Jersey.

1 “(709) PALMYRA, NEW JERSEY.—\$5,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the bor-
3 ough of Palmyra, New Jersey.

4 “(710) DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—
5 \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
6 water supply and desalination, in Doña Ana County,
7 New Mexico.

8 “(711) LOS ALAMOS COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—
9 \$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
10 supply, in Los Alamos County, New Mexico.

11 “(712) VALENCIA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—
12 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including stormwater management, in Valencia
14 County, New Mexico.

15 “(713) BRONX COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
16 \$90,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
17 ture, including stormwater management, in Bronx
18 County, New York.

19 “(714) BROOKHAVEN, NEW YORK.—
20 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
21 ture, including stormwater management, in the town
22 of Brookhaven, New York.

23 “(715) FREEPORT, NEW YORK.—\$3,300,000
24 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 stormwater management, in the village of Freeport,
2 New York.

3 “(716) GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK.—
4 \$11,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
5 water supply, in the village of Garden City, New
6 York.

7 “(717) LAWRENCE, NEW YORK.—\$130,000 for
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 stormwater management, in the village of Lawrence,
10 New York.

11 “(718) LONG BEACH, NEW YORK.—
12 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including water supply, in the city of Long
14 Beach, New York.

15 “(719) LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK.—
16 \$65,570,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
17 ture in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, New York.

18 “(720) NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
19 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
20 ture, including stormwater management, water rec-
21 lamation, and environmental restoration, in Nassau
22 County, New York.

23 “(721) NORTH SALEM AND LEWISBORO, NEW
24 YORK.—\$600,000 for water and wastewater infra-

1 structure, including stormwater management, in the
2 towns of North Salem and Lewisboro, New York.

3 “(722) OSSINING, NEW YORK.—\$40,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the vil-
5 lage of Ossining, New York.

6 “(723) PORT JEFFERSON, NEW YORK.—
7 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
8 ture, including stormwater management, in the vil-
9 lage of Port Jefferson, New York.

10 “(724) RICHMOND COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
11 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
12 ture, including stormwater management, in Rich-
13 mond County, New York.

14 “(725) WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
15 \$49,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
16 ture, including water supply and storage, in West-
17 chester County, New York.

18 “(726) ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—
19 \$50,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
20 water supply, in the city of Asheville, North Caro-
21 lina.

22 “(727) DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA.—
23 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
24 ture, including water supply, in the city of Durham,
25 North Carolina.

1 “(728) GRANVILLE COUNTY, NORTH CARO-
2 LINA.—\$6,350,000 for water and wastewater infra-
3 structure, including water supply, in Granville Coun-
4 ty, North Carolina.

5 “(729) HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—
6 \$35,670,500 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
7 ture in Harnett County, North Carolina.

8 “(730) HILLSBOROUGH, NORTH CAROLINA.—
9 \$6,820,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
10 including water supply and distribution systems, in
11 the town of Hillsborough, North Carolina.

12 “(731) JOHNSTON COUNTY, NORTH CARO-
13 LINA.—\$7,979,000 for water and wastewater infra-
14 structure, including water supply, in Johnston Coun-
15 ty, North Carolina.

16 “(732) PITT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—
17 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
18 ture in Pitt County, North Carolina.

19 “(733) WHITE LAKE, NORTH CAROLINA.—
20 \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
21 ture, including stormwater management, in the town
22 of White Lake, North Carolina.

23 “(734) CLERMONT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$3,000,000
24 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Clermont
25 County, Ohio.

1 “(735) JACKSON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$8,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Jackson
3 County, Ohio.

4 “(736) LYNCHBURG, OHIO.—\$6,380,000 for
5 water and wastewater infrastructure, in the village
6 of Lynchburg, Ohio.

7 “(737) NEW HOLLAND, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 water supply, in the village of New Holland, Ohio.

10 “(738) WAVERLY, OHIO.—\$12,995,750 for
11 water and wastewater infrastructure in the village of
12 Waverly, Ohio.

13 “(739) CHICKASAW NATION, OKLAHOMA.—
14 \$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
15 ture in the territory under the jurisdiction of the
16 Chickasaw Nation, Oklahoma.

17 “(740) KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON.—
18 \$37,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
19 ture, including water supply and water recycling and
20 reuse systems, in Klamath County, Oregon.

21 “(741) MILTON-FREEWATER, OREGON.—
22 \$13,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
23 water supply, in the city of Milton-Freewater, Or-
24 egon.

1 “(742) SALEM, OREGON.—\$75,000,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply, distribution systems, and environ-
4 mental restoration, in the city of Salem, Oregon.

5 “(743) BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA.—
6 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
7 including stormwater management, in the city of
8 Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.

9 “(744) BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
10 \$2,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
11 supply and distribution systems, in Blair County,
12 Pennsylvania.

13 “(745) CAMBRIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
14 \$3,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
15 including water supply, in Cambria County, Pennsyl-
16 vania.

17 “(746) CARBON AND SCHUYLKILL COUNTIES,
18 PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,500,000 for water infrastruc-
19 ture, including water supply and water storage, in
20 Carbon and Schuylkill Counties, Pennsylvania.

21 “(747) CHAMBERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
22 \$12,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
23 ture in the borough of Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

24 “(748) JIM THORPE, PENNSYLVANIA.—
25 \$5,175,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including water supply and distribution systems, in
2 the borough of Jim Thorpe, Pennsylvania.

3 “(749) LAUREL MOUNTAIN, PENNSYLVANIA.—
4 \$4,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
5 supply and distribution systems, in the borough of
6 Laurel Mountain, Pennsylvania.

7 “(750) MCCALMONT TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-
8 VANIA.—\$60,000 for water and wastewater infra-
9 structure in the McCalmont Township, Pennsyl-
10 vania.

11 “(751) MERCERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
12 \$3,375,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
13 in the borough of Mercersburg, Pennsylvania.

14 “(752) MEYERSDALE, PENNSYLVANIA.—
15 \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
16 including stormwater management, in the borough
17 of Meyersdale, Pennsylvania.

18 “(753) MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYL-
19 VANIA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
20 structure, including stormwater management and
21 environmental restoration, in Montgomery County,
22 Pennsylvania.

23 “(754) PAINT BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—
24 \$600,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including stormwater management, in Paint Bor-
2 ough, Pennsylvania.

3 “(755) PUNXSUTAWNEY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
4 \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
5 including stormwater management, in the borough
6 of Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania.

7 “(756) SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
8 \$2,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
9 in the borough of Shippensburg, Pennsylvania.

10 “(757) SPRINGHILL TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-
11 VANIA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
12 structure, including water supply, in Springhill
13 Township, Pennsylvania.

14 “(758) WINDBER, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,600,000
15 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 water supply, in the borough of Windber, Pennsyl-
17 vania.

18 “(759) COROZAL, PUERTO RICO.—\$10,000,000
19 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
20 water supply, in the municipality of Corozal.

21 “(760) BURRILLVILLE, RHODE ISLAND.—
22 \$10,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
23 water supply and distribution systems, in the town
24 of Burrillville, Rhode Island.

1 “(761) COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND.—
2 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture, in the town of Coventry, Rhode Island.

4 “(762) NARRAGANSETT, RHODE ISLAND.—
5 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
6 ture in the town of Narragansett, Rhode Island.

7 “(763) NORTH KINGSTOWN, RHODE ISLAND.—
8 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including distribution systems, in the town of
10 North Kingstown, Rhode Island.

11 “(764) PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND.—
12 \$16,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
14 of Pawtucket, Rhode Island.

15 “(765) WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND.—
16 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
17 ture, including distribution systems, in the city of
18 Warwick, Rhode Island.

19 “(766) BERKELEY COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-
20 LINA.—\$14,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
21 structure, including water supply and distribution
22 systems, in Berkeley County, South Carolina.

23 “(767) GEORGETOWN, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
24 \$4,275,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including stormwater management, in the city of
2 Georgetown, South Carolina.

3 “(768) HILTON HEAD ISLAND, SOUTH CARO-
4 LINA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
5 structure, including stormwater management, in the
6 town of Hilton Head Island, South Carolina.

7 “(769) ISLE OF PALMS, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
8 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
10 of Isle of Palms, South Carolina.

11 “(770) BRAZORIA, FORT BEND, AND GAL-
12 VESTON COUNTIES, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water
13 infrastructure, including water supply, in Brazoria,
14 Fort Bend, and Galveston Counties, Texas.

15 “(771) BURLESON, TEXAS.—\$12,000,000 for
16 water infrastructure, including water supply and dis-
17 tribution systems, in the city of Burleson, Texas.

18 “(772) GRANBURY, TEXAS.—\$8,000,000 for
19 water infrastructure, including water supply, in the
20 city of Granbury, Texas.

21 “(773) GRANDVIEW, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for
22 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
23 stormwater management, in the city of Grandview,
24 Texas.

1 “(774) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Harris
3 County, Texas.

4 “(775) JIM HOGG COUNTY, TEXAS.—
5 \$7,500,000 for water infrastructure, including dis-
6 tribution systems, in Jim Hogg County, Texas.

7 “(776) KEMAH, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water
8 and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater
9 management, in the city of Kemah, Texas.

10 “(777) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$19,000,000 for
11 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 stormwater management, in the city of Kingsville,
13 Texas.

14 “(778) LAREDO, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 water supply, storage, distribution systems, environ-
17 mental restoration, and stormwater management, in
18 the city of Laredo, Texas.

19 “(779) MERCEDES, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
21 water supply and reuse systems, in the city of Mer-
22 cedes, Texas.

23 “(780) MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS.—
24 \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
25 water supply, in Midland County, Texas.

1 “(781) ODESSA, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply and storage, in the city of Odessa,
4 Texas.

5 “(782) PORT OF BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—
6 \$18,700,000 for water infrastructure, including
7 water supply and water reuse systems, in the Port
8 of Brownsville, Texas.

9 “(783) STARR COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$7,500,000
10 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
11 water supply and distribution systems, in Starr
12 County, Texas.

13 “(784) CENTERVILLE, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for
14 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
15 water supply and distribution systems, in the city of
16 Centerville, Utah.

17 “(785) EPHRAIM, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for
18 water infrastructure, including water supply, in the
19 city of Ephraim, Utah.

20 “(786) FAIRFAX AND PRINCE WILLIAM COUN-
21 TIES, VIRGINIA.—\$20,000,000 for water and waste-
22 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
23 ment and water reclamation infrastructure, in Fair-
24 fax and Prince William Counties, Virginia.

1 “(787) HENRICO COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—
2 \$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including
3 water supply and distribution systems, in Henrico
4 County, Virginia.

5 “(788) ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—
6 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
7 ture, including water supply and distribution sys-
8 tems, in Orange County, Virginia.

9 “(789) ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON.—
10 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
11 ture, including water supply, in the city of Arlington,
12 Washington.

13 “(790) MOSES LAKE, WASHINGTON.—
14 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
15 ture, including water supply and distribution sys-
16 tems, in the city of Moses Lake, Washington.”.

17 (c) MODIFICATIONS.—

18 (1) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—Section
19 219(f)(407) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
21 Stat. 3116) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”
22 and inserting “\$13,888,889”.

23 (2) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—Section 219(f)(412) of
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
25 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is

1 amended by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$57,013,889”.

3 (3) WINSLOW, ARIZONA.—Section 219(f)(413)
4 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
5 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is
6 amended by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting
7 “\$9,000,000”.

8 (4) ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
9 219(f)(278) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
11 Stat. 3808) is amended—

12 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-
13 ing “\$116,000,000”; and

14 (B) by inserting “including water supply,”
15 after “infrastructure,”.

16 (5) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES,
17 CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(80) of the Water Re-
18 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;
19 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1258; 138 Stat. 3127) is
20 amended—

21 (A) by striking “\$45,000,000” and insert-
22 ing “\$51,000,000”;

23 (B) by inserting “water and wastewater in-
24 frastructure, including stormwater manage-
25 ment, and” after “for”; and

1 (C) by striking “within the East Bay Mu-
2 nicipal Utility District service area,” and insert-
3 ing “in”.

4 (6) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
5 219(f)(86) of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
7 1259; 136 Stat. 3816; 138 Stat. 3127) is amended
8 by striking “\$16,300,000” and inserting
9 “\$27,000,000”.

10 (7) CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA.—Section
11 219(f)(48)(A) of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114
13 Stat. 2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1208) is amended—

14 (A) by striking “\$10,300,000” and insert-
15 ing “\$17,800,000”; and

16 (B) by inserting “water and wastewater in-
17 frastructure,” before “desalination”.

18 (8) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(424)
19 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
20 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;) is amended by
21 striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting
22 “\$40,000,000”.

23 (9) EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—Section
24 219(f)(89) of the Water Resources Development Act

1 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
2 1259) is amended—

3 (A) by striking “\$4,000,000” and inserting
4 “\$37,000,000”; and

5 (B) by striking “a new pump station and
6 stormwater management and drainage system”
7 and inserting “water and wastewater infrastruc-
8 ture, including water storage, water supply, and
9 stormwater management”.

10 (10) EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALI-
11 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(425) of the Water Re-
12 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;
13 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3117) is amended by strik-
14 ing “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$72,000,000”.

15 (11) FONTANA, CALIFORNIA.—Section
16 219(f)(286) of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
18 Stat. 3809) is amended—

19 (A) by striking “16,000,000” and inserting
20 “\$56,000,000”; and

21 (B) by striking “stormwater management
22 infrastructure” and inserting “wastewater in-
23 frastructure, including stormwater manage-
24 ment, and water supply and distribution sys-
25 tems”.

1 (12) INLAND EMPIRE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
2 219(f)(288) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136
4 Stat. 3809) is amended by striking “\$60,000,000”
5 and inserting “\$65,000,000”.

6 (13) LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
7 219(f)(432) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
9 Stat. 3117) is amended by striking “\$20,000,000”
10 and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

11 (14) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
12 Section 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
13 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3784, 138 Stat. 3128)
14 is amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and insert-
15 ing “\$200,000,000”.

16 (15) LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—Section
17 219(f)(440) of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
19 Stat. 3117) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000”
20 and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

21 (16) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
22 219(f)(98) of the Water Resources Development Act
23 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
24 1259) is amended—

1 (A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and insert-
2 ing “\$18,000,000”;

3 (B) by inserting “and environmental res-
4 toration” after “water related infrastructure”;
5 and

6 (C) by inserting “including water supply,”
7 before “Anaheim”.

8 (17) PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—Section
9 219(f)(100) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
11 Stat. 1260) is amended—

12 (A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting
13 “\$28,000,000”; and

14 (B) by striking “recycled water trans-
15 mission infrastructure” and inserting “water
16 and wastewater infrastructure, including
17 stormwater management and recycled water”.

18 (18) SALINAS, CALIFORNIA.—Section
19 219(f)(450) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
21 Stat. 3118) is amended—

22 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-
23 ing “\$25,000,000”; and

24 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-
25 ment” after “water supply”.

1 (19) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
2 Section 219(f)(101), Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
4 Stat. 1260; 138 Stat. 3128) is amended—

5 (A) by striking “\$24,000,000” and insert-
6 ing “\$84,000,000”; and

7 (B) by inserting “including water supply
8 and stormwater management,” after “infra-
9 structure,”.

10 (20) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Sec-
11 tion 219(f)(453) of the Water Resources Develop-
12 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
13 138 Stat. 3118) is amended by striking
14 “\$200,000,000” and inserting “\$211,500,000”.

15 (21) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—Section
16 219(f)(103) of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
18 Stat. 1260) is amended—

19 (A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting
20 “\$15,000,000”; and

21 (B) by striking “improving” and inserting
22 “water and wastewater infrastructure, including
23 stormwater management and improvements to”.

24 (22) SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
25 219(f)(454) of the Water Resources Development

1 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
2 Stat. 3118) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”
3 and inserting “\$6,000,000”.

4 (23) TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
5 219(f)(307) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
7 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000”
8 and inserting “\$133,000,000”.

9 (24) WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—
10 Section 219(f)(316) of the Water Resources Devel-
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
12 136 Stat. 3810) is amended—

13 (A) by striking “\$1,000,000” and inserting
14 “\$201,000,000”; and

15 (B) by inserting “distribution systems”
16 after “stormwater management”.

17 (25) DELTONA, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(470)
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
19 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is
20 amended by striking “\$31,200,000” and inserting
21 “\$50,000,000”.

22 (26) MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section
23 219(f)(472) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138

1 Stat. 3119) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”
2 and inserting “\$20,500,000”.

3 (27) ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section
4 219(f)(321) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
6 Stat. 3810) is amended—

7 (A) by striking “\$50,000,000” and insert-
8 ing “\$125,000,000”; and

9 (B) by inserting “, stormwater manage-
10 ment,” after “reclamation”.

11 (28) OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(473)
12 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
13 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is
14 amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
15 “\$15,000,000”.

16 (29) SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section
17 219(f)(126) of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
19 Stat. 1261) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”
20 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

21 (30) GEORGIA.—Section 219(f)(322) of the
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
23 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811) is
24 amended by striking “\$75,000,000” and inserting
25 “\$125,000,000”.

1 (31) ATLANTA, GEORGIA.—Section 219 of the
2 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
3 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334; 136
4 Stat. 3817; 138 Stat. 3129) is amended—

5 (A) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “A
6 combined” and inserting “Water and waste-
7 water infrastructure, including stormwater
8 management and a combined”; and

9 (B) in subsection (e)(5), by striking
10 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$142,000,000”.

11 (32) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
12 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Development
13 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
14 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817; 138 Stat. 3129) is
15 amended—

16 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-
17 ing “\$24,000,000”; and

18 (B) by inserting “, water supply,” after
19 “stormwater management”.

20 (33) GUAM.—Section 219(f)(323) of the Water
21 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
22 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811; 138 Stat.
23 3129) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and in-
24 serting “\$45,000,000”.

1 (34) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, ILLI-
2 NOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Resources
3 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
4 Stat. 335; 114 Stat. 2763A–221; 138 Stat. 3129) is
5 amended by striking “\$149,000,000” and inserting
6 “249,000,000”.

7 (35) ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS.—Section
8 219(f)(488) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
10 Stat. 3120) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000”
11 and inserting “\$7,000,000”.

12 (36) MARYLAND.—Section 219(f)(341), of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
14 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3812) is
15 amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting
16 “\$225,000,000”.

17 (37) HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
18 219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
20 Stat. 3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking
21 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

22 (38) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
23 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136

1 Stat. 3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking
2 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

3 (39) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of the
4 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
5 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1262; 136
6 Stat. 3818; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended—

7 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking
8 “\$160,000,000” and inserting “\$225,000,000”;
9 and

10 (B) in subparagraph (B), by inserting
11 “(including stormwater management)” after
12 “wastewater treatment and related facilities”.

13 (40) SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—Section
14 219(f)(500) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
16 Stat. 3121) is amended by striking “\$58,000,000”
17 and inserting “\$93,000,000”.

18 (41) NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.—Section
19 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of
20 1999 (113 Stat. 368; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—

21 (A) in subsection (a), by inserting “Lake
22 of the Woods,” after “Lake,”; and

23 (B) in subsection (h), by striking
24 “\$80,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

1 (42) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(163)
2 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
3 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1263;
4 138 Stat. 3130) is amended—

5 (A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and insert-
6 ing “\$48,000,000”; and

7 (B) by inserting “including stormwater
8 management and water supply,” after “infra-
9 structure,”.

10 (43) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
11 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat.
13 2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119 Stat. 2257; 122
14 Stat. 1623; 134 Stat. 2718; 138 Stat. 3130) is
15 amended by striking “\$170,000,000” and inserting
16 “\$175,000,000”.

17 (44) DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
18 219(f)(505) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
20 Stat. 3121) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000”
21 and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

22 (45) HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
23 219(f)(166) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
25 Stat. 1263) is amended—

1 (A) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$8,000,000”; and

3 (B) by inserting “including stormwater
4 management, drainage systems, and surface
5 water protection,” after “infrastructure,”.

6 (46) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
7 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
9 Stat. 1263; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended—

10 (A) by striking “\$139,000,000” and in-
11 serting “\$154,000,000”; and

12 (B) by inserting “water supply, stormwater
13 management, and” after “including”.

14 (47) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
15 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Development
16 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
17 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking “\$26,000,000”
18 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

19 (48) OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
20 219(f)(510) of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
22 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”
23 and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

24 (49) OXFORD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
25 219(f)(353), Water Resources Development Act of

1 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
2 3813) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-
3 serting “\$25,000,000”.

4 (50) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(511)
5 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
6 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is
7 amended—

8 (A) by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting
9 “\$14,000,000”; and

10 (B) by inserting “water supply, water stor-
11 age,” after “systems,”.

12 (51) PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
13 219(f)(512) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
15 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000”
16 and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

17 (52) STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
18 219(f)(513) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
20 Stat. 3122) is amended by striking “\$6,000,000”
21 and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

22 (53) LAKE TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, NE-
23 VADA AND CALIFORNIA.—Section 108(c) of division
24 C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005
25 (118 Stat. 2942; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—

1 (A) in paragraph (3), by striking “and”;

2 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking the pe-
3 riod and inserting “; and”; and

4 (C) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(5) aquatic invasive species identification, con-
6 tainment, mitigation, and eradication.”.

7 (54) ESSEX AND SUSSEX COUNTIES, NEW JER-
8 SEY.—Section 219(f)(358) of the Water Resources
9 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
10 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
11 “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

12 (55) MORRIS COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Section
13 219(f)(363) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
15 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking “\$30,000,000”
16 and inserting “\$55,000,000”.

17 (56) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—Section 219(f)(518) of
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
19 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is
20 amended—

21 (A) by striking “\$25,000,000” and insert-
22 ing “\$106,000,000”; and

23 (B) by striking “including water and
24 wastewater infrastructure” and inserting “in-
25 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure

1 (including water supply and stormwater man-
2 agement), environmental restoration, drought
3 resiliency, and surface water protection”.

4 (57) FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO.—Section
5 219(f)(372) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
7 Stat. 3814) is amended—

8 (A) by striking “\$15,500,000” and insert-
9 ing “\$17,500,000”; and

10 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-
11 ment” after “supply”.

12 (58) DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section
13 219(f)(530) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
15 Stat. 1258; 136 Stat. 3808; 138 Stat. 3123) is
16 amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
17 “\$15,000,000”.

18 (59) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—Section
19 219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
21 Stat. 1264; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended by striking
22 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “30,000,000”.

23 (60) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—Section
24 219(f)(535) of the Water Resources Development
25 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138

1 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”
2 and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

3 (61) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section
4 219(f)(537) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
6 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”
7 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

8 (62) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—Section
9 219(f)(377) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
11 Stat. 3814; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended by striking
12 “\$190,000,000” and inserting “\$261,000,000”.

13 (63) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section
14 219(f)(539) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
16 Stat. 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000”
17 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

18 (64) MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Sec-
19 tion 219(f)(195) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
21 121 Stat. 1264) is amended by striking
22 “\$4,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

23 (65) KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—Section
24 219(f)(558) of the Water Resources Development
25 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138

1 Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000”
2 and inserting “\$16,500,000”.

3 (66) STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—Section
4 219(f)(563) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
6 Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$24,000,000”
7 and inserting “\$49,000,000”.

8 (67) SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—Section
9 219(f)(562) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
11 Stat. 3125) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”
12 and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

13 (68) TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—Section
14 219(f)(565) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
16 Stat. 3125) is amended—

17 (A) by striking “\$10,500,000” and insert-
18 ing “\$45,000,000”; and

19 (B) by inserting “, including stormwater
20 management, water supply, and environmental
21 restoration,” after “wastewater infrastructure”.

22 (69) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
23 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121

1 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719; 138 Stat. 3132) is
2 amended—

3 (A) by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-
4 ing “\$20,000,000”; and

5 (B) by striking “improvements to water re-
6 lated infrastructure” and inserting “water and
7 wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-
8 ply”.

9 (70) PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA-
10 STRUCTURE.—Section 1349(e)(1) of the Water Re-
11 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3159)
12 is amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
13 “75,000,000”.

14 (71) CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-
15 tion 219(f)(574) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
17 138 Stat. 3125) is amended by striking
18 “\$7,000,000” and inserting “\$20,500,000”.

19 (72) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section
20 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121
22 Stat. 1266; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended by striking
23 “\$3,000,000” and inserting “\$53,000,000”.

24 (73) SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.—Section
25 313(g)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act

1 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat.
 2 3723; 113 Stat. 310; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.
 3 2719; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended by striking
 4 “\$410,000,000” and inserting “\$415,000,000”.

5 (74) SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA AND
 6 DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—Section 566 of the Water
 7 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
 8 3786; 113 Stat. 352; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—

9 (A) by striking the section heading and in-
 10 sserting “**SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA**
 11 **AND DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.**”;

12 (B) in subsection (a), by striking “Lower”;

13 (C) in subsection (b), by striking “Lower”;

14 (D) by amending subsection (g) to read as
 15 follows:

16 “(g) AREAS DEFINED.—In this section:

17 “(1) DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—The term
 18 ‘Delaware River Basin’ means the Schuylkill Valley,
 19 Upper Estuary, Lower Estuary, and Delaware Bay
 20 Watersheds and the East Branch Delaware,
 21 Lackawaxen, Lehigh, Middle Delaware–Mongaup–
 22 Brodhead, Middle Delaware–Musconetcong, and
 23 Upper Delaware subwatersheds of the Delaware
 24 River Basin in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

1 and the States of New Jersey, New York, and Dela-
2 ware.

3 “(2) SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA.—The
4 term ‘southeastern Pennsylvania’ means Philadel-
5 phia, Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery
6 Counties, Pennsylvania.”; and

7 (E) in subsection (h)—

8 (i) by striking “Lower”; and

9 (ii) by striking “\$20,000,000” and in-
10 serting “\$92,690,000”.

11 (75) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—Sec-
12 tion 219(f)(246) of the Water Resources Develop-
13 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
14 121 Stat. 1267) is amended—

15 (A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and insert-
16 ing “\$75,000,000”; and

17 (B) by inserting “, including stormwater
18 management, surface water protection, and en-
19 vironmental restoration,” after “infrastruc-
20 ture”.

21 (76) BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section
22 219(f)(390) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
24 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking “\$7,462,000”
25 and inserting “\$13,462,000”.

1 (77) FLORENCE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
2 Section 219(f)(580) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
4 138 Stat. 3126) is amended—

5 (A) by striking “\$40,000,000” and insert-
6 ing “\$75,000,000”; and

7 (B) by inserting “, including water sup-
8 ply,” after “infrastructure”.

9 (78) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH
10 CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Re-
11 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;
12 113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 117 Stat.
13 1838; 130 Stat. 1677; 132 Stat. 3818; 134 Stat.
14 2719; 136 Stat. 3818; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended
15 by striking “\$235,000,000” and inserting
16 “\$295,000,000”.

17 (79) TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Section
18 219(f)(582) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
20 Stat. 3126) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000”
21 and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

22 (80) TIPTON, HAYWOOD, AND FAYETTE COUN-
23 TIES, TENNESSEE.—Section 219(f)(583) of the
24 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
25 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is

1 amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$100,000,000”.

3 (81) PORTLAND, TENNESSEE.—Section
4 219(f)(394) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
6 Stat. 3815) is amended—

7 (A) by striking “\$1,850,000” and inserting
8 “\$19,850,000”; and

9 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-
10 ment” after “water supply”.

11 (82) AMARILLO, TEXAS.—Section 219(f)(585)
12 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
13 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is
14 amended by striking “\$38,000,000” and inserting
15 “\$56,000,000”.

16 (83) BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—Section
17 219(f)(586) of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
19 Stat. 3126) is amended—

20 (A) by striking “\$40,000,000” and insert-
21 ing “\$42,300,000”; and

22 (B) by inserting “including regional water
23 and water reuse infrastructure,” after “infra-
24 structure,”.

1 (84) ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—Section
2 219(f)(591) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138
4 Stat. 3126) is amended—

5 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and insert-
6 ing “\$30,000,000”; and

7 (B) by inserting “and distribution sys-
8 tems” after “water supply”.

9 (85) PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.—Section
10 219(f)(402) of the Water Resources Development
11 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
12 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking “\$7,500,000”
13 and inserting “\$12,000,000”.

14 (86) WESTERN RURAL WATER.—Section 595 of
15 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113
16 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117 Stat. 142; 117 Stat.
17 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121 Stat. 1219; 123 Stat.
18 2851; 128 Stat. 1316; 130 Stat. 1681; 134 Stat.
19 2719; 136 Stat. 3822; 138 Stat. 3133) is amend-
20 ed—

21 (A) in subsection (b), by inserting “Colo-
22 rado,” before “rural Idaho”;

23 (B) in subsection (c), by inserting “Colo-
24 rado,” before “Idaho”; and

25 (C) in subsection (i)—

1 (i) in paragraph (1)—

2 (I) by striking “\$850,000,000”

3 and inserting “\$890,000,000”; and

4 (II) by striking “and” at the end;

5 and

6 (ii) in paragraph (2)—

7 (I) by striking “\$250,000,000”

8 and inserting “\$351,598,000”;

9 (II) by striking the period at the
10 end and inserting “; and”; and

11 (III) by adding at the end the
12 following:

13 “(3) \$150,000,000 for Colorado.”.

14 **SEC. 308. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the assessment re-
16 quired under section 1162(c) of the Water Resources De-
17 velopment Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 2319 note), the Sec-
18 retary shall include an assessment of New Hogan Dam
19 and Lake, Calaveras River, California, authorized pursu-
20 ant to the section 10 of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665,
21 58 Stat. 902), and Shasta Dam and Lake, Sacramento
22 River, California, authorized pursuant to section 2 of the
23 Act of August 26, 1937 (chapter 832, 50 Stat. 850; 54
24 Stat. 1199).

1 (b) ADDITIONAL UTILIZATION.—Section 1222(c) of
2 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.
3 3811; 134 Stat. 2661; 136 Stat. 3777) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and the Apa-
5 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-
6 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River
7 Basin, and the Pend Oreille River Basin”; and

8 (2) in paragraph (2), by striking “or the Apa-
9 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-
10 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River
11 Basin, or the Pend Oreille River Basin” each place
12 it appears.

13 **SEC. 309. FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.**

14 (a) ELIGIBILITY.—Section 206 of the Flood Control
15 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “and to
17 provide advice” and inserting “to support State ef-
18 forts to establish and implement integrated flood-
19 plain management programs that both reduce flood
20 risk and protect and restore floodplain functions;
21 and to provide advice”; and

22 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “The Sec-
23 retary of the Army is authorized to expend not to
24 exceed” and inserting “AUTHORIZATION OF APPRO-

1 PRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appro-
2 priated”.

3 (b) PRIORITIZING FLOOD RISK RESILIENCY TECH-
4 NICAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 111(b) of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 709a note)
6 is amended by striking “or communities” and inserting
7 “, rural communities, or communities”.

8 **SEC. 310. PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES.**

9 Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16(a)(2)(B)) is
11 amended to read as follows:

12 “(B) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Technical
13 assistance under this paragraph may include—

14 “(i) provision and integration of hy-
15 drologic, economic, and environmental data
16 and analyses;

17 “(ii) assessment of the structural in-
18 tegrity of a water resources development
19 project;

20 “(iii) development of integrated flood-
21 plain management programs that both re-
22 duce flood risk and protect and restore
23 floodplain functions; and

24 “(iv) title research for abandoned
25 structures.”.

1 **SEC. 311. MENDENHALL GLACIER OUTBURST FLOODING,**
2 **ALASKA.**

3 The Secretary shall—

4 (1) expedite the completion of the Mendenhall
5 Glacier outburst flooding technical report, author-
6 ized pursuant to section 8315 of the Water Resource
7 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783; 138
8 Stat. 3147); and

9 (2) develop a recommendation that provides
10 permanent and resilient flood control mitigation for
11 glacial lake outburst floods that emanate from Sui-
12 cide Basin and Lake Mendenhall and that affect Ju-
13 neau, Alaska.

14 **SEC. 312. HANSEN DAM, LOS ANGELES–SAN GABRIEL RIVER**
15 **Basin, California.**

16 In evaluating and implementing the water control
17 manual for the Hansen Dam project, Los Angeles–San
18 Gabriel River Basin and Ballona Creek, California, au-
19 thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter
20 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 55 Stat. 647), the Secretary shall—

21 (1) coordinate with the City of Los Angeles to
22 identify new or expanded recreational opportunities
23 on lands that are leased or could be leased by the
24 City for recreation;

1 (2) undertake necessary background studies to
2 support the authorized purposes of the project, in-
3 cluding recreation; and

4 (3) ensure that the water quality parameters
5 for the project are sufficient to meet authorized
6 project purposes.

7 **SEC. 313. MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

8 In carrying out operations and maintenance activities
9 for the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-
10 fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 2,
11 1945 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 21), the Secretary shall carry
12 out any necessary repair or maintenance activities on a
13 breakwater, revetment, or seawall constructed as part of
14 the project in such a manner as to ensure each such activ-
15 ity is sufficient to meet the authorized purpose of the
16 project.

17 **SEC. 314. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

18 In carrying out the study for the project for shoreline
19 protection, Oceanside, California, authorized pursuant to
20 section 414 of the Water Resources Development Act of
21 2000 (114 Stat. 2636; 121 Stat. 1176; 134 Stat. 2672;
22 138 Stat. 3077), the Secretary shall coordinate with the
23 city of Oceanside, California, on the development of a rec-
24 ommendation that—

25 (1) is feasible;

1 (2) maximizes the use of natural features and
2 nature-based features (as those terms are defined in
3 section 1184(a) of the Water Resources Develop-
4 ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)));

5 (3) utilizes updated sediment sampling and
6 analysis; and

7 (4) is acceptable to the city of Oceanside.

8 **SEC. 315. PAJARO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

9 At the request of the non-Federal interest, the Sec-
10 retary is authorized to acquire any interest in real prop-
11 erty required for the project for flood protection on the
12 Pajaro River, California, authorized by section 203 of the
13 Flood Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1421), in fee simple
14 title.

15 **SEC. 316. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**
16 **PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND**
17 **TEXAS.**

18 Section 5056 of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1213) is amended—

20 (1) in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking all that
21 follows “construction” and inserting “and design of
22 water resource protection and restoration projects;
23 and”;

24 (2) by striking subsection (b)(2) and inserting:

1 “(2) USE OF EXISTING INFORMATION.—In car-
2 rying out the program authorized by paragraph
3 (1)(A), the Secretary shall consider data and anal-
4 yses developed under paragraph (1)(B) and any rel-
5 evant Federal, State, and local water resource plan-
6 ning efforts in the Rio Grande Basin.

7 “(3) PROJECTS.—In carrying out the program
8 authorized by paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall
9 include projects for—

10 “(A) drought, wildfire, flooding, and other
11 natural disaster resiliency mitigation, including
12 through the use of measures utilizing a natural
13 feature or nature-based feature (as those terms
14 are defined in section 1184(a) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
16 2289a));

17 “(B) the protection and restoration of fish
18 and wildlife habitat;

19 “(C) addressing the impacts of excess sedi-
20 mentation and salinity on surface and ground-
21 water availability;

22 “(D) improvements or rehabilitation of
23 water-resources related infrastructure;

24 “(E) the prevention and control of the
25 spread of aquatic invasive species; and

1 “(F) other water resource protection and
2 restoration activities determined appropriate by
3 the Secretary.”;

4 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “\$15,000,000
5 for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2029” and in-
6 serting “\$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026
7 through 2030”; and

8 (4) by inserting at the end the following:

9 “(g) REPORTS.—Not later than December 31, 2027,
10 and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit
11 to Congress a report that—

12 “(1) contains an evaluation of the program car-
13 ried out under subsection (b)(1)(A), including a de-
14 scription of all projects carried out under such pro-
15 gram;

16 “(2) contains a description of future projects
17 planned to be carried out under such program;

18 “(3) provides updates of a systemic habitat
19 needs assessment and an assessment of needs for
20 other related purposes in the Rio Grande Basin, in-
21 cluding flood damage reduction, wildfire risk mitiga-
22 tion, and drought mitigation; and

23 “(4) identifies any needed adjustments in the
24 authorization of such program.”.

1 **SEC. 317. MIAMI HARBOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the Act of Oc-
3 tober 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h–1), the Secretary shall
4 repay the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of
5 any advanced funds contributed by the non-Federal inter-
6 est for the project for navigation, Miami Harbor, Miami-
7 Dade County, Florida, authorized by section 1001(17) of
8 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.
9 1052).

10 (b) APPLICATION OF CORPS POLICY.—In providing
11 the repayment required under subsection (a), the Sec-
12 retary may not require a new start determination for a
13 project before providing such repayment.

14 **SEC. 318. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET, LOUISIANA.**

15 In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,
16 Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana, authorized by
17 section 7013(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1281), notwithstanding any re-
19 quirement of the plan described in such section that the
20 non-Federal interest provide land for the project in fee in
21 order to satisfy the property rights requirements of the
22 project, the Secretary shall, where feasible, allow the non-
23 Federal interest to provide easements on the land for the
24 project in order to satisfy such property rights require-
25 ments.

1 **SEC. 319. PILOTTOWN ANCHORAGE AREA, LOUISIANA.**

2 Pursuant to section 106 of the Water Resources De-
3 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 635), the Secretary is
4 authorized to operate and maintain, at Federal expense,
5 the Pilottown anchorage area, Mississippi River above
6 Head of Passes, Louisiana, extending from River Mile 6.7
7 above Head of Passes to Mile 1.5 above Head of Passes
8 established by the United States Coast Guard.

9 **SEC. 320. JACK HUBBARD MEMORIAL BREAKWATER, BURT**
10 **TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN.**

11 (a) DESIGNATION.—The breakwater at the Grand
12 Marais Harbor, Michigan, authorized pursuant to section
13 1 of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat. 190;
14 64 Stat. 166), shall hereafter be known and designated
15 as the “Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater”.

16 (b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, reg-
17 ulation, document, paper, or other record of the United
18 States to the breakwater referred to in subsection (a) shall
19 be deemed to be a reference to the Jack Hubbard Memo-
20 rial Breakwater.

21 **SEC. 321. SARDIS LAKE, PANOLA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

22 Section 8377(e) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3824) is amended—

24 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “The Sec-
25 retary is authorized to” and inserting “Not later
26 than 180 days after the date of enactment of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2026, the Sec-
2 retary shall”; and

3 (2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “subsection
4 such easements” and inserting “subsection the min-
5 imum easements”.

6 **SEC. 322. HYDRAULIC EVALUATION OF UPPER MISSISSIPPI**
7 **RIVER.**

8 Section 8219 of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 652 note) is amended by adding
10 at the end the following:

11 “(e) COORDINATION WITH UPPER MISSISSIPPI
12 RIVER SYSTEM FLOOD RISK AND RESILIENCY STUDY.—
13 The Federal Emergency Management Agency may only
14 use information developed under subsection (a) with re-
15 spect to any area of the Upper Mississippi River in accord-
16 ance with a congressionally authorized project described
17 in a final report of the Chief of Engineers for a study
18 conducted with respect to such area under section 1227
19 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138
20 Stat. 3084) (including any study described in subsection
21 (d)(2) of such section).”.

22 **SEC. 323. LOWER MISSOURI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE**
23 **FLOOD PROTECTION.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out a
25 project for flood risk management in the Lower Missouri

1 River, as identified in the System Plan, if the Secretary
2 determines that the project is feasible.

3 (b) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.—

4 (1) PROJECTS INCLUDED IN SYSTEM PLAN.—

5 For any project identified in the System Plan as a
6 System Plan component, the Secretary may carry
7 out flood risk management measures for such
8 project in accordance with the design criteria and
9 guidelines of the System Plan.

10 (2) COMPATIBLE MEASURES.—The Secretary
11 may include in a flood risk management project au-
12 thorized under this section compatible measures for
13 environmental improvements and recreation, if the
14 Secretary determines the compatible measures are—

15 (A) feasible;

16 (B) consistent with System Plan rec-
17 ommendations;

18 (C) beneficial to the community;

19 (D) not detrimental to flood protection;

20 and

21 (E) supported by State and local partners.

22 (c) SPINOFF STUDIES.—Any project or spinoff stud-
23 ies identified in the System Plan may be considered a con-
24 tinuation of the Lower Missouri Basin study and studied
25 and designed in accordance with section 216(a) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
2 2681).

3 (d) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall coordinate
4 activities carried out pursuant to this section with other
5 activities carried out by the Secretary in the Missouri
6 River Basin.

7 (e) SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized
9 to update the System Plan, as necessary and in ac-
10 cordance with section 216 of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2681), as addi-
12 tional data is developed, and projects are imple-
13 mented pursuant to this section.

14 (2) PROJECTS NOT INCLUDED IN SYSTEM
15 PLAN.—For any project not identified in the System
16 Plan as a System Plan component, the Secretary is
17 authorized to study such project and, if the Sec-
18 retary determines that such project is feasible and
19 consistent with the flood risk management measures
20 identified in the feasibility report for such study and
21 the goals identified in the System Plan, the Sec-
22 retary shall update the System Plan pursuant to
23 paragraph (1) so that the System Plan includes such
24 project.

25 (f) COST LIMITATION.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
2 graph (2), the Secretary may not expend more than
3 \$60,000,000 in Federal funds for a single project
4 carried out under this section in the State of Mis-
5 souri.

6 (2) EXCEPTION.—With respect to a project
7 under this section in the State of Missouri for which
8 the Federal share of costs is expected to exceed
9 \$60,000,000 or a project within the scope of the
10 Lower Missouri Basin study, the Secretary may
11 carry out the project if—

12 (A) the Secretary submits to the Com-
13 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
14 the House of Representatives and the Com-
15 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
16 Senate the determination that the project is
17 feasible; and

18 (B) construction of the project, to be car-
19 ried out substantially in accordance with the
20 System Plan and subject to the conditions de-
21 scribed in such determination, is specifically au-
22 thorized by Congress.

23 (g) LIMITATION FOR INCOMPLETE OR DEFERRED
24 MAINTENANCE OR UPKEEP.—The Secretary may not
25 carry out a project under this section to address deferred,

1 or incomplete, maintenance or upkeep of such project, ex-
2 cept if such maintenance or upkeep is—

3 (1) attributable to changed conditions or design
4 standards; and

5 (2) in compliance with such project with respect
6 to which the Secretary is authorized to provide as-
7 sistance under section 5 of the Act of August 18,
8 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

9 (h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

10 (1) LOWER MISSOURI BASIN STUDY.—The term
11 “Lower Missouri Basin study” has the meaning
12 given such term in section 216(a)(10) of the Water
13 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
14 2681).

15 (2) SYSTEM PLAN.—The term “System Plan”
16 means the Lower Missouri Flood Risk and Resil-
17 iency System Plan prepared pursuant to section
18 216(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
19 2020 (134 Stat. 2681).

20 **SEC. 324. MISSOURI RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION**
21 **COMMITTEE.**

22 Section 5018 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1199) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
25 following:

1 “(6) CHAIR.—The Chair of the Committee shall
2 be elected by the members of the Committee.”; and
3 (2) in subsection (c), by striking “The Federal
4 Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.)” and in-
5 serting “Chapter 10 of title 5, United States Code,”.

6 **SEC. 325. GREAT LAKES COMMISSION.**

7 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Execu-
8 tive Director of the Great Lakes Commission established
9 under Article IV of the Great Lakes Basin Compact (82
10 Stat. 414) to support water resources development efforts
11 related to the execution of the Compact, and carried out
12 in collaboration with the Secretary, \$5,000,000 for each
13 of fiscal years 2027 through 2030.

14 **SEC. 326. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RES-**
15 **TORATION.**

16 Section 506 of the Water Resources Development Act
17 of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–22) is amended by adding at
18 the end the following:

19 “(g) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount ex-
20 pended for a project carried out under this section shall
21 be not more than \$20,000,000.”.

22 **SEC. 327. TRUCKEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NEVADA.**

23 Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the
24 features within the State of Nevada of the project for flood
25 protection on Truckee River and tributaries, California

1 and Nevada, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Con-
2 trol Act of 1954 (68 Stat.1264), are no longer authorized.

3 **SEC. 328. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.**

4 Section 3117 of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1137) is amended by striking “to
6 assist in carrying out any operation or maintenance activ-
7 ity associated with the flood control project” and inserting
8 “to assist in carrying out any operation, maintenance, rec-
9 reational management, or related stewardship activities
10 associated with the flood control project, including activi-
11 ties that improve recreational access, visitor use manage-
12 ment, public safety, or natural and cultural resources
13 stewardship”.

14 **SEC. 329. KINZUA DAM SAFETY MODIFICATION STUDY, AL-**
15 **LEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK AND PENNSYL-**
16 **VANIA.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the dam safety
18 modification study pursuant to section 8 of the National
19 Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467f) for the project
20 for the Kinzua Dam and Allegheny Reservoir, New York
21 and Pennsylvania, authorized pursuant to section 5 of the
22 Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1586; 52
23 Stat. 1217), the Secretary shall identify any real property
24 associated with such project that the Secretary determines

1 is not needed to carry out the authorized purposes of the
2 project.

3 (b) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Upon completion
4 of the dam safety modification study described in sub-
5 section (a), the Secretary may convey, without consider-
6 ation, to the Seneca Nation of Indians, all right, title, and
7 interest of the United States in and to the real property
8 identified as excess under such subsection.

9 (c) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that
10 the property conveyed under this section is not used for
11 a public purpose, all right, title, and interest in and to
12 the property shall revert, at the discretion of the Sec-
13 retary, to the United States.

14 **SEC. 330. SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH**
15 **CAROLINA.**

16 The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-
17 duction, Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Caro-
18 lina, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-
19 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
20 1367) is modified to deauthorize the portion of the project
21 known as North Topsail Beach, in accordance with the
22 Supplemental Report of the Chief of Engineers dated De-
23 cember 9, 2025.

1 **SEC. 331. MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT,**
2 **TUSCARAWAS RIVER, OHIO.**

3 Pursuant to section 133 of the Water Resources De-
4 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2327a), the Secretary
5 shall carry out rehabilitation efforts at the sluice gates of
6 the Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River,
7 Ohio, authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 22, 1936
8 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1592; 50 Stat. 879).

9 **SEC. 332. TOUSSAINT RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION**
10 **PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.**

11 The cost share for operation and maintenance activi-
12 ties for the Toussaint River Federal navigation project,
13 Carroll Township, Ohio, authorized pursuant to section
14 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577),
15 shall be in accordance with the cost share described in sec-
16 tion 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act
17 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).

18 **SEC. 333. RÍO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**
19 **PROJECT, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the project for
21 flood control, Rio Puerto Nuevo, Puerto Rico, authorized
22 by section 204(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84
23 Stat. 1828; 100 Stat. 4127; 132 Stat. 76), the Secretary
24 shall conduct a general reevaluation report to reconsider
25 natural and nature-based approaches to flood control as

1 alternatives to the construction of each project element de-
2 scribed in subsection (b).

3 (b) PROJECT ELEMENTS DEFINED.—For purposes
4 of subsection (a), the project elements to be reconsidered
5 are—

6 (1) Contract No. 4 for the Central Río Piedras
7 and Las Américas Bridges;

8 (2) Contract No. 5A for the Notre Dame
9 Bridge;

10 (3) Contract No. 6A for the Upper Río Piedras;

11 (4) Contract No. 6B for Quebrada Buena
12 Vista;

13 (5) Contract No. 7B for Quebradas Doña Ana
14 and Contract No. 7A and 7C for Quebradas
15 Josefina; and

16 (6) Contract No. 5B for the West Piñero Ave-
17 nue Bridge.

18 (c) INCLUSIONS IN GENERAL REEVALUATION RE-
19 PORT.—In conducting the reevaluation report under sub-
20 section (a) the Secretary shall include in such report the
21 following data and analyses, updated to reflect conditions
22 as of the date of enactment of this Act:

23 (1) Hydrologic and hydraulic data.

24 (2) A review of local acceptability and economic
25 conditions in the project area.

1 (3) Any real estate costs analysis.

2 (4) Cost apportionment analysis, including in
3 such updated analysis a detailed description of pro-
4 jected operation and maintenance responsibilities as-
5 sociated with the completed project and the esti-
6 mated cost to complete the project.

7 (d) CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.—In reevalu-
8 ating the project elements under subsection (a), the Sec-
9 retary shall—

10 (1) consider the use of natural and nature-
11 based features;

12 (2) consult with the heads of applicable Federal
13 agencies, agencies of Puerto Rico, and community
14 stakeholders within the geographic scope of the
15 project;

16 (3) validate any findings through an external
17 review process that is independent and outside of the
18 Corps of Engineers; and

19 (4) develop a process for public participation
20 through which the Secretary shall—

21 (A) regularly publish any findings; and

22 (B) hold public hearings and regular con-
23 sultation meetings to give ample opportunity for
24 public comment on the findings.

1 (e) INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT.—Not later than 1
2 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
3 shall transmit to the Committee on Transportation and
4 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the
5 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
6 ate an interim status report with respect to the reevalua-
7 tion report required under subsection (a), and include in
8 such interim status report—

9 (1) any conclusions and recommendations
10 made, and activities carried out, pursuant to sub-
11 section (a);

12 (2) identification of any additional, site-specific
13 areas within the geographic scope of the project for
14 which the Secretary recommends any additional re-
15 evaluation; and

16 (3) any interim actions taken by the Secretary
17 to reduce comprehensive flood risk within the geo-
18 graphic scope of the project while carrying out the
19 reevaluations under subsection (a), including if ap-
20 plicable, the cost of each such action.

21 (f) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—The Secretary
22 shall expedite the completion of each reevaluation of a
23 project element under subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 334. BUFFALO BAYOU TRIBUTARIES AND RESILIENCY**
2 **STUDY, TEXAS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-
4 pletion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency
5 Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bi-
6 partisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76; 138 Stat.
7 3082), any review required under the National Environ-
8 mental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) as
9 part of the study, and the report of the Chief of Engineers
10 for the study.

11 (b) REPORTS.—The report of the Chief of Engineers
12 for the study described in subsection (a) shall contain rec-
13 ommendations for carrying out a comprehensive project
14 as described in subsection (c), and is not required to in-
15 clude further evaluation of alternatives to the comprehen-
16 sive project that are not acceptable to the non-Federal in-
17 terest for the project.

18 (c) COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT.—For purposes of
19 subsection (b), a comprehensive project is a project—

20 (1) to be carried out by the Secretary, in part-
21 nership with the Harris County Flood Control Dis-
22 trict, as part of the project in Buffalo Bayou and its
23 tributaries, Texas, authorized by the Act of June 20,
24 1938 (chapter 535, 52 Stat. 804);

1 (2) designed considering any prior studies and
2 information provided to the Corps of Engineers by
3 the Harris County Food Control District;

4 (3) which shall include an analysis of a sub-
5 terranean tunnel conveyance system; and

6 (4) that, carried out pursuant to a comprehen-
7 sive benefits plan developed by the Secretary and de-
8 termined acceptable by the non-Federal interest—

9 (A) is capable of conveying, at an appro-
10 prium rate, the volume of water in the Buffalo
11 Bayou and Tributaries Study area, based on an
12 analysis that includes conveyance during a
13 storm event equivalent to Hurricane Harvey;

14 (B) is designed to avoid, or minimize to
15 the extent practicable, any adverse effects on
16 the environment and community; and

17 (C) is designed to promote infrastructure
18 resiliency, including infrastructure necessary for
19 reservoir operations.

20 (d) DEADLINE.—The Secretary shall prioritize Fed-
21 eral funding for the completion of the Buffalo Bayou and
22 Tributaries Resiliency Study pursuant to subsection (a)
23 in the first submission after the date of enactment of this
24 Act of each of the following:

25 (1) A budget submission.

1 (2) A submission of a work plan to Congress
2 pursuant to the joint explanatory statement for an
3 annual appropriations Act or as part of the submis-
4 sion of a spend plan to Congress for a supplemental
5 appropriations Act under which the Corps of Engi-
6 neers receives funding.

7 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years
8 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
9 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
10 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
11 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
12 the report of the Chief of Engineers for the study de-
13 scribed in subsection (a), regardless of design maturity
14 status of the project covered by the report.

15 (f) COMPREHENSIVE BENEFITS PLAN DEFINED.—In
16 this section, the term “comprehensive benefits plan”
17 means a comprehensive benefits plan developed pursuant
18 to the policies described in the memorandum relating to
19 “Policy Directive – Comprehensive Documentation of
20 Benefits in Decision Document”, dated January 5, 2021,
21 and signed by the Assistant Secretary for Civil Works.

1 **SEC. 335. CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, AND HARRIS COUNTIES,**
2 **TEXAS, EXPEDITED REAL ESTATE TRANS-**
3 **FERS.**

4 Section 1327(a) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3144) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(including
7 the portions of Spilman Island and Pelican Island
8 owned by, or subject to an easement held by, the
9 United States), to determine whether any such land
10 or easements are no longer required for project pur-
11 poses” before “; and”; and

12 (2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “under such
13 paragraph” before “are no longer”.

14 **SEC. 336. COASTAL VIRGINIA.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a
16 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative
17 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part
18 of the feasibility study for flood risk management, eco-
19 system restoration, and navigation, Coastal Virginia, au-
20 thorized by section 1201(9) of the Water Resources Devel-
21 opment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3802), the Secretary may
22 use, for any portion of the cost of such analysis, funds—

23 (1) made available to the Secretary for water
24 resources development investigations; or

25 (2) contributed by such agency head.

1 (b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-
2 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection
3 (a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an
4 analysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates
5 to formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military
6 installation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for
7 the project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may
8 use funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursu-
9 ant to the terms and conditions of the agreement between
10 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the project
11 that relate to sharing the cost of the study.

12 (c) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-
13 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head
14 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-
15 struction of an authorized water resources development
16 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting
17 from the study described in subsection (a).

18 **SEC. 337. NORFOLK COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT,**
19 **VIRGINIA.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a
21 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative
22 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part
23 of the feasibility study for the modification of the project
24 for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk
25 Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia, authorized by

1 section 401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act
2 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738), the Secretary may use, for any
3 portion of the cost of such analysis, funds—

4 (1) made available to the Secretary for water
5 resources development investigations; or

6 (2) contributed by such agency head.

7 (b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-
8 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection
9 (a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an
10 analysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates
11 to formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military
12 installation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for
13 the project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may
14 use funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursu-
15 ant to the terms and conditions of the agreement between
16 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the project
17 that relate to sharing the cost of the study.

18 (c) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-
19 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head
20 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-
21 struction of an authorized water resources development
22 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting
23 from the study described in subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 338. PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RES-**
2 **TORATION, WASHINGTON.**

3 Section 544(f) of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2675; 132 Stat. 3826) is amended
5 to read as follows:

6 “(f) **LIMITATION.**—The total Federal amount ex-
7 pended for a critical restoration project under this section
8 shall not be more than \$20,000,000.”.

9 **SEC. 339. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER.**

10 The total cost of constructing dredged material sta-
11 bilization and retaining structures related to maintenance
12 dredging for the project for navigation, Lower Willamette
13 and Columbia Rivers, from Portland, Oregon, to the sea,
14 authorized by the first section of the Act of June 18, 1878
15 (chapter 264, 20 Stat. 157), shall be considered eligible
16 operation and maintenance costs assigned to commercial
17 navigation for the purpose of section 210(a)(2) of the
18 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
19 2238(a)(2)).

20 **SEC. 340. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RES-**
21 **TORATION ASSESSMENT.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct an assessment of the
23 lower Columbia River Basin to identify opportunities to
24 carry out cost-effective projects and measures to support
25 salmon and steelhead restoration by—

- 1 (1) restoring, improving, and reconnecting
- 2 aquatic and riparian habitat; and
- 3 (2) providing cold water refugia for native
- 4 anadromous fish species.

5 **SEC. 341. PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE ECOSYSTEM RES-**
6 **TORATION, WASHINGTON.**

7 In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,
8 Puget Sound, Washington, authorized by section 1401(4)
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130
10 Stat. 1713; 136 Stat. 3806), the Secretary shall consider
11 the removal, relocation, and replacement of the following
12 sites to be a project feature the costs of which are shared
13 as construction:

14 (1) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the
15 Lilliwaup Estuary of the Hood Canal site.

16 (2) NE North Shore Road roadway and bridge
17 over the Tahuya Estuary of the Hood Canal site.

18 (3) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the
19 Snow Creek and Salmon Creek (at the head of Dis-
20 covery Bay) site.

21 (4) County and local roads and bridges over the
22 Nooksack and Lummi Rivers and Tennant Creek at
23 the Nooksack Estuary of the Northern Puget Sound
24 site.

1 **SEC. 342. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**
2 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARY-**
3 **LAND, AND VIRGINIA.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the feasibility
5 study for the project for water supply, Washington, Dis-
6 trict of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by
7 section 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745; 138 Stat. 3160), the Sec-
9 retary shall carry out a second phase of the study focused
10 on the identification of a secondary water source and addi-
11 tional water storage capability or other long-term and
12 large-scale backup water supply solutions necessary to
13 meaningfully reduce the structural water supply risk of
14 the region.

15 (b) TREATMENT OF STUDY.—In carrying out the sec-
16 ond phase of the study under this section, the Secretary—

17 (1) shall treat such study as a continuation of
18 the first phase of the study carried out under section
19 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 2022; and

21 (2) shall continue such study without a new in-
22 vestment decision.

23 **SEC. 343. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**
24 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

25 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a
26 measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative

1 jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part
 2 of the feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
 3 agement, Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of
 4 Columbia, authorized by section 8201(a)(12) of the Water
 5 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745), the
 6 Secretary may use, for any portion of the cost of such
 7 analysis, funds—

8 (1) made available to the Secretary for water
 9 resources development investigations; or

10 (2) contributed by such agency head.

11 (b) **FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.**—The Sec-
 12 retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection
 13 (a) at Federal expense.

14 (c) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section af-
 15 fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head
 16 of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-
 17 struction of an authorized water resources development
 18 project, or a separable element of such project, resulting
 19 from the study described in subsection (a).

20 **TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES** 21 **INFRASTRUCTURE**

22 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

23 The following projects for water resources develop-
 24 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified
 25 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water

1 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant
 2 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
 3 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-
 4 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by
 5 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,
 6 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective
 7 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

8 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. WA & OR	Columbia River Turning Basins Navigation Im- provements	September 26, 2025	Federal: \$16,408,000 Non-Federal: \$5,489,000 Total: \$21,897,000

9 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. KY	Kentucky River, Beattyville Flood Risk Management Project, Lee County	March 24, 2026	Federal: \$7,979,000 Non-Federal: \$4,562,000 Total: \$12,541,000

10 (3) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. WY	Little Goose Creek, Sheridan	June 4, 2026	Federal: \$45,333,000 Non-Federal: \$35,601,000 Total: \$80,934,000

1 (4) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
2 DUCATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. NC	Surf City, Onslow and Pender Counties, North Carolina, Coast- al Storm Risk Management	July 15, 2025	Initial Federal: \$121,750,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$76,863,000 Total: \$198,613,000 Renourishment Federal: \$171,007,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$191,297,000 Renourishment Total: \$362,304,000

3 (5) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. AZ	Rio de Flag, Flag- staff	June 12, 2026	Total: \$244,633,000
2. CA	Port of Long Beach Deep Draft Naviga- tion project, Los Angeles County	June 11, 2026	Total: \$255,811,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
3. FL	Central and Southern Florida, Canal 111 (C-111) South Dade Project	October 14, 2025	Federal: \$477,280,500 Non-Federal: \$477,280,500 Total: \$954,561,000
4. KY	Kentucky Lock Addition, Lower Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers	June 11, 2026	Total: \$2,741,549,000
5. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater—North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County	March 10, 2026	Federal: \$47,341,000 Non-Federal: \$25,491,000 Total: \$72,832,000
6. TN	Chickamauga Lock Replacement Project, Chickamauga Lake, Hamilton County	June 11, 2026	Total: \$1,566,787,000

1 **SEC. 402. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF PROJECTS AND AC-**
2 **TIVITIES.**

3 (a) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The
4 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expe-
5 dite completion of the following projects:

6 (1) Projects for emergency streambank erosion
7 and shoreline protection under section 14 of the
8 Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) for the
9 following areas:

10 (A) County Creek, Bartlett, Illinois.

11 (B) Ohio River, Brandenburg, Kentucky.

1 (C) Falmouth, Massachusetts, in the vicin-
2 ity of Menauhant Road.

3 (D) Muskegon Heights, Michigan.

4 (E) Old Mission Peninsula, Michigan.

5 (F) Euclid Creek, Euclid, Ohio.

6 (G) Little Miami River, Milford, Ohio.

7 (H) Mountain Creek, Macungie, Pennsyl-
8 vania.

9 (2) Project for beach erosion and hurricane and
10 storm damage reduction, Bolongo Bay, St. Thomas,
11 United States Virgin Islands, under section 3 of the
12 Act of August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g).

13 (3) Projects for flood control under section 205
14 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
15 for the following areas:

16 (A) Ak-Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.

17 (B) Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.

18 (C) McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.

19 (A) Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-
20 las, Arizona.

21 (B) Beseck Lake, Connecticut.

22 (C) Woodbridge, Connecticut.

23 (D) Little Wekiva River, Florida.

24 (E) Peachtree and Nancy Creek water-
25 sheds, Atlanta, Georgia.

1 (F) Golconda, Illinois.

2 (G) Traverse City, Michigan.

3 (H) Marshall County, Minnesota.

4 (I) Peckman River, Verona, New Jersey.

5 (J) Third River, Clifton, New Jersey.

6 (K) Nanny Hagen Brook, Pleasantville,
7 New York.

8 (L) McKenzie River, Springfield, Oregon.

9 (M) 42nd Street Levee, Springfield, Or-
10 egon.

11 (N) Medina Colonia, Zapata County,
12 Texas.

13 (4) Projects for navigation under section 107 of
14 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577)
15 for the following areas:

16 (A) Kodiak Harbor, Kodiak, Alaska.

17 (B) Laupahoehoe Harbor, Hawaii.

18 (C) Black River and Lake Huron, Alcona
19 Township, Michigan.

20 (5) Project for beneficial uses of dredged mate-
21 rial, New Jersey Meadowlands (also known as Hack-
22 ensack Meadowlands), New Jersey, under section
23 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326).

1 (6) Projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration
2 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330) for the following
4 areas:

5 (A) El Corazón de los Tres Ríos del Norte,
6 Pima County, Arizona.

7 (B) North Haven, Connecticut.

8 (C) Savannah River below Augusta, Geor-
9 gia.

10 (D) Waimea 400 Wetlands, Kaua‘i, Ha-
11 waii.

12 (E) Marshall County, Minnesota.

13 (F) Polk Swamp, Dorchester County,
14 South Carolina.

15 (G) Ficklen Island Dam, Fredericksburg,
16 Virginia.

17 (7) Projects to modify projects for improvement
18 of the environment or drought resiliency under sec-
19 tion 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act
20 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) for the following areas:

21 (A) Wayne County, Iowa.

22 (B) Wild Rice River, Minnesota.

23 (C) Lower Pearl River, Mississippi.

1 (D) Rio Chama and Rio Grande between
2 the Abiquiu and Cochiti Reservoirs, New Mex-
3 ico.

4 (8) Projects to control, retain, and reuse
5 stormwater associated with flood control efforts
6 under section 1108 of the Water Resources Develop-
7 ment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3006) for the following
8 areas:

9 (A) Klondike Canyon, Rancho Palos
10 Verdes, California.

11 (B) City of Lompoc, California.

12 (C) Lake Avondale, Avondale Estates,
13 Georgia.

14 (D) Falmouth, including Woods Hole,
15 Massachusetts.

16 (E) Waveland, Mississippi.

17 (b) OTHER PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES.—The Sec-
18 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite
19 completion of the following:

20 (1) Project for flood risk management, Little
21 Colorado River, Navajo County, Arizona, authorized
22 by section 401(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).

24 (2) Project for flood damage reduction, Rio de
25 Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section

1 101(b)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act
2 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2576; 121 Stat. 1107; 130 Stat.
3 1716; 136 Stat. 3833; 138 Stat. 3067) (as modified
4 by this Act).

5 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres
6 Rios, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the
7 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
8 Stat. 2577).

9 (4) Project for beach erosion, Surfside Sunset
10 and Newport Beach, Orange County, California, au-
11 thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act
12 of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177).

13 (5) Project for flood risk management, West-
14 minster, East Garden Grove, California Flood Risk
15 Management, authorized by section 401(2) of the
16 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
17 Stat. 2735).

18 (6) Maintenance dredging at the Greenwich
19 Harbor, Connecticut.

20 (7) Maintenance dredging at the Southport
21 Harbor, Connecticut.

22 (8) Project for ecosystem restoration, water
23 supply, flood control, and protection of water qual-
24 ity, Central and Southern Florida, Indian River La-
25 goon, Florida, authorized by section 1001(14) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121
2 Stat. 1051).

3 (9) Comprehensive plan for the purpose of re-
4 storing, preserving, and protecting the Northern Es-
5 tuaries Ecosystem, Florida, authorized by section
6 8215 of the Water Resources Development Act of
7 2022 (136 Stat. 3760; 138 Stat. 3138).

8 (10) Operations and maintenance activities nec-
9 essary as of the date of enactment of this Act for
10 the project for navigation, Chicago Harbor Lock,
11 Chicago, Illinois, authorized pursuant to the first
12 section of the Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16
13 Stat. 226; 21 Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat.
14 1283; 76 Stat. 1176).

15 (11) Project for ecosystem restoration, Naval
16 Weapons Station Earle Oyster Reef Restoration,
17 Monmouth County, New Jersey, pursuant to the
18 Hudson-Raritan Estuary Ecosystem Restoration
19 project authorized by section 401(5) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
21 2740).

22 (12) Project for navigation, Times Beach dike
23 wall repair, Buffalo Harbor, New York, authorized
24 by the Act of May 20, 1826 (chapter 78, 4 Stat.
25 175).

1 (13) Maintenance dredging of the project for
2 navigation, Tillamook Bay, Oregon, authorized by
3 the first section of the Act of July 27, 1912 (chapter
4 254, 37 Stat. 220).

5 (14) Project for periodic beach nourishment,
6 Presque Isle Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania, author-
7 ized by section 101 of the Water Resources Develop-
8 ment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2918).

9 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lower
10 Blackstone River, Rhode Island, authorized pursuant
11 to section 206 of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 136 Stat. 3804).

13 (16) Maintenance dredging at Two Rivers Har-
14 bor, Two Rivers, Wisconsin, authorized pursuant to
15 the Act of March 3, 1871 (chapter 118, 16 Stat.
16 538; 34 Stat. 1101; 49 Stat. 1035; 72 Stat. 298).

17 (c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Sec-
18 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite
19 completion of the Pima-Maricopa Irrigation Project, asso-
20 ciated with the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona, au-
21 thorized pursuant to section 203 of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

1 **TITLE V—DAM SAFETY**

2 **SEC. 501. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM AMENDMENTS.**

3 The National Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C.
4 467 et seq.) is amended—

5 (1) in section 8(e)(4), by striking “2” and in-
6 serting “7”;

7 (2) in section 8A(j)(4), by striking “2026” and
8 inserting “2031”; and

9 (3) in section 14(a)(2)(A)(ii)—

10 (A) in subclause (I), by striking “and low-
11 head dams”; and

12 (B) in subclause (II), by striking “and low-
13 head dams”.

○